

An Account of all the
PROCEEDINGS
Of the COMMISSIONERS of both
PERSWASIONS

Appointed by his Sacred

MAJESTY,

ACCORDING

To Letters Patents, for the Reveiw of

The Boor of

COMMON PRAYER, &c.



London Printed for R. H. 1661.

by Baxter (Richard)

see Holgate

PROCEEDINGS

STANFORD COLLEGE LIBRARY

FROM THE LIBRARY

OF F. L. RAY

JUNE 1, 1910

PERS WASSON

M A I R S T Y

To the Honorable Members of the Senate

of the State of California

COMMON P R A Y E R S

~~Prayer~~



London Printed for W. A. 1861

1196
15
4
A Copy of his Majesties Commission.



H A R E L E S the second by the
Grace of God King of *England*,
Scotland, *France & Ireland*, Defender
of the Faith, &c. To our Trusty
and well beloved the most Reve-
rend Father in God Accepted
Arch-Bishop of *York*, The right reverend Fathers
in God Gilbert Bishop of *London*, Iohn Bishop of
Durham, Iohn Bishop of *Rocheſter*, *Humphrey* Bishop
of *Sarum*, George Bishop of *Worceſter*, Robert Bishop
of *Lincolne*, Benjamin Bishop of *Peterborough*, Brian
Bishop of *Cheſter*, Richard Bishop of *Carlile*, Iohn Bi-
shop of *Exeter*, Edward Bishop of *Norwich*, and to
our Trusty & well beloved, the Reverend *Anthony*
Tuckney D. D. Iohn Conant D. D. *VVilliam* *Spurſton*
D. D. Iohn *VValis* D. D. Tho. Manton D. D. Ed-
mund Calamy B. D. Richard Baxter Clerke, Arthur
Iackſon, Tho. Caſe, Samuel Clarke, Matthew Nevv-
comen Clerkes, and to our truſty and well beloved
Dr. Farles Deane of *Veſtminſter*, Peter Heylin D. D.
Iohn Hacket D. D. Iohn Bervvick D. D. Peter Gunning
D. D. Iohn Pearſon D. D. Thomas Pierce D. D. Anthony
Sparrow, Herbert Thorndike D. D. Thomas Horton
D. D. Thomas Iacomb D. D. William Bate, Iohn Ravn-
linſon Clerkes, William Cooper Clerke, D. Iohn Light-

foot, D. John Collings, D. Benjamin Woodbridge, and William Drake Clerke, Greeting. Whereas by our Declaration of the 5 of October last concerning Ecclesiastical affaires, we did (amongst other things) expresse our esteeme of the Liturgy of the Church of England contained in the Book of Common prayer; & yet since we find exceptions made against several things therein, we did by our said Declaration declare we would appoint an equal number of Learned Divines, of both persuasions, to review the same: we therefore in accomplishment of our said will and intent, and of our continued and constant care and study for the peace and unity of the Churches within our Dominions, & for the removal of all exceptions and differences, and the occasions of such differences and exceptions from among our good subjects, for or concerning the said Book of Common prayer, or any thing therein contained, do by these our letters patents require, authorize, constitute, and appoint you the said, &c. to advise upon and review the said Book of Common prayer, comparing the same with the most ancient liturgies which have been used in the Church in the primitive and purest times. And to that end to assemble and meet together, from time to time, and at such time within the space of four Calendar moneths now next ensuing, in the Masters lodging

lodging in the Savoy in the Strand in the County
of *Middlesex*, or in such other place or places as
to you shall be thought fit and convenient, to take
into your serious and grave considerations the
several directions and rules, forms of prayer, and
things in the said Book of Common prayer con-
tained, and to advise consult upon and about the
same and the severall objections and exceptions,
which shall now be raised against the same, and (if
occasion be) to make such reasonable and necessa-
ry alterations, corrections, and amendments
therein as by and between you, the said Arch-Bi-
shop, Bishops, Doctours, and Persons hereby re-
quired and authorized to meet and advise as afore-
said, shall be agreed upon to be needfull and ex-
pedient, for the giving satisfaction to tender con-
sciences and the restoring & continuance of peace
and unity in the Churches under our protection
and Government; but avoyding (asmuch as may
be) all unnecessary abreviations of the forms and
liturgy wherewith the people are altogether ac-
quainted and have so long received in the Church
of *England*. And our will and pleasure is, that
when you the said Arch-Bishop, Bishops, Doctours
and Persons authorized and appointed by these
our letters patents to meet, advise and consult
upon and about the premises as aforesaid, shall
have drawn your consultations to any resolution
and

and determination which you shall agree upon as needfull or expedient to be done for the altering, diminishing or enlarging, the said Book of Common prayer, or any part thereof, that then forthwith you certefy and present to us in writing under your several hands the matters and things whereupon you shall so derermine, for our approbation; and to the end the same or so much thereof as shall be approved by us, may be established, and forasmuch as the said Arch-Bishop & Bishops have several great charges to attend, which we would not dispense with, or that the same should be neglected upon any great occasion whatsoever, and some of them being of great Age and infirmities, may not be able constantly to attend the execution of the service and authority hereby given and required by us, in the meeting and consultation aforesaid; We will therefore and hereby require you the said Dr. *Earles*, &c. to supply the place and places of such of the Arch-Bishop and Bishops (other then the said *Edvard* Bishop of *Norvvich*) as shall by age, sicknesse, infirmity or other occasion be hindred from attending the said meeting or consultation (that is to say) that one of you the said Dr. *Earles*, &c. shall from time to time supply the place of each one of them, the said Arch-Bishop and Bishops (other then the said *Edvard* Bishop of *Norvvich*) which shall

shall happen to be hindred or to be absent from the said meetings, or consultations and shall and may advise, consult and determine, and also certify and execute all and singular the powers and authorities before mentioned in and about the premises, as fully and absolutely as such Arch-Bishop and Bishops which shall so happen to be absent should or might do by verue of these our letters patents, or any thing herein contained, in case he or they were personally present. and whereas in regard of the distance of some, the infirmity of others, the multitude of constant employment and other incidental impediments, some of you the said *Edvard Bishop of Norvvich, &c.* may be hindred from the constant attendance in the execution of the service aforesaid we therefore will and doe hereby require and authorize you the said *Thomas Horton, &c.* to supply the place or places of such the Commissioners last above mentioned, as shall by the means aforesaid or any other occasion be hindred from the said meeting and consultations that one of you the said *Thomas Horton, &c.* shall from time to time supply the places of each one of the said Commissioners last mentioned, which shall happen to be hindred or absent from the said meeting and consultations; and shall and may advise consult and determine and also certify and execute all and singular the powers

powers and authorities before mentioned in and about the premises as fully and absolutely as such of the said last mentioned Commissioners, which shall so happen to be absent, should or might do by vertue of these our Letters patents or any thing therein contained, in case he or they were personally present. In witnesse whereof we have caused these our Letters to be made patents, witnesse our self at *Westminster* the 25 day of *March* in the thirteenth year of our Reign.

Per ipsum Regem.

Barker.

THE
EXCEPTIONS
OF THE
PRESBYTERIAN
BRETHREN

Against some Passages in the present
Liturgy.

ACknowledging, with all Humility and Thankfulness, His Majesty's most Princely condescension and indulgence to very many of His Loyal Subjects, as well in His Majesty's most gracious Declaration, as particularly in this present Commission issued forth in pursuance thereof; we doubt not but the Right Reverend Bishops, and all the rest of His Majesty's Commissioners intrusted in this work, will, in imitation of His Majesty's most prudent and Christian Moderation and Clemency, judge it their Duty (that we find to be the Apostles own Practice) in a special manner to be tender of the Churches Peace, to bear with the Infirmities of the weak, and not to please themselves, nor to measure the Consciences of other men by the light and
B latitude

latitude of their own, but seriously and readily to consider, and advise of such Expedients as may most conduce to the healing of our breaches and uniting those that differ.

And albeit we have an high and honorable esteem of those Godly and Learned Bishops, and others, who were the first Compilers of the Publick Liturgy, and do look upon it as an excellent and worthy Work, for that time, when the Church of England made her first step out of such a mist of Popish Ignorance and Superstition, wherein it formerly was involved; yet considering, that all Humane Works do gradually arrive at their maturity and perfection, and this in particular being a Work of that nature, hath already admitted several emendations since the first compiling thereof, It cannot be thought any disparagement, or derogation either to the Work it self, or to the Compilers of it, or to those who have hitherto used it, if after more than one hundred years since its first composition such further emendations be now made therein, as may be judged necessary for satisfying the scruples of a multitude of sober persons, who cannot at all (or very hardly) comply with the use of it as now it is, and may best suit with the present times, after so long an enjoyment of the glorious light of the Gospel, and so happy a Reformation, especially considering that many godly and learned men, have from the beginning all along earnestly desired the alteration of many things therein, and very many of His Majesty's pious peaceable and loyal Subjects, after so long a discontinuance of it, are more averse from it than heretofore; the satisfying of whom (as far as may be) will very much conduce to that Peace and unity which is so much desired by all good men, and so much endeavoured by His most excellent Majesty.

And therefore in pursuance of this His Majesty's most gracious Commission for the satisfying of tender Consciences, and the procuring of Peace and Unity amongst our selves, we judge meet to propose,

I. That all the Prayers, and other Materials of the Liturgy, may consist of nothing doubtfull or questioned amongst Pious, Learned and Orthodox Persons, inasmuch as the professed end of composing them is, for the declaring of Unity and consent

consent of all who joyn in the Publick Worship, it being too evident, that the limiting Church-Communion to things of doubtfull disputation, hath been in all Ages the ground of Schism and separation, according to the saying of a Learned man.

To load our Publick Forms with the private Fancies upon which we differ, is the most Sovereign way to perpetuate Schism to the Worlds end. Prayer, Confession, Thanksgiving, reading of the Scriptures, and administration of the Sacraments in the plainest and simplest manner were matter enough to furnish out a sufficient Liturgy, though nothing either of private Opinion, or of Church pomp, of Garments, or prescribed Gestures, of Imagery, of Musick, of matter concerning the dead, of many Superfluities which creep into the Church under the name of Order and Decency did interpose it self. To charge Churches or Liturgies with things unnecessary was the first beginning of all Superstition; and when scruple of Conscience began to be made, or pretended, then Schism began to break in. If the special Guides and Fathers of the Church would be a little sparing of incumbering Churches with Superfluities, or not over-rigid, either in reviving obsolete Customs, or imposing new, there would be far less cause of Schism, or Superstition, and all the Inconvenience were likely to ensue would be but this; they should in so doing yield a little to the imbecility of their Inferiours, a thing which *S. Paul* would never have refused to do, mean while, wheresoever false or suspected Opinions are made a piece of Church-Liturgy, he that separates is not the Schismarick; For, it is alike unlawfull to make profession of known or suspected falshood, as to put in practice unlawfull or suspected actions.

II. Further, we humbly desire, that it may be seriously considered, that as our first Reformers out of their great wisdom did at that time so compose the Liturgy as to win upon the Papists, and to draw them into their Church-Communion, by varying as little as well they could from the Romish forms before in use; so whether in the present constitution and state of things amongst us we should not according to the same Rule of Prudence and Charity have our Liturgy so composed,

as to gain upon the judgement and affections of all those who in the substantials of the Protestant Religion are of the same persuasions with our selves. In as much as a more firm union and consent of all such, as well in Worship as in Doctrine, would greatly strengthen the Protestant Interest against all those dangers and temptations which our intestine Divisions and Animosities do expose us unto from the common Adversary.

III. That the Repetitions and Responsals of the Clerk and People, and the alternate Reading of the Psalms and Hymns with a confused murmure in the Congregation, whereby what is read is less intelligible, and therefore undeciphering, may be omitted, the Minister being appointed for the People in all Publick Services appertaining unto God, and the holy Scriptures both of the old and new Testament, incimating the Peoples part in Publick Prayers to be only with silence and reverence, to attend thereunto, and to declare their consent in the close, by saying, *Amen*.

IV. That in regard the Letany (though otherwise containing in it many holy Petitions) is so framed, that the Petitions, for a great part, are uttered onely by the People, which we think not to be so consonant to Scripture, which makes the Minister the Mouth of the People to God in Prayer, the particulars thereof may be composed into one solemn Prayer to be offered by the Minister unto God for the People.

V. That there may be nothing in the Liturgy which may seem to countenance the observation of *Lent*, as a Religious Fast, the Example of Christ's fasting forty dayes and nights being no more imitable, nor intended for the imitation of Christians, than any other of his miraculous works were; or than *Moses* his forty dayes Fast was for the Jewes, and the Act of Parliament 5 *Eliz.* forbidding abstinence from flesh to be observed upon any other than a Politick consideration, and punishing all those who by Preaching, Teaching, Writing, or open Speech shal notifie, that the forbearing of flesh is of any necessity for the saving of the soul, or that it is the service of God otherwise than as other Politick Laws are.

VI. That

VI. That the Religious observation of Saints days appointed to be kept as holy dayes, and the Vigils thereof, without any foundations (as we conceive) in Scripture, may be omitted, that if any be retained, they may be called Festivall, and not Holy dayes, nor made equall with the Lords day, nor have any peculiar Service appointed for them, nor that the People be upon such days enforced wholly to abstain from work, and that the names of all others not inserted in the Callendar, which are not in the first and second Books of *Edward the Sixth*, may be left out.

VII. That the gift of Prayer being one speciall qualification for the Work of the Ministry bestowed by Christ in order to the edification of his Church, and to be exercised for the profit and benefit thereof according to its various and emergent necessities.

It is desired that there may be no such Imposition of the Liturgy, as that the exercise of that gift be thereby totally excluded in any part of publick worship, and further, that considering the great age of some Ministers, and the infirmities of others, and the variety of severall services oft times occurring upon the same day, whereby it may be inexpedient to require every Minister at all times to read the whole; it may be left to the discretion of the Minister to omit part of it, as occasion shall require, which liberty we find to be allowed even in the first Common Prayer Book of *Edward the Sixth*.

VIII. That in regard of the many defects which have been observed in that Version of the Scriptures which is used throughout the Liturgy, (manyfold instances whereof may be produced) as in the Epistle for the first Sunday after Epiphany, taken out of *Rom. 12. 1. Be ye changed in your shape.* And the Epistle for the Sunday next before Easter, taken out of *Phil. 2. 5. Found in his apparell as a man*; As also the Epistle for the fourth Sunday in Lent, taken out of the fourth of the *Galatians*, *Mount Sinai is Agar in Arabia*, and bordereth upon the City which is now called *Jerusalem*. The Epistle for Saint *Matthews* day, being taken out of the second Epistle of the *Corinthians* and the fourth, *We go not out of kind*. The Gospel for the second Sunday after Epiphany, taken out of the second

cond of *John*, *When men be drunke*. The Gospel for the third Sunday in *Lent*, taken out of the eleventh of *Luke*, *One house doth fall upon another*. The Gospel for the *Annuntiation*, taken out of the first of *Luke*, *This is the sixth month which was called Barren*, and many other places; we therefore desire instead thereof the Translation allowed of by Authority may alone be used.

IX. That in as much as the Holy Scriptures are able to make us wise unto salvation to furnish us thoroughly unto all good works, and contain in them all things necessary either in Doctrine to be beleaved, or in Duty to be practised; whereas divers Chapters of the Apocryphal Books appointed to be read, are charged to be in both respects of dubious and uncertain credit: It is therefore desired that nothing be read in the Church for Lessons, but the Holy Scriptures in the Old and New Testament.

X. That the Minister be not required to rehearse any part of the Liturgy at the Communion Table, save onely those parts which properly belong to the Lords Supper, and that at such time onely when the said Holy Supper is administred.

XI. That the word (Minister) and not (Priest) or (Curate) is used in the Absolution, and in divers other places; It may thoroughout the whole Book be used instead of those two words, and that instead of the word (Sunday) the word (Lords day) may be every where used.

XII. Because singing of Psalms is a considerable part of Publick Worship, we desire that the Version set forth and allowed to be sung in Churches may be amended, or that we may have leave to make use of a purer Version.

XIII. That all obsolete words in the Common Prayer, and such whose use is changed from their first significancy (as read who smote thee) used in the Gospels for the Monday and Wednesday before Easter (Then opened he their witts) used in the Gospel for Easter Tuesday, &c. may be altered into other words generally received, and better understood.

XIV. That

XIV. That no portions of the Old Testament, or the Acts of the Apostles be called Epistles, or read as such.

XV. That whereas throughout the severall offices the Phrase is such as presumes all persons (within the Communion of the Church) to be regenerated, converted, and in an actuall state of grace, which had Ecclesiasticall Discipline been truly and vigorously executed in the exclusion of scandalous and obdurate sinners might be better supposed; But that there having been, and still being a confessed want of that (as in the Liturgy is acknowledged) it cannot rationally be admitted in the utmost latitude of Charity: we desire that this may be reformed.

XVI. That whereas orderly connexion of Prayers, and of particular Petitions and Expressions, together with a competent length of the Formes used, are tending much to edification, and to gain the reverence of people to them: There appears to us too great neglect of this Order, and of other just Laws of method particularly.

1. The Collects are generally short, many of them consisting but of one or two Sentences of petition, and those generally ushered in with a repeated mention of the Name and Attributes of God, and presently concluding with the Name and Merits of Christ, whence are caused many unnecessary intercessions and abruptions, which when many Petitions are to be offered at the same time, are neither agreeable to scripturall example, nor suited to the gravity and seriousness of that Holy Duty.
2. The Prefaces of many Collects have not any clear and speciall respect to the following Petitions; and particular Petitions are put together, which have not any due order or evident connexion one with another, nor suitable with the occasions upon which they are used, but seem to have fallen in rather casually, than from any orderly continuance.

It is desired that instead of these various Collects, there may be one Methodicall and entire Form of Prayer composed out of many of them.

XVII. That whereas the Publick Liturgy of a Church should in reason comprehend the summe of all such sins as are ordinarily in Prayer by the Church to be confessed, and of such Petitions and Thanksgivings as are ordinarily by the Church to be put up to God, and that Publick Catechismes or Systemes of Doctrine should summarily comprehend all such Doctrines as are necessarily to be believed, and these explicite set down. The Present Liturgy, as to all these, seems very defective, particularly:

1. There is no preparatory Prayer in our addresses to God for assistance or acceptance; yet many Collects in the midst of the Worship have little or nothing else.
2. The Confession is very defective, not clearly expressing Originall sin, nor sufficiently enumerating Actuall sins with their aggravations, but consisting only of generals, whereas Confession being the exercise of repentance ought to be more particular.
3. There is also great defect, as to such Forms of Publick Prayers and Thanksgivings, as are suitable to Gospel Worship.
4. The whole Body of the Common Prayer also consisteth very much of meer generals, as to have our Prayers heard, to be kept from all evill, and from all enemies, and all adversities, that we may do Gods will without any mention of the particulars wherein these generals exist.
5. The Catechism is defective as to many necessary Doctrines of our Religion, some even of the Essentials of Christianity not mentioned, except in the Creed, and there not to explicite as ought to be in a Catechism.

XVIII. Because this Liturgy containeth the Imposition of divers Ceremonies, which from the first Reformation have by sundry Learned and Pious men been judged unwarrantable: as,

1. That Publick Worship may not be celebrated by any Minister that dares not wear a Surplice.
2. That none may Baptise, or be Baptised without the transient Image of the Crosse, which hath at least the semblance of a Sacrament of humane Institution, being used as an engaging sign in our first and solemn Covenanting with Christ, and the Duties whereunto we are really obliged by Baptism, being more expressly affixed to that Aery sign than to the holy Sacrament.
3. That none may receive the Lord's Supper that dares not kneel in the act of receiving, but the Minister must exclude all such from the Communion, although such kneeling not only differs from the Practice of Christ and of his Apostles, but (at least on the Lords daies) is contrary to the practice of the Catholick Church for many hundred years after, and forbid by the most venerable Councils that ever were in the Christian world. All which Impositions are made yet more grievous by that subscription to their lawfulness which the Canon exacts, and by the heavy punishments upon the non-observance of them, which the Act for uniformity inflicts.

And it being doubtfull, whether God hath given power unto men to institute in his worship such mystical teaching signs, which not being necessary *in genere*, fall not under the rule of doing all things decently, orderly, and to edification, and which once granted, will upon the same reason open a door to the arbitrary Imposition of numerous Ceremonies of which St. Aug. complained in his dayes, and the things in Controversie being in the Judgement of the Imposers confessedly indifferent, who dare not so much as pretend any real

goodness in themselves; otherwise than what is derived from their being imposed, and consequently the Imposition ceasing, that will cease also; and the Worship of God not become indecent without them; whereas on the other hand in the Judgement of the Opposers, they are by some held sinful and unlawful in themselves, by others very inconvenient and unsuitable to the simplicity of Gospel-Worship, and by all of them very grievous and burdensome, and therefore not at all fit to put in balance with the peace of the Church, which is more likely to be promoted by their removal than continuance; considering also how tender our Lord and Saviour himself is of weak Brethren, declaring it to be much better for a man to have a millstone to be hanged about his neck, and to be cast into the depth of the sea, than to offend one of his little ones, and how the Apostle *Paul* (who had as great a Legislative power in the Church as any under Christ) held himself obliged by that common rule of Charity, not to lay a stumbling block, or an occasion of offence before a weak Brother, chusing rather not to eat flesh while the world stands (though in it self a thing lawful) than offend his Brother, for whom Christ dyed; we cannot but desire that these Ceremonies may not be imposed on them who judge such impositions a violation of the Royalty of Christ, and an Impeachment of his Laws as insufficient, and are under the holy aw of that which is written, *Deut. 12. 32. What thing soever I command you, observe to do it, thou shalt not adde thereto, nor diminish from it*; but that there may be either a total abolition of them, or at least such a liberty, that those who are unsatisfied concerning their lawfulness, or expediency, may not be compelled to the practice of them or subscription to them; but may be permitted to enjoy their Ministerial Functions and Communion with the Church without them.

The rather because these Ceremonies have for above an hundred years been the Fountain of manifold evils in this Church and Nation, occasioning sad Divisions between Ministers and Ministers, and also between Ministers and People, exposing many orthodox, pious, and peaceable Ministers to the displeasure of their Rulers, casting them upon the Edge of the Penal Statutes; to the loss not only of their Livings and Liberties, but also of their opportunities for the service of Christ

Christ and his Church, and forcing people either to worship God in such a manner as their own consciences condemn, or doubt of, or else to forsake our Assemblies, as thousands have done, and no better fruits than these can be looked for from the retaining and imposing these Ceremonies, unless we could presume that all his Majesties Subjects should have the same subtilty of Judgement to discern even to a Ceremony how farr the Power of man extends in the things of God, which is not to be expected, or should yeeld obedience to all the Impositions of men concerning them, without enquiring into the will of God, which is not to be desired.

We do therefore most earnestly intreat the Right Reverend Fathers and Brethren, to whom these Papers are delivered, as they tender the Glory of God, the Honour of Religion, the Peace of the Church, the Service of His Majesty in the accomplishment of that happy Union which his Majesty hath so abundantly testified his desires of, to joyn with us in importing His most Excellent Majesty that His most gracious Indulgence, as to these Ceremonies granted in His Royal Declaration, may be confirmed and continued to us, and our posterities, and extended to such as do not yet enjoy the benefit thereof.

XIX. As to that Passage in His Majesties Commission, wherein we are authorized and required to compare the Present Liturgy with the most Ancient Liturgy, which have been used in the Church in the purest and most Primitive Times; we have in obedience to His Majesties Commission made enquiry, but cannot find any Records of known Credit concerning any entire Forms of Lyurgies within the first 300 years, which are confessed to be as the most Primitive, so the purest Ages of the Church, nor any Imposition of Liturgies upon any National Church; for some hundred years after we find indeed some Liturgical Forms fathered upon *St. Basil*, *St. Chrysostome*, and *St. Ambrose*; but we have not seen any Copies of them, but such as give us sufficient evidence to conclude them either wholly spurious, or so interpolated, that we cannot make a Judgement what in them hath any Primitive Authority.

Having thus in general expressed our desires, we come to
C 2 particu-

particulars, which we find numerous, and of a various nature; some we grant are of an inferiour consideration, verbal rather than material, which were they not in the Publick Liturgy of so Famous a Church, we should not have mentioned; others dubious and disputable, as not having a clear foundation in Scripture for their warrant; but some there be that seem to be corrupt, and to carry in them a repugnancy to the rule of the Gospel, and therefore have administred just matter of exception and offence to many truly religious and peaceable, not of a private station only, but Learned and Judicious Divines, aswel of other Reformed Churches, as of the Church of *England*, ever since the Reformation.

We know much hath been spoken and written by way of Apology in answer to many things that have been objected, but yet the doubts and scruples of tender consciences still continue, or rather are increased: We do therefore humbly conceive it therefore a Work worthy of those Wonders of Salvation which God hath wrought for His Majesty, now on the Throne, and for the whole Kingdome; and exceedingly becoming the Ministers of the Gospel of Peace, with all holy moderation and tenderness to endeavour the removal of every thing out of the Worship of God, which may justly offend or grieve the spirits of sober and godly people; the things themselves that we desire to be removed, not being of the foundation of Religion, nor the Essentials of Publick Worship, nor the removal of them any way, tending to the prejudice of the Church or State; therefore their continuance and rigorous Imposition can no ways be able to countervail the laying aside of so many pious and able Ministers, and the unconceivable grief that will arise to multitudes of His Majesties most Loyal and peaceable Subjects, who upon all occasions are ready to serve him with their Prayers, Estates, and Lives: For the preventing of which evils, we humbly desire that these particulars following may be taken into serious and tender consideration.

Concerning



Concerning Morning and Evening Prayer.

1. Rub. *That Morning and Evening Prayer shall be used in the accustomed place of the Church, Chancel, or Chappel, except it shall be otherwise determined by the Ordinary of the Place, and the Chancel shall remain as in times past.*

We desire that the words of the first Rub. may be expressed, as in the Book established by Authority of Parliament 5 & 6 Edwardi 6. thus; The Morning and Evening Prayer shall be used in such place of the Church, Chappel, or Chancel, and the Minister shall so turn himself, as the people may best hear; and if there be any controversies therein, the matter shall be referred to the Ordinary.

2. Rub. *And here it is to be noted, that the Minister at the time of the Communion, and at other times in his ministration, shall use such Ornaments in the Church as were in use by Authority of Parliament, in the second year of the Reign of Edward the Sixth, according to the Act of Parliament, &c.*

For as much as this Rubrick seemeth to bring back the Cope, Albe, and other vestments, forbidden in the Common Prayer Book, 5, 6. of Edw. 6. And for the reasons alledged against Ceremonies under our 18. general Exception, we desire it may be wholly left out.

The Lords Prayer after the Absolution ends thus: Deliver us from evil.

We desire that these Words, *For thine is the Kingdome, the Power and the Glory, for ever and ever, Amen,* may be always added unto the Lords Prayer, and that this Prayer may not be enjoined

enjoyed to be so often used in the Morning and Evening Service.

And at the end of every Psalm throughout the year, and likewise in the end of the Benedictus. Benedicite magnificat, &c. Nunc Dimittis, shall be repeated, Glory be to the Father. &c.

By this Rubrick, and other places in the Common Prayer Book the *Gloria Patri* is appointed to be said six times ordinarily in every Morning and Evening Services, frequently eight times in a Morning, sometimes ten, which we think carries with it at least an appearance of that vain repetition which Christ forbids, for the avoyding of which appearance of evil; we desire it may be used but once in the Morning, and once in the Evening.

Rubr. In such places where they do sing, there shall the Lessons be sung in a plain Tune, and likewise the Epistle and Gospel. Or this Canticle, Benedicite omnia opera.

Except. The Lessons, and the Epistles, and Gospels, being for the most part neither Psalms nor Hymns; we know no warrant why they should be sung in any place, and conceive that the distinct reading of them with an audible voyce, tends more to the edification of the Church: We desire that some Psalm or Scripture Hymn may be appointed instead of that Apocryphal.

In the Letany: From fornication, and all other deadly sins.

Except. In regard that the wages of sin is death; we desire that this clause may be thus altered. *From fornication and all other heynous (or greivous) sins.*

From battle and murther, and from sudden death.

Except. Because this expression (of sudden death) hath been so often excepted against; we desire (if it be thought fit) it may be thus read: *From battle and murther, and from dying suddenly and unprepared.*

That

That it may please thee to preserve all that travel by land and by water, all women labouring with child, all sick persons and young Children, and to shew thy pity upon all prisoners and captives.

We desire that the terme All, may be advised upon as Except. seeming liable to just exceptions, and that it may be considered whether it may not better be put indefinitely, those that travel, &c. rather then universally.

The Collect of Christmas day.

Almighty God which hast given us thy only begotten son to take our nature upon him, and this day to be born of a pure Virgin, &c.

The Rubrick.

Then shall follow the collect of the Nativity which shall be said continually unto New-years-day.

The Collect for Whitsunday.

God which upon this day, &c.

We desire that in both collects the words (this day) may Except. be left out, it being according to vulgar acceptation a contradiction.

Rubrick.

The same Collect to be read on Monday and Tuesday in Whitsun-week.

The two Collects for St. Johns day and Innocents, the Collects for the first day in Lent, for the fourth Sunday after Easter, for Trinity Sunday, for the sixth and twelfth Sunday after Trinity, for St. Lukes day and Michaelmas day.

We desire that these Collects may be further considered Except. and debated, as having in them divers things that we judge fit to be altered.

The

The Order for the Administration of the Lords Supper.

Rub. **S**O many as intend to be partakers of the holy Communion shall signifie their Names to the Curate over night, or else in the morning before the beginning of morning Prayer.

Excep. The time here assigned for notice to be given to the Minister is not sufficient.

Rub. *And if any of these be an open and notorious evil liver, the Curate having knowledge thereof, shall call him and advertize him in any wise not to presume to come to the Lords Table.*

Excep. We desire the Ministers power both to admit and keep from the Lords Table, may be according to his Majesties Declaration of the 25. Octob. 1660. in these words; The Minister shall admit none to the Lords Supper till they have made a credible profession of their faith, and promised obedience to the will of God, according as is expressed in the consideration of the Rubrick before the Catechisme; and that all possible diligence be used for the instruction and reformation of scandalous offenders, whom the Minister shall not suffer to partake of the Lords table, untill they have openly declared themselves to have truly repented and amended their former naughty lives, as is partly expressed in the Rubrick, and more fully in the Cannons.

Rub. *Then shall the Priest rehearse distinctly all the ten Commandments, and the people kneeling shall after every Commandment ask God mercy for transgressing the same.*

Excep. We desire;

First, that the Preface prefixed by God himself to the ten Commandments may be restored.

Secondly, that the fourth Commandment may be read, as in Exodus 20. Deut. 5. He blessed the Sabbath day.

Thirdly, that neither Minister nor people may be enjoined to kneel more at the reading of this; then of any other parts of Scripture: The rather because many ignorant persons are thereby induced to use the ten Commandments as a prayer.

Fourth-

Fourthly, that instead of those short prayers of the people intermixed with the several Commandments, the Minister after the reading of all may conclude with a suitable Prayer.

After the Creed, if there be no sermon, shall follow one of the Rub. Homilies already set forth, or hereafter to be set forth by common authority.

We desire that the preaching of the word may be strictly *Excep.* enjoined, and not left so indifferent at the administration of the Sacrament, as also that Ministers may not be bound to those things which are as yet but future, and not in being.

After the Sermon, Homily, or Exhortation, the Curate shall Rub. declare, &c. and earnestly exhort them to remember the poor, saying one or more of those sentences following.

Two of the sentences here cited are Apocryphal, and *Excep.* foure of them more proper to draw out the peoples bounty to their Minister, then their charity to the poor.

Then shall the Church Wardens, or some other by them ap- Rub. pointed gather the Devotion of the people.

Collection for the poor may be better made at or a little *Excep.* before the departing of the Communicants.

We be come together at this time to feed at the Lords Supper, 1. Exhor. to the which in Gods behalf I bid you all that be here present, and beseech you for the Lord Jesus Christs sake, that you will not refuse to come.

If it be intended that these exhortations should be read at *Excep.* the Communion, they seem to us to be unreasonable.

The way and means thereto is, first, to examine your lives, 2. Exhor. and Conversations; and if ye shall perceive your offences to be such as be not only against God, but also against your neighbors, then you shall reconcile yourselves unto them, and be ready to make r restitution and satisfaction.

And because it is requisite that no man should come to the 3. Exhor. holy communion, but with a full trust in Gods mercy, and with a quiet conscience.

We fear this may discourage many from coming to *Excep.* the Sacrament, who lie under a doubting and troubled conscience.

Rub. before the Con-

Then shall this general confession be made in the name of all fession.

Da

those

those that are minded to receive the holy Communion; either by one of them, or by one of the Ministers, or by the Priest himself.

Excep. We desire it may be made by the Minister onely.

Rub. Then shall the Priest or the Bishop being present stand up, and turning himself to the people, say thus.

Excep. The Ministers turning himself to the people is most convenient throughout the whole ministration.

Rub. Before the Prefaces on Christmas day and seven days after.

Because thou didst give Jesus Christ thine onely Son to be born on this day for us, &c.

Excep. First, we cannot peremptorily fix the Nativity of our Saviour to this or that particular day. Secondly, it seemes incongruous to affirm the Birth of Christ, and the descending of the holy Ghost to be on this day, for seven or eight days together.

Upon Whitsunday and six days after.

Prayer before that which is at the Consecration. According to whose most true promise the holy Ghost came down this day from heaven; Grant us that our sinful bodies may be made clean by his body, and our souls washed by his most precious blood.

Excep. We desire that whereas these words seem to give a greater efficacy to the blood then to the body of Christ, they may be altered thus, That our sinful souls and Bodies may be cleansed through his precious body and blood.

Prayer at the Consecration.

Hear us O merciful father, &c. who in the same night that he was betrayed took bread; and when he had given thanks, he brake it and gave it to his Disciples, saying, take, eat, &c.

Excep. We conceive that the manner of consecrating of the elements is not here explicate and distinct enough: And the Ministers breaking of the bread is not so much as mentioned.

Then

Thou shalt the Minister first receive the Communion in both kinds, &c. and after deliver it to the people in their hands kneeling; and when he delivereth the bread, he shall say, The body of our Lord Jesus Christ which was given for thee, preserve thy body and soul unto everlasting life; and take, and eat this in remembrance, &c. Rub.

We desire that at the distribution of the Bread and Wine *Excep.* to the Communicants, we may use the words of our Saviour as near as may be; and that the Minister be not required to deliver the Bread and Wine into every particular Communicants hand, and to repeat the words to each one in the singular number, but that it may suffice to speak them to divers jointly, according to our Saviours example. We also desire that kneeling at the Sacrament (it not being the gesture which the Apostles used, though Christ was personally present amongst them, nor that which was used in the purest and primitive times of the Church) may be left free, as it was 1. & 2. Ed. 6. As touching Kneeling, &c. they may be used or left, as every mans devotion serveth, without blame.

And note, That every Parishoner shall communicate, at the least, three times in the year; of which Easter shall be one: Rub.
And after shall receive the Sacraments, and other Rites, according to the Orders in this book appointed.

Forasmuch as all Parishoners are not duely qualified for the Lords Supper; and those habitually prepared, are not *Excep.* at all times actually disposed, but may be hindered by the providence of God, and some by the distempers of their own spirits; We desire this Rubrick may be either wholly omitted, or they altered. Every Minister shall be bound to administer the Sacrament of the Lords Supper, at the least, thrice a year; provided there be a due number of Communicants manifesting their desire to receive.

And we desire that the following Rubrick in the Common-Prayer-Book in 5. & 6. Ed. 6. established by Law as much as any other part of the Common-Prayer-Book, may be restored for the Vindication of our Church in the matter of kneeling at the Sacrament, (although the gesture be left indifferent) ("Although no order can be so perfectly devised, but it may be of some, either for their

“ their ignorance and infirmity, or else of malice and obstinacy misconstrued and depraved, and interpreted in a wrong part. And yet because that brotherly Charity willeth, that (so much as conveniently may be) offences should be taken away; Therefore we willing to do the same, whereas it is ordered in the Book of Common-Prayer in the administration of the Lords Supper, that the Communicants kneeling should receive the Holy Communion; which thing being well meant for a signification of the humble and grateful acknowledgement of the benefit of Christ given to the worthy Receivers, and to avoid the prophanation and disorder, which about the holy Communion might else ensue) lest yet the same kneeling might be thought, or taken otherwise: We do declare that it is not meant thereby, that any Adoration is done, or ought to be done, either unto the Sacramental Bread or Wine, there bodily received, or unto any real and essential presence there being of Christs natural flesh and blood, forasmuch as concerning the Sacramental Bread and Wine they remain still in their very natural substances, and therefore may not be adored; for that were Idolatry to be abhorred of all faithful Christians: And as concerning the natural body and blood of our Saviour Christ, they are in Heaven, and not here; for it is against the truth of Christs natural body to be in more places, then in one at one time.

Of Publick Baptism.

THere being divers learned, pious and peaceable Ministers, who not only judge it unlawfull to baptize Children, whose Parents both of them are *Atheists, Infidels, Hereticks*, or unbaptized; but also such, whose parents are excommunicate persons; Fornicators, or otherwise notorious and scandalous sinners. We desire they may not be enforced to baptize the Children of such, untill they have made open profession of their repentance before Baptism.

Rub.
Excep.

Parents shall give notice over-night, or else in the morning.
We desire that more timely notice may be given.

And

And then the Godfathers and the Godmothers, and the people with their Children.

Here is no mention of the Parents in whose right the child *Excep.* is baptized, and who are fittest both to dedicate it to God, and to undertake to God and the Church for it. We do not know that any persons (except the parents, or some other appointed by them) have any power to consent for the Children, or to enter into Covenant. We desire it may be left free to parents, whither they will have Sureties to undertake for their Children in Baptism.

Ready at the Font.

We desire it may be so placed, as all the Congregation *Rub. Excep.* may best see and hear the whole administration.

In the first Prayer.

By the Baptism of thy well beloved Son, &c. didst sanctifie the flood Jordan, and all other waters to the mystical washing away of sin.

It being doubtfull, whither either the flood *Jordan*, or any other waters were sanctified to a Sacramental use by Christs being baptized, and not necessary to be altered: We desire this may be otherwise expressed. *Excep. 7*

The third Exhortation.

Do promise by you that be their Sureties.

The Questions.

Dost thou forsake? &c.

Dost thou believe? &c.

Wilt thou be baptized? &c.

We know not by what right the Sureties do promise and *Excep.* answer in the name of the Infant: It seemeth to us also to countenance the Anabaptistical opinion; of the necessity of an actual profession of faith and repentance in order to baptism. That such a profession may be required of the parents in their own name, and now solemnly rennewed when they

they present their Children to baptism, we willingly grant : But the asking of one for another, is a practise, whole warrant we doubt : And we desire that the two first Interrogatories may be put to the Parents to be answered in their own names ; and the last propounded to the Parents, or Pro-parents, thus ;

Will you have this Child baptized into this Faith ?

In the second Prayer before Baptism.

May receive remission of sins by spiritual regeneration.

Excep. This expression seeming inconvenient, we desire it may be changed into this, *May be regenerated, and receive remission of sins.*

In the Prayer after Baptism.

That it hath pleased thee to regenerate thy Infant by thy holy Spirit.

Excep. We cannot in Faith say, that every Child that is baptized, is regenerated by Gods Holy Spirit ; at least, it is a disputable point, and therefore we desire that it may be otherwise expressed.

After Baptism.

Then shall the Priest make a Cross.

Excep. Concerning the Cross in Baptism, we refer to our eighteenth General.

Of Private Baptism.

WE desire that Baptism may not be administered in a private place at any time, unless by a lawfull Minister, and in the presence of a competent number : That where it is evident that any Child hath been so baptized, no part of the administration may be reiterated in publick under any limitation ; and therefore we see no need of any Liturgy in that case.

Of

Of the Catechism.

What is your Name?

Quest. 1.

Who gave you that Name?

Quest. 2.

My God-fathers and my God-mothers in my Baptism.

Answ.

What did your God-fathers and God-mothers do for you in Baptism?

Quest. 3.

We desire that these three first Questions may be altered, *Excep.* considering that the far greater number of persons baptized within these twenty years last past, had no Godfathers nor God-mothers at their Baptism. The like to be done in the seventh Question.

In my Baptism wherein I was made a Child of God, a member of Christ, and an Inheritor of the Kingdom of heaven.

Answ. 2.

We conceive it might more safely be expressed thus; Where- *Excep.* in I was visibly admitted into the number of the members of Christ, the Children of God, and the Heirs (rather than the Inheritors) of the Kingdom of heaven.

Of the Rehearsal of the ten Commandments.

We desire that the Commandments may be inserted according to the new translation of the Bible.

10. Answ. My Duty towards God, is to believe in him, &c.

In this Answer there seems particular respect to be had *Excep.* to the several Commandments of the first Table. as in the following Answer to those of the second; And therefore we desire it may be advised upon, whither to the last words of this Answer, may not be added particularly, On the Lords day, otherwise there being nothing in all this answer that refers to the fourth Commandment.

How many Sacraments hath Christ ordained?

Qu. 14.

Two only as generally necessary to salvation.

Answ.

That these words may be omitted, and Answer thus given *Excep.* Two only, Baptism and the Lords Supper.

What is required of persons to be baptized?

Qu. 19.

E

Repea-

Ans. Repentance, whereby they forsake sin : and Faith, whereby they stedfastly believe the promise of God.]

Quest. 20 Why then are Infants baptized, when by reason of their tender age they cannot perform them?

Ans. Yes, they do perform them by their Sureties, who promise and vow them both in their names.

Excep. We desire that the entring of Infants into Gods Covenant, may be more warily expressed, and that the words may not seem to found their Baptism upon a real actual faith and repentance of their own. And we desire that a promise may not be taken for the performance of such faith and repentance; especially that it be not asserted, that they perform these by the promise of their Sureties, it being to the feed of Believers that the Covenant of God is made, and not (that we can find) to all that have such believing Sureties, who are neither Parents, nor Pro-parents of their Children.

In the general; We observe that the Doctrine of the Sacraments was added upon the conference at *Hampton Court*, is much more fully and particularly delivered, then the other parts of the Catechism in short Answers fitted to the memories of Children, and thereupon we offer it to be considered,

1. Whither there should not be a more distinct and full explication of the Creed, the Commandments, and the Lords Prayer,

2. Whither it were not convenient to add (what seems to be wanting) somewhat particularly concerning the nature of Faith, of Repentance, of the two Covenants, Justification, Sanctification, Adoption and Regeneration.

of

(69)

Of Confirmation.

The last Rubrick before the Catechism.

AND that no man shall think that any detriment shall Rub.
come to Children by deferring of their confirmati-
on, he shall know for truth, that it is certain by Gods Word,
that Children by being baptized, have all things necessary
for their salvation, and be undoubtedly saved.

Although we charitably suppose the meaning of these words Excep.
was only to exclude the necessity of any other Sacraments to
baptized Infants; yet these words are dangerous, as to the
misleading of the vulgar, and therefore we desire they
may be expunged.

After the Catechism.

SO soon as the Children can say in their mother tongue the Rub.
Articles of the Faith: the Lords Prayer, and the ten
Commandments, and can answer to such other questions of the
short Catechism, &c. Then shall they be brought to the Bi-
shop, and the Bishop shall confirm them.

We conceive that it is not a sufficient qualification for con- Excep.
firmation, that Children be able *memoriter* to repeat the
Articles of the faith, commonly called the Apostles Creed,
the Lords Prayer, & the ten Commandments, & to answer to
some questions of the short Catechism: for it is often found,
that Children are able to do all this at four or five years old.

2. It crosses what is said in the third reason of the first
Rubrick before confirmation concerning the usage of the
Church in times past, ordaining that Confirmation should
be administered to them that are of perfect age, that they
being instructed in Christian Religion, should openly pro-
fess their own faith, and promise to be obedient to the will of
God.

Thirdly, We desire that none may be confirmed, but according to his Majesties Declaration, viz. That Confirmation be rightly and solemnly performed by the information, and with the Consent of the Minister of the place.

Rubrick.

After the Catechism.

Then shall they be brought to the Bishop by one that shall be his God-father or God-mother.

Excep. This seems to bring in a second sort of God-fathers and God-mothers, besides those made use of at Baptism; and we see no need either of the one or other.

The prayer before imposition of hands.

Who hast vouchsafed to regenerate these thy servants by water and the holy Ghost, and hast given unto them the forgiveness of all their sins.

Excep. This supposeth all the Children who are brought to be confirmed, have the Spirit of Christ, and the forgiveness of all their sins: whereas a great number of Children of that age having committed many sins since their Baptism, do shew no evidence of serious repentance, or of any special saving grace: And therefore this confirmation (if administered to such) would be a perillous and gross abuse.

Rubrick.

Rub. Before the Imposition of hands.

THe Bishop shall lay his hands upon every childe severally.

This seemes to put a higher value upon Confirmation then *Excep.* upon Baptisme or the Lords Supper; for according to the Rules and Orders in the Common-prayer-Book, every Deacon may Baptize, and every Minister may Consecrate and administer the Lords Supper; but the Bishop onely may confirme.

The Prayer after imposition of hands.

V*V*E make our humble supplication to thee for these children, upon whom after the Example of thy holy Apostles, we have laid our hands to certifie them by this signe of thy favour and gracious goodnesse towards them.

We desire that the practice of the Apostles may not be al- *E xcep.* ledged as a ground of the Imposition of hands for the confirmation of children, both because the Apostles did never use it in that case, as also because the Articles of the Church of England declare it to be a corrupt imitation of the Apostles practice, *Art. 25.*

We desire that imposition of hands may not be made (as here it is a signe to certifie children of Gods grace and favour towards them, because this seems to speak it a Sacrament, and is contrary to that forementioned 25. *Art.* which says, that Confirmation hath no visible signe appointed by God.

The Rub. after Confirmation.

NOne shall be admitted to the holy Communion, untill such time as he can say the Catechisme and be confirmed.

We:

Excep. We desire that Confirmation may not be made so necessary to the holy Communion, as that none should be admitted to it, unless they be confirmed.

Of the Form of Solemnization of Matrimony.

Rub. **T**He man shall give the woman a Ring, &c. Shall faithfully perform and keep the vow and Covenant betwixt them made, whereof the Ring given and received is a token and pledge, &c.

Excep. Seeing the Ceremony of the Ring in marriage is made necessary to it, and a significant signe of the vow, and covenant betwixt the parties: and Romish Ritualists give such reasons for the institution and use of the Ring, as are either frivolous or superstitious: It is desired that this Ceremony of the Ring in marriage may be left indifferent to be used or forborn.

The man shall say, with my body I thee worship, &c.

Excep. This word (worship) being much altered in the use of it, since this forme was first drawn up: We desire some other word may be used instead of it.

In the name of the Father, and of the Sonne, and of the holy Ghost, &c.

Excep. These words being only used in baptism, and here in the solemnization of Matrimony, and in the absolution of sick, We desire it may be considered whether they should not here be omitted, least they should seem to favour those that count Matrimony a Sacrament.

Till deathus depart.

Excep. This word depart is here improperly used,

Then

Then the Minister or Clerk going to the Lords Table shall Rub.
say or sing this Psalme.

The Psalm ended, and the man and woman kneeling before Next Rub.
the Lords Table, the Priest standing at the Table, and turning
his face, &c.

We conceive the change of place and posture mentioned in these two Rubricks, is needlesse, and therefore desire it to be omitted.

Consecrated the state of Marimony to such an excellent Collect.
mystery.

Seeing the institution of Marimony was before the fall, Except. and so before the promise of Christ, as also for that the said passage in the Collect seemes to countenance the opinion of making Marimony a Sacrament, we desire that clause may be altered or omitted.

Then shall begin the Communion, and after the Gospel shall Rub.
be said a Sermon, &c.

The new married persons, the same day of their marriage must Last rub.
receive the holy Communion.

This Rubrick doth either informe all such as are unfit Except. for the Sacrament to forbear marriage, contrary to Scripture, which approves the marriage of all men, or else compells all that marry to come to the Lords Table, though never so unprepared. And therefore we desire it may be omitted, the rather because that marriage festivals are too often accompanied with such divertisements as are unsuitable to those Christian duties, which ought to be before and follow after the receiving that holy Sacrament.

Of the order for the visitation of the sick.

Before Absolution, here shall the sick person make a special confession, &c. after which confession the Priest shall absolve him after this sort, Rub.

Our

Rub.

Our Lord Jesus Christ, &c.

Excep.

And by his Authority committed to me, I absolve thee.

Forasmuch as the conditions of sick persons be very various and different, the Minister may not onely in the exhortation, but in the prayer also be directed to apply himself to the particular condition of the person as he shall find most suitable to the present occasion, with due regard had both to his spiritual condition and bodily weakness, and that the Absolution may be onely recommended to the Minister to be used or omitted as he shall see occasion.

That the form of the Absolution be declaratory and conditional, as (I pronounce thee absolved) instead (I absolve thee) if thou doest truly repent and believe.

Of the Communion of the sick.

Rub.

But if the sick person be not able to come to Church, yet is desirous to receive the Communion in his house, then must he give knowledge over night, or early in the morning to the Curate, and having a convenient place in the sick mans house, he shall there administer the holy Communion.

Excep.

Considering that many sick persons, either by their ignorance or vitious life, without any evident manifestation of repentance, or by the nature of the disease disturbing their intellectuals, be unmeet for receiving the Sacrament: It is proposed that the Minister be not enjoined to administer the Sacrament to every sick person that shall desire it, but onely as he shall judge expedient.

Of the order for the buriall of the dead.

We desire it may be expressed in the Rubrick that the prayers and exhortations here used are not for the benefit of the dead, but onely for the instruction and comfort of the living.

The Priest meeting the Corps at the Church-dile shall say Rub. or else the Priest and Clerk shall sing &c.

We desire that Ministers may be left to use their discretions in these circumstances, and to perform the whole service in the Church, if they think fit for the preventing of those inconveniencies, which many times both Minister and people are exposed unto standing in the open aire.

For as much as it hath pleased Almighty God of his great Rub, mercy to take unto himself the soul of our dear Brother here departed, we therefore commit his body to the ground &c. In sure and certain hope of resurrection to eternall life.

These words cannot in truth be said of persons living *Excep.* and dying in open and notorious sins.

The first Prayer.

We give thee thanks for that it hath pleased thee to deliver this our Brother out of the misery of this sinful world. That we with this our Brother and all other departed in the true faith of thy holy Name may have our perfect consummation and blisse.

These words may harden the wicked and are inconsistent with the largest Charity. *Excep.*

The last Prayer.

That when we depart this life we may rest with him, as our hope is this our Brother doth.

F

These

Excep. These words cannot be used with respect to those persons who have not by their actual repentance given any ground for the hope of their blessed estate.

Of the thanksgiving of women after Child-birth commonly called the Churching of women,

Rub. **T**he woman shall come into the Church, and there shall kneel down in some convenient place nigh unto the place where the Table stands, and the Priest standing by shall say

Excep. In regard that the woman's kneeling where the Table is in many Churches inconvenient; we desire that those words may be left out and that the Minister may perform that service in the Deske or Pulpit.

Rub. Then the Priest shall say this Psalme &c.

Excep. This Psalme seems not so pertinent as some others: as Psal. 113. and Psal. 128. &c.

Ans. Lord save this woman thy servant. which putteth her trust in thee.

Excep. It may fall out that a woman may come to give thanks for a Childe born in Adultery or Fornication; And therefore we desire that something may be required of her by way of profession of her humiliation as well as of her thanksgiving.

Rubrick The woman that comes to give thanks must offer her accustomed offerings.

Excep. This may seem too like a Jewish Purification, rather than a Christian thanksgiving.

The same Rub. And if there be a Communion it is convenient that she receive the holy Communion.

Excep. We desire this may be interpreted (if duly qualified) for a scandalous sinner may come to make this thanksgiving. Thus

THus have we in all humble pursuance of his Majesties most gracious endeavours for the publick weal of this Church, drawn up our thoughts and desires in this weighty affair, which we most humbly offer to his Majesties Commissioners for their serious and grave consideration: wherein we have not the least thoughts of depraving or reproaching the Book of Common-Prayer, but a sincere desire to contribute our endeavours towards leading the distempers (and as far as may be) reconciling the mindes of Brethren. And in as much as his Majestie hath in his gracious Declaration and Commission mentioned new Forms to be made and suited to the severall parts of worship: we have made a considerable progresse therein, and shall by Gods assistance offer them to the reverend Commissioners with all convenient speed. And if the Lord shall graciously please to give his blessing to these our endeavours, we doubt not but that the peace of this Church will be shortly settled, The hearts of Ministers and People comforted and composed, and the great mercy of Unitie and Stabilitie (to the immortall honour of our most dear Sovereign) bestowed upon us, and our posterity after us.

August 30.
1661.

F I N I S

F 2

[illegible]



To the most Reverend
ARCHBISHOP
AND
BISHOPS

And the Reverend their Assistants, Commis-
sioned by his Majesty; to treat about the Al-
teration of the Book of Common Prayer.

Most Reverend Father and Reverend Brethren ;

When we received your Papers, and were told that they
contained not onely an Answer to our Exceptions a-
gainst the present Liturgie ; But also several Con-
cessions , wherein you seem willing to joyn with us in
the Alteration & Reformation of it: Our expectations
were so far raised , as that we promised our selves ; to
find your Concessions so considerable , as would have greatly conduced to
the healing of our much to be lamented Divisions , the settling of the
Nation in Peace, and the satisfaction of tender Consciences, according
to his Majesties most gracious Declaration , and his Royal Commis-
sion in pursuance thereof : But having taken a survey of them , we
find our selves exceedingly disappointed, and that they will fall far short
of attaining those happy Ends , for which this Meeting was first de-
signed ; as may appear both by the paucity of the Concessions , and the
inconsiderablenesse of them, they being for the most part , Verbal , and
Literal, rather then Real, and Substantial; for in them you allow
not the laying aside of the reading of the Apochrypha for Lessons,
though

though it shut out some hundreds of Chapters of Holy Scripture, and sometimes the Scripture it self is made to give way to the Apocryphal Chapters; you plead against the addition of the Doxologie unto the Lord's Prayer, you give no Liberty to omit the too frequent repetition of Gloria Patri, nor of the Lord's Prayer in the same publick Service, nor do you yield the Psalmes be read in the new Translation, nor the word P. ielt to be changed for Minister or Presbyter, though both have been yielded unto in the Scottish Liturgie; you grant not the omission of the Responsals, no not in the Letanie it self, though the Petitions be so framed, as the People make the Prayer, and not the Minister; nor to read the Communion Service in the Desk, when there is no Communion, But in the late Form instead thereof, it is enjoyed to be done at the Table, though there be no Rubrick in the Common Prayer Book requiring it; you plead for the Holiness of Lent, contrary to the Statute; you indulge not the omission of any one Ceremonie; you will force men to kneel at the Sacrament, and yet not put in that excellent Rubr. in the v. and vj. of Edw. 6. which would much conduce to the satisfaction of many that scruple it. And whereas divers Reverend Bishops and Doctours, in a Paper in Print before these unhappy Warres began, yielded to the laying aside of the Crosse, and the making many material alteration you after xx. years sad Calamities & Divisions, seem unwilling to grant what they of their own accord then offered; you seem not to grant that the Clause of the fourth Commandment in the Common Prayer Book (the Lord blessed the seventh day) should be altered according to the Hebr. Exod. 20. the Lord blessed the Sabbath day; you will not change the word Sunday into the Lord's day, nor adde any thing to make a difference between Holidayes that are of Humane Institution; and the Lord's day, that is questionlesse of Apostolical practice; you will not alter Deadly Sin in the Letanie into Heynous Sin, though it hints to us that some Sinnes are in their own nature Venial; nor that Answer in the Catech. of two Sacraments onely generally necessary to salvation, although it intimates that there are other New Testament Sacraments, though Two onely necessary to Salvation; you speak of singing David's Psalmes, allowed by Authoritie, by way of Contempt calling them Hopkins Psalmes; And though singing of Psalmes be an Ordinance of God, yet you call it one of our principal parts of Worship, as if it were disclaimed by you. And are so far from countenancing the use of conceived Prayer in the publick Worship of God (though we never intended thereby the excluding of set Formes) as that you seem to dislike the use of it even in the Pulpit,

Pulpit, and heartily desire a total restraint of it in the Church; you will not allow the omission of the Benedicite, nor a Psalm to be read instead of it; nor so much as abate the reading of the Chapters out of the Old Testament, and the Acts for the Epistles; But rather then you will gratifie us therein, you have found out a new Device, that the Minister shall say (for the Epistle) you will not so much as leave out in the Collect; for Christmaß day these words (this day) though at least, it must be a great uncertaintie, and cannot be true stylo veteri, & novo. In publick Baptism you are so far from giving a Libertie to the Parent to Answer for his own Child (which seems most reasonable) as that you force him to the use of Sureties, and cause them to answer in the name of the Infant, that he doth believe, and repent, and forsake the Devil and all his worke, which doth much favour the Anabaptistlicall Opinion for the necessitie of an Actuall profession of Faith and Repentance in order to Baptism; you will not leave the Minister in the Visitation of the Sick to use his judgement of discretion in Absolving the Sick person, or in giving the Sacrament to him, but enjoin both of them, though the Person to his own judgement seem never so unfit; neither do you allow the Minister to pronounce the Absolution in a Declarative and Conditional way; but absolutely, and inconditionately. And even in one of your Concessions in which we suppose you intend to accommodate with us, you rather widen then heal the Breach, for in your last Rubr. before the Catech. you would have the words thus altered, That Children being baptized, have all things necessary for salvation, and dying before they commit any actuall sin, be undoubtedly saved, though they be not Confirmed, which Assertion, if understood of all Infants, even of heathen, is certainly false; and if onely of the Infants of Christians, is doubtfull and contrary to the judgement of many learned Protestants, and will give little satisfaction to us or others; some more we might name, which for brevities sake we omit: All which considered we altogether despair of that happy success which Thousands hope and wait for from this his Majesties Commission; unless God shall incline your hearts for the Peace and Union of the Nation to a more considerable and satisfactory alteration of the Liturgie. In which that we may the better prevail, we here tender an Answer to your Reply, both against our general and particular Exceptions, of which we desire a serious perusal, and candid interpretation. We have divided both your Preface and Reply into several Sections, that so you might more easily understand to which of the particulars both in the one and in the other our Answer doth refer.



THE
PAPERS
 That passed between the
COMMISSIONERS
 Appointed by His Majestie for the
 Alteration of the
COMMON PRAYER, &c.



THE strayne of these Papers we fear is like to perswade many that your design is not the same with ours : Being assured , that it is our Duty to do what we can to the peace and concord of Believers , especially when we had the past and present Calamities of these Nations to urge us , and his Majesties Commands and gracious promises to encourage us, we judged the fittest means to be by making known the hinderances of our Concord, & without reviving the remembrance of those things that tend to exasperate, to apply our selves with due submission to those that may contribute much to our recovery , and without personal reflections to propose the Remedies which we knew would be most effectual , and humbly and earnestly to petition you for your Consent. But instead of Consent or amicable debates in order to the removal of our differences, we have received from you

B

a Paper

a Paper abounding with sharp accusations, as if your work were to prove us bad, and make us odious, which as it is attempted upon mistake, by unrighteous means, so were it accomplished, we know not how it will conduce to the Concord which ought to be our common end. If we understand Christ's Commission, or the Kings, and our duty as Christians, or as Ministers, our work now assigned us, was not to search after and aggravate the faults of one another, (though of our own in season we are willing to hear) but to review the Liturgy, and agree upon such alterations, diminutions, and enlargements as are needfull to our common unity and peace. What is amisse in us we shall thankfully accept your charitable assistance to discover, but we take not that for the question which his Majesty called us to debate: nor do our judgements or dispositions, lead us to recriminations, nor to cast such impediments in the way of our desired accord: And were it not that our Calling and our Masters work are concerned somewhat in our just vindication, we should not trouble you with so low, so private, and unnecessary a work, but leave such Causes to the righteous Judge who will quickly impartially, infallibly and finally decide them.

P R E F A C E.

- §. 1. [**B**Efore we come to the Proposals, it will be perhaps necessary to say a word or two to the Preface, wherein they begin with a thankfull acknowledgement of His Majesties most Princely condescension, to which we shall only say, that we conceive the most real expression of their thankfulness had been an hearty compliance with his Majesties earnest and passionate request for the use of the present Liturgy, at least so much of it as they acknowledge by these Papers to be lawfull: how far they have in this expressed their thankfulness, the world sees, we need not say.]

The Reply to your Preface.

1. **A**S we hope it is no matter of offence to acknowledge his Majesties gracious condescension, so when his Majesty by his Declaration hath granted us some liberty as to the use of the Liturgy before the alteration, and hath by his Commission engaged us in a consultation for the alteration of it, we conceive our Brethren (nor the world to whose observation they appeal) had no warrant to censure us as unthankfull to his Majesty, because of our present forbearance to use it, or part of it before the intended alteration:

tion : At least till they had heard us speak for our selves, and render an account of the reasons of our forbearance, and they had gone before us more exemplarily in their own obedience to his Majesties Declaration. As to our own Consciences if we thought not the Common Prayer Book to be guilty of the general and particular faults which we have laid open to you, we durst not have found fault with it ; And while we took it to be a defective, disorderly, and inconvenient mode of worship, it would be our sin to use it of choyce while we may prefer a more convenient way, what ever we ought to do in case of necessity when we must worship God inconveniently or not at all. And as to our People, for whose edification and not destruction we have our power or offices, we have taken that course, as far as we are able to understand, which most probably tended to their good, and to prevent their hurt and separation from the Church : and consequently that course which did most conduce to his Majesties ends, and to his real service, and the Churches peace : none of which would be prompted, by our obtruding that upon our People, which we know them unable to digest, or by our hasty offending them with the use of that, which we are forced to blame, and are endeavouring to correct and alter. And we see not how it can be justly intimated that we use no part of it, when we use the *Lords Prayer*, the *Creed*, the *Commandments*, the *Psalmes*, the *Chapters*, and some other parts ; And how much more you expect we should have used, that we might have escaped this brand of Ingratitude, we know not. But we know that Charity suffereth long and thinketh no evil, (1 Cor. 13. 4, 5.) and that we have not attempted to obtrude any mode of Worship on our Bretheren, but desired the liberty to use things of that nature as may conduce to the benefit of our Flocks : And as we leave them to judge what is most beneficial to their own Flocks, who know them, and are upon the place ; so it is but the like freedome which we desire, we are loath to hurt our people knowingly. The time is short, if you will answer our reasonable Proposals, it will not be too late at the expiration of our Commission, or the date of the reformed Liturgy to use it : greater liberty hath been used about Liturgies in purer times of the Church, with lesse offence and accusation.

[It can be no just cause of offence to mind them of their duty as they do us of ours, telling us, it is our duty to imitate the Apostles practise in a special manner, to be tender of the Churches peace, and to advise of such

S. 2.

expedients, as may conduce to the healing of breaches, and uniting those that differ; For preserving of the Churches peace we know no better nor more efficacious way than our set Liturgy, there being no such way to keep us from Schisme as to speak all the same thing according to the Apostles.]

Reply. If you look to the time past, by our Duties we suppose you mean our Faults; For it is not Duty when it's past: If you in these words respect only the time present and to come, we Reply, 1. The Liturgy we are assured will not be a lesse but a more probable means of Concord after the desired Reformation than before; the defects and inconveniences make it lesse fit to attain the end. 2ly. Whether the Apostle by speaking the same thing did mean either (all using this Liturgy of ours) or [all using any one form of Liturgy as to the words] may easily be determined. This is of much later date, unlesse you will denominate the whole form of the Lords Prayer, and some little parts. And those that affirm, that the Apostles then had any other, must undertake the task of proving it, and excusing the Churches for loosing and dis-using so precious a Relict; which if preserved would have prevented all our stifes about these things. And in the mean time they must satisfie our Arguments for the Negative; As 1. if a Liturgy had been indited by the Apostles for the Churches, being by universal Officers inspired by the Holy Ghost, and so of universal use, it would have been used and preserved by the Church as the Holy Scriptures were. But so it was not. Ergo no such Liturgy, was indited by them for the Churches. 2ly. If a prescript form of words had been delivered them, there would have been no such need of exhorting them to speak the same thing, for the Liturgy would have held them close enough to that. And if the meaning had been (see that you use the same Liturgy) some word or other to some of the Churches would have acquainted us with the existence of such a thing, and some reproofs we should have found of those that used various Liturgies, or formed Liturgies of their own, or used extemporary Prayers: and some expresse exhortations to use the same Liturgy or Forms: But the Holy Scripture is silent in all those matters: It is apparent therefore that the Churches then had no Liturgy, but took liberty of extemporate expressions, and spoke in the things of God, as men do in other matters with a natural plainesse and serioussesse, suiting their expressions to the subjects and occasions. And though Divisions began to disturb their Peace and holy Orders, the Apostle instead

instead of prescribing them a Forme of Divine Services for their Unity and Concord, doe exhort them to use their Gifts and liberties aright, and speak the same thing for matter, avoiding Disagreements, though they used not the same words.

3. *Iust. Martyr, Tertull.* and others sufficiently intimate to us, that the Churches quickly after the Apostles did use the personal Abilities of their Pastours in Prayer, and give us no hint of any such Liturgy of Apostolical fabrication and imposition, and therefore doubtlesse there was nothing, for it could not have been so soon lost or neglected. 4. It is ordinary with those of the contrary Judgement, to tell us that the extraordinary Gifts of the Primitive Christians, were the reason why there were no prescribed forms in those times, and that such Liturgies came in upon the ceasing of those Gifts: And *1 Cor. 14.* describeth a way of publick worshipping, unlike to prescript forms of Liturgy: So that the matter of Fact is proved and confessed. And then how fairly the words of the Apostles, exhorting them [to speak the same thing] are used to prove that he would have them use the same forms or Liturgy; we shall not tell you by any provoking aggravations of such abuse of Scripture. And indeed for all the miraculous Gifts of those times, if prescript forms had been judged by the Apostles to be the fittest means for the Concord of the Churches, it is most probable they would have prescribed such: Considering 1. That the said miraculous Gifts were extraordinary, and belonged not to all, nor to any at all times, and therefore could not suffice for the ordinary publick Worship. 2. And those Gifts began even betimes to be abused, and need the Apostles Canons for their regulation, which he giveth them in that *1 Cor. 14.* without a prescript Liturgy. 3. Because even then divisions had made not only an entrance, but an unhappy progress in the Churches, to cure which the Apostle exhorts them oft to Unanimity and Concord, without exhorting them to read the same or any Common-Prayer-book. 4. Because that the Apostles knew that perillous times would come, in which men would have itching ears, and would have heaps of Teachers, and would be self-willed, and unruly, and divisions, and offences, and heresies would encrease: And *Ergo*, as upon such fore-sight they indited the holy Scriptures to keep the Church in all generations, from error and divisions in points of Doctrine, so the same reason and care would have moved them to do the same to keep the Churches in unity in point of Worship, if indeed they had taken prescribed

bed forms to be needfull to such an unity: they knew that after departure the Church would never have the like advantage, infallible, authorized, and enabled for delivering the universal Laws of Christ: And seeing in those parts of Worship, which are of statted use, and still the same forms might have suited all ages as this age, and all Countries as this Country: (in the substance) there can no reason be given, why the Apostles should leave this undone, and not have performed it themselves, if they had judged such forms to be necessary, or the most desirable means of unity. If they had prescribed them, 1. The Church had been secured from error in them. 2. Believers had been preserved from divisions, about the lawfullnesse and fitnesse of them, as receiving them from God. 3. All Churches and Countries might had one Liturgy, as they have one Scripture, and so have all spoke the same things. 4. All ages would have had the same without innovation, (in all the parts that require not alteration) whereas now on the contrary, 1. Our Liturgies being the writings of fallible men, are lyable to error, and we have cause to fear subscribing to them, as having nothing contrary to the word of God. 2. And matters of Humane Institution have become the matter of scruple, and contention. 3. And the Churches have had great diversity of Liturgies. 4. And one age hath been mending what they supposed they received from the former faulty, and imperfect: So that our own which you are so loath to change, hath not continued yet three Generations And it is most evident that the Apostles being entrusted with the delivery of the entire rule of Faith and Worship, and having such great advantages, for our unity and peace, would never have omitted the forming of a Liturgy of universal usefullnesse, to avoid all the foresaid inconveniences, if they had taken this course of unity to be so needfull, or desirable as you seem to do. Whereas therefore you say you know no better or more efficacious way then our Liturgy, &c. We reply, 1. The Apostles knew the best way of unity, and of speaking the same thing in the matters of God: But the Apostles knew not our Liturgy, (nor any Common-Prayer-book, for ought hath yet been proved) Ergo the said Liturgy is not the best way of unity, or speaking the same thing, &c. 2. The Primitive Church in the next ages after the Apostles, knew the best way of unity, &c. But they knew not our Liturgy, Ergo our Liturgy (not known till lately) is not the best way of unity. If it be said that our Liturgy is ancient, because the *Sanctus Corda*, the *Gloria Patri* &c. are antient. We answer, if indeed

deed it be those antient sentences that denominate our Liturgy; we crave the justice to be esteemed users of the Liturgy, and not to suffer as refusers of it, as long as we use all that is found in it of such true antiquity.

[*This experience of former and latter times hath taught us, when the Liturgy was duly observed, we lived in peace, since that was laid aside, there hath been as many modes, and fashions of publick Worship, as fancies, we have had continuall dissention, which variety of Services must needs produce, whilst every one naturally desires, and endeavours not only to maintain, but to prefer his own way before all others, whence we conceive there is no such way to the preservation of peace, as for all to return to the strict use and practise of the form:]* 5. 31

Reply. Pardon us while we desire you to examine whether you speak as members that suffer, with those that suffer, or rather as insensible of the calamities of your Brethren, that is as uncharitable: you say you lived in peace, but so did not the many thousands, that were fain to seek them peaceable habitations in *Holland*, and in the deserts of *America*, nor the many thousands that lived in danger of the High Commission, or Bishops Courts at home, and so in danger of every malicious neighbour that would accuse them, hearing Sermons abroad, when they had none at home, or of meeting in a Neighbours house to pray, or of not kneeling in the receiving of the Sacrament, &c. We would not have remembered you of these things, but that you necessitate us by pleading your peace in those dayes, as an argument for the imposing of the Liturgy. 2. Might not *Scotland* as strongly argue from this Medium against the Liturgy, and say, before the Liturgy was imposed on us, we had peace, but since then we have had no peace. 3. When the strict imposing of the strict use and practise of these forms, was the very thing that disquieted this Nation, (taking in the concomitant Ceremonies, and subscription) when this was it that bred the divisions which you complain of, and caused the separations from the Churches, and the troubles in the Churches; it is no better arguing to say, we must return to the strict use of that form if we will have peace, then it was in the Israelites to say, we will worship the Queen of Heaven, because then we had peace and plenty, when that was it that deprived them of peace and plenty, (we compare not the Causes, but the Arguments) nor is it any better an Argument, then if a man in a Drop sic or Ague, that
catcht

catcht it with voracity, or intemperance, should say, while I did eat and drink liberally, I had no Dropfie or Ague, but since my appetite is gone, and I have lived temperately, I have had no health, *Ergo* I must return to my intemperance, as the only way to health. Alas, is this the use that is made of all our experiences of the causes and progresse of our Calamities; what have you, and we, and all smarted as we have done, and are you so speedily ready to return to the way that will engage you in violence against them that should be suffered to live in peace: If the furnace that should have refined us, and purified us all to a greater height of love, have but inflamed us to greater wrath, wo to us, and to the Land that beareth us! what dolefull things doth this prognosticate you, that prisons or other penalties will not change mens Judgements: And if it drive some to comply against their Consciences, and destroy their Souls, and drive the more conscientious out of the Land, or destroy their bodies, and breed in the minds of men a rooted opinion, that Bishops that are still hurting and afflicting them, (even for the things in which they exercise the best of their understanding, and cautelously to avoid sin against God) are no Fathers, Friends, or edifiers, but destroyers. Alas! who will have the gain of this? O let us no more bite, and devour one another, least we be devoured one of another, *Gal.* 5. 15. or Christ be provoked to decide the Controversie more sharply then we desire or expect. 4. But really hath liberty to forbear the Liturgy produced such divisions as you mention? The Licence or connivance that was granted to Hereticks, Apostates, and foul-mouthed raylers against the Scripture, Ministry, and all Gods Ordinances, indeed bred confusions in the Land; But it is to us matter of admiration to observe (clean contrary to your intimation) how little discord there was in Prayer, and other parts of Worship among all the Churches throughout the three Nations, that agreed in Doctrine, and that forbore the Liturgy. It is wonderfull to us in the review to consider, with what love, and peace, and concord, they all spake the same things, that were tyed to no form of words, even those that differed in some points of Discipline, even to a with-drawing from locall communion with us, yet strangely agreed with us in Worship. And where have there been lesse Heresies, Schisms, then in *Scotland*, where there was no such Liturgy to unite them; If you tell us of those that differ from us in Doctrine, and are not of us, it is as impertinent to the point of our own agreement in Worship, as to tell us of the Papists.

[And

[And the best expedients to unite us all to that again, and so to peace, §. 4. are, besides our Prayers to the God of peace, to make us all of one mind in an house, to labour to get true humility, which would make us think our Guides wiser, and fitter to order us then we our selves; and Christian Charity, which would teach us to think no evil of our Superiours, but to judge them rather carefull Guides, and Fathers to us, which being obtained, nothing can be imagined justly to binder us from a ready compliance to this method of Service appointed by them, and so live in unity.]

Reply. Prayer and Humility are indeed the necessary means of peace: But if you will let us pray for peace in no words but what are in the Common-Prayer-book, their brevity and unapt-ness, and the customariness, that will take off the edge of fervour, with humane nature, will not give leave (or help sufficient) to our souls to work towards God, upon this subject, with that enlargedness, copiousness, and freedom, as is necessary to due fervour. A brief transient touch and away, is not enough to warm the heart aright; and cold Prayers, are like to have a cold return, and therefore even for peace sake, let us pray more copiously, and heartily then the Common-Prayer-book will help us to do. And whether this be that cause, or whether it be that the Common-Prayer-book hath never a Prayer for it self, we find that its Prayers prevail not to reconcile many sober, serious persons to it that live in faithfull fervent Prayer. 2. And for Humility, we humbly conceive it would most effectually heal us, and by causing the Pastours of the Church to know that they are not to rule the flocks as Lords, but as ensamples, not by constraint, but willingly, 1 Pet. 5. 2, 3. and it would cause them not to think so lightly of themselves, and so meanly of their Brethren, as to judge no words fit to be used to God in the publick Worship, but what they prescribe, and put into our mouths, and that other men are generally unable to speak sensibly or suitably, unless they tell us what to say; or that all others are unfit to be trusted with the expressing of their own desires: Humility would perswade the Pastours of the Church at least to undertake no more then the Apostles did, and no more to obtrude or impose their own words upon all others in the publick Worship: if they found any unfit to be trusted with the expression of their minds in publick Prayer, they would do what they could to get meetier men in their places, and till then they would restrain and help such as need it, and not upon that pretence as much restrain all the ablest Mini-

sters, as if the whole Church were to be nominated, measured, or used according to the quality of the most unworthy. And it is also true, that humility in private persons and inferiours, would do much to our peace, by keeping them in due submission and obedience, and keeping them from all contentions and divisions, which proceed from self-conceitednesse and pride. But yet,

1. The humblest, surest Subjects may stumble upon the scruple, whether Bishops differ not from Peesbyters only in degree, and not in order or office, (it being a Controversie, and no resolved point of faith even among the Papists, whose faith is too extensive, and favour too Ecclesiastical, ambition too great) and consequently they may doubt whether men in the same order, do by divine appointment, owe obedience unto those that gradually go before them.
2. And they may scruple whether such making themselves the Governours of their Brethren, make not themselves indeed of a different order or office, and so encroach not on the authority of Christ, who only maketh officers purely Ecclesiastical, and whether it be no disloyalty to Christ to own such officers.
3. And among those Divines that are for a threefold Episcopacy, (besides that of Presbyters, who are *Episcopi Gregis*) viz. generall unfixed Bishops, like the Evangelists or Apostles, (in their measure) and the fixed Bishops of Parochial Churches, that have Presbyters to assist them, to whom they do preside, and also the Presidents of larger Synods) yet is it a matter of very great doubt, whether a fixed Diocesan being the Pastour of many hundred Churches, having none under him, that hath the power of Jurisdiction or Ordination, be indeed a Governour of Christs appointment or approbation, and whether Christ will give us any more thanks for owning them as such, then the King will give us for owning an Usurper. Humility alone will not seem to subject these men to such a Government.
4. And though their coercive Magistratical power be easily submitted to, as being from the King (how unfit Subjects soever Church men are of such a power) yet he that knoweth his superiours best, doth honour God more, and supposeth God more infallible then man, and will feel himself most indispensibly bound by Gods commands, and bound not to obey man against the Lord. And whereas there is much said against the peoples taking on them to judge of the lawfulness of things commanded them by superiours, we adde,
5. That humble men may believe that their superiours are fallible; that it is no impossibility to command things that God forbids, that in such Cases, if

we

we have sufficient means to discern the sinfulness of such commands; we must make use of them, and must obey God rather than men; that when the Apostles acted according to such a Resolution, *Acts* 4. 19. and *Daniel* and the three Witnesses *Dan.* 6. and 3. they all exercised a judgement of discerning upon the matter of their superiours commands; that not to do so at all, is to make Subjects Brutes, and so no Subjects, because not rational free Agents, or to make all Governours to be Gods; and lastly, that it will not save us from Hell, nor justify us at Judgement for sinning against God, to say that superiours commanded us, nor will it prove all the Martyrs to be sinners and condemned, because they judged of their superiours commands, and disobeyed them. All which we say to shew the insufficiency of the Remedy, here by you propounded, (the humility of Inferiours) unless you will also adde your help, without obedience there is no order or lasting concord to be expected: And by abasing the eternal God, so far as to set him and his Laws below a Creature, under pretence of obedience to the Creature, no good can be expected, because no peace with Heaven, without which, peace with men is but a Confederacy hastning each party to Destruction: And therefore absolute obedience must be given only to God the absolute Sovereign. In all this we suppose that we are all agreed: And therefore, 6. and lastly, we must say that the way to make us think the Bishops to be so wise, and carefull Guides, and Fathers to us, is not for them to seem wiser then the Apostles, and make those things of standing necessity to the Churches unity, which the Apostles never made so, nor to forbid all to preach the Gospel, or to hold Communion with the Church, that dare not conform to things unnecessary: Love and tenderness are not used to expresse themselves by hurting and destroying men for nothing; And to silence and reject from Church Communion for a Ceremony, and in the mean time to perswade men that they love them, is but to stab or famish all the sick persons in the Hospital or Family, whose stomachs cannot take down the dish we offer them, or whose throats are too narrow to swallow so big a morsel as we send them; And when we have done, to tell them, the only remedy is for them to believe we love them, and are tender of them. And who knows not that a man may think well of his Superiours, that yet may question whether all that he teacheth or commandeth him, be lawful.

1. 5. [If it be objected, That the Liturgie is in any way sinfull and unlawfull for us to joyn with, it is but reason that this be first proved evidently, before any thing be altered; it is no Argument to say, that multitudes of sober pious Persons scruple the use of it, unlesse it be made to appear by evident reasons, that the Liturgie gave the just grounds to make such scruples. For if the bare pretence of Scruples be sufficient to exempt us from Obedience, all Law and Order is gone.]

Reply. To this passage we humbly crave your consideration of these Answers, 1. We have not only said, that sober pious Persons scruple the Liturgy) but we have opened to you those defects, and disorders, and corruptions, which must needs make the imposing of it, unlawfull, when God might be more fitly served. 2. It is strange, that you must see it first evidently proved unlawfull for men to joyn with the Liturgy (you mean, we suppose, to joyn with you in the using of it, or when you use it,) before you will see reason to alter any thing in it ; what if it be only proved unlawfull for you to impose it, though not for others to joyn with you when you do impose it, is this no reason to alter it ? should you not have some care to avoid sin your selves, as well as to preserve others from it ? An inconvenient mode of Worship is a sin in the Imposer, and in the Chooser, and voluntary User, that might offer God better, and will not, *Mal. 1. 13, 14.* And yet it may not be only lawfull, but a duty to him that by violence is necessitated to offer up that or none. And yet we suppose the Imposers should see cause to make an Alteration. If you lived where you must receive the Lords Supper Sitting, or not at all, its like you would be of this mind your selves. 3. Why should it be called [a bare pretence of Scruples] as if you search'd the Hearts, and knew (not only that they are upon mistake, but) that they are not real, when the Persons not only professe them real, but are willing to use all just means that tend to their satisfaction, they study, read, pray, and will be glad of Conference with you, at any time, upon equal termes, if they may be themselves believed. 4. Even groundlesse scruples about the matter of an unnecessary Law, which hath that which to the weak both is and will be an appearance of evil, may be sufficient to make it the Duty of Rulers to reverse their impositions, though they be not sufficient to justify the Scrupulous. 5. If a man should think that he ought not to obey man even when he thinketh it is against the Commands of God, though he bee uncertain,

tain, (as in case of going on an unquestioned Warfare, or doing Doegs execution, &c.) yet it followeth not, that [all Law and Order is gone] as long as all Laws and Orders stand that are visibly subservient to the Laws of God, and to his Sovereignty, or consistent with them, and when the Subject submitteth to suffering where he dare not obey.

[On the contrary we judge, that if the Liturgy should be altered as is there required, not only a multitude, but the generality of the Soberest and most loyal Children of the Church of England would justly be offended, since such an alteration would be a virtual Confession that this Liturgy were an intollerable burden to tender Consciences, a direct cause of Schisme, a superstitious usage (upon which pretences it is here d. fired to be altered) which would at once both justifie all those which have so obstinately separated from it, as the only pious, tender conscienced men, and condemn all those that have adhered to that, in conscience of their duty and loyalty, with their loss or hazard of estates, lives and fortunes, as men superstitious, schismatical, and void of Religion and Conscience. For this reason and those that follow, we cannot consent to such an alteration as is d. fired, till these pretences be proved, which we conceive in no wise to be done in these Papers, and shall give reasons for this our Judgement.] § 6.

Reply. If the Liturgy should be altered, as is here required, and desired by us, that it could be no just offence to the generality (or any) of the soberest and most loyal Children of the Church (as you speak) is easie to be proved, by laying together the considerations following : Because it is by themselves confessed to be alterable, as not having it self its formal Constitution, till less than two hundred years ago. 2. And themselves affirm it to be not necessary to salvation, but a thing indifferent, while they exclude all higher insinuations from the power of the Church. 3. They confesse it lawfull to serve God without this Liturgy, without which he was served by other Churches above 1460. years, and without which he is now served by other Churches ; when the contrary minded doubt whether with it he be lawfully served. 4. Those that desire the alteration, desire no more than to serve God as the Churches did in the dayes of the Apostles, that had their most infallible conduct. 5. And they offer also such Formes as are more unquestionable as to their Congruency to the word of God, and to the nature of the several parts of worship. 6. And yet though they desire the surest concord and an universal Reformation)

Reformation) they desire not to impose on others what they offer, but can thankfully accept a Liberty to use what is to their own Consciences most unquestionably safe, while other men use that which they like better. So that set all this together, with the consideration of the necessity of the preaching the Word, and Communion that is hereupon denyed, and you may see it proved, That to have such a Liturgy so altered, that is confessed alterable, for to desirable an end, for the use only of those that cannot well use it, without urging others to any thing that they do themselves account unlawfull, cannot be a matter of just offence to the generality of sober Children of the Church, nor to any one. And as to the reason given, it is apparently none. For 1. of those that scruple the unlawfulness of it, there are many that will not peremptorily affirm it unlawfull, and condemn all that use it, but they dare not use it doubtingly themselves. 2. When our Papers were before you, we think it not just that you should say that it's here desired to be altered, on the pretence that it is a direct cause of Schisme and a superstitious usage: Have we any such expressions? if we have, let them be recited: If not, it is hard that this should even by you be thus affirmed as is said by us, which we have not said: We have said [that the Ceremonies have been the Fountain of much Evil, occasioning divisions, but not what you charge as to have said in words or sense. 3. And may not you alter them without approving, or seeming to approve the reason upon which the alteration is desired, when you have so great store of other reasons? The King in his Declaration is far enough from seeming to own the Charge against the things which he was pleased graciously to alter so far as is there exprest. If a Patient have a conceit that some one thing would kill him, if he took it, the Physician may well forbear him in that one thing, when it is not necessary to his health, without owning his reason against it; If his Majesty have Subjects so weak as to contend about things indifferent, and if both sides erre, one thinking them necessary, and the other sinfull, may he not gratifie either of them, without seeming to reprove their error. By this reason of yours he is by other men in such a Case necessitated to sin. For if he settle those things which some count necessary, he seems to approve of their opinion, that they are necessary: If he take them down when others call them sinfull, he seems to own their charge of the sinfulness. But indeed he needeth not to do either, he may take them down or leave them indifferent, professedly for unity and peace, and professedly dis-

own the Errours on both sides. We are sorry if any did esteeme these Formes and Ceremonies any better than mutable indifferent modes and circumstances of Worship: and did hazard estate or life for them as any otherwise esteemed: And we are sorry, that by our Divisions the Adversary of Peace hath gotten so great an advantage against us, as that the Argument against necessary charitable forbearance is fetch'd from the interest of the reputation of the contending Parties, that things may not be abated to others which you confesse are indifferent and alterable, and which many of them durst not use, though to save their lives. And this because it will make them thought the pious, tender Conscienc'd men, And make others thought worse of. But with whom will it have these effects? those that you call the generality of the sober loyal Children of the Church will think never the worse of themselves, because others have liberty to live by them, without these things. And the rest, whose liberties you deny, will think rather the worse of you, than the better, for denying them their libertie in the worshipping of God. You undoubtedly argue here against the interest of Reputation, which you stand for, your Prefaces to your Indulgencies and your open Professions; and (if you will needs have it so) your own Praises, will tell the World loud enough, that the things which you adhered to with so great hazards are still lawfull in your Judgement, and it will be your honour, and adde to your reputation, to abate them to others, when it is in your power to be more severe. And if you refuse it, their sufferings will tell the World loud enough, that for their parts they still take them to be things unlawfull. As for the reasons by them produced to prove them sinfull, they have been publicly made known in the writings of many of them; In *Am*'s his fresh sute against the Ceremonies, and in the Abridgement, &c. and in *Bradshawes*, *Nicolls*, and other mens writings.

[To the first general Proposal we answer, That as to that part of it *Prop. m. l.* which requires that the matter of the Liturgy may not be private opinion S. 5. or fancy, that being the way to perpetuate Schisme, the Church hath been careful to put nothing into the Liturgy, but that which is either evidently the word of God, or what hath been generally received in the Catholick Church, neither of which can be called private opinion, and if the contrary can be proved, we wish it out of the Liturgie.]

Reply.

Reply. We call those Opinions which are not determined Certainties, and though the greater number should hold them as Opinions, they are not therefore the Doctrines of the Church, and therefore might be called Private Opinions, but indeed we used not the Word (that we can find:) the thing we desired was that the materials of the Liturgie may consist of nothing doubtfull, or questioned among pious learned and orthodox Persons] We said also (that the limiting Church Communion to things of doubtfull disputation, hath been in all Ages the ground of Schisme and Separation (which is not to say, that the Liturgy it self, is a Superstitious usage, or a direct cause of Schisme,) And we cited the words of a Learned man (Mr. Hales) not as making every word our own, but as a Testimony *ad hominem*, because he was so highly valued by your selves (as we suppose) and therefore we thought his words might be more regarded by you than our own. 2. Where you say [that the Church hath been carefull to put nothing in the Liturgy, but that which is either evidently the word of God, or that which had been generally received in the Catholick Church.] We reply, 1. We suppose there is little or nothing now controverted between us, which you will say is evidently the Word of God, either the Formes or Ceremonies; or any of the rest. 2. If by [in the Church] you mean [not by the Church] but by any part in the Church] how shall we know that they did well. And if by [the generality] you mean not All, but the Greater part, you undertake the proof of that which is not easie to be proved. It being so hard to judge of the majority of Persons in the Catholick Church in any notable differences. We do take it for granted, that you limit not the Catholick Church, as the Papists do, to the Confines of the *Roman* Empire, but indeed we can only wish, that your Assertion were true, while we must shew it to be untrue, if you speak of the Primitive Church, or of an universality of time, as well as place, (if not, its more against you, that the Primitive Catholick Church was against you.) The very thing in question that containeth the rest [that its needfull to the peace of the Church, that all the Churches under one Prince should use one form of Liturgy] was not received by the Catholick Church, nor by the generality in it: when it is so well known that they used diversity of Liturgies and Customes in the *Roman* Empire. The generality in the Catholick Church received not the Lords Supper Kneeling, at least on any Lords dayes, when it was forbidden by divers general Councils, and when this prohibition

bition was generally received as an Apostolical tradition: We have not heard it prov'd, that the Surplice or Cross, as used with us, were received by the Universal Church; It is a private Opinion not received by the Catholick Church, that it is requisite that no man, should come to the Holy Communion, but with a full trust in Gods mercy, and with a quiet Conscience, though it be every mans duty to be perfect *pro statu viatoris*, yet it is not requisite that no man come till he be perfect. He that hath but a weak Faith (though not a full trust) must come to have it strengthened: And he that hath an unquiet Conscience must come to receive that mercy which may quiet it. It is a private Opinion, and not generally received in the Catholick Church [that one of the People] may make the Publick Confession at the Sacrament, in the name of all those that are minded to receive the holy Communion] It is a private and not generally received distinction, that the body of Christ makes clean our bodies, and his blood washeth our souls] It is a doubtful opinion to speak easily, that when the Lords Supper is delivered with a Prayer not made in the Receivers name, but thus directed to him by the Minister [the Body of our Lord Jesus Christ, &c. preserve thy Body and Soul,] it is so intollerable a thing for the Receiver not to kneel, in hearing the Prayer, that he must else be thrust from the Communion of the Church, and yet that no Minister shall kneel that indeed doth pray: But he may pray standing, and the Hearers be cast out for standing at the same words. It is not a generally received, but a private opinion, [that every Parishioner (though impenitent, and conscious of his utter unfitnesse, and though he be in despair, and think he shall take his own damnation) must be forced to receive thrice a year: when yet even those that have not [a full trust in Gods mercy] or [have not a quiet Conscience] were before pronounced so incapable, as that none such should come to the Communion.] Abundance more such instances may be given to shew how far from truth the Assertion is, that [the Church hath been carefull to put nothing into the Liturgy, but that which is either evidently the Word of God, or which hath been generally received in the Catholick Church] unless you speak of some unhappy unsuccessfull Carelesnesse. But we thankfully accept of your following words, [and if the contrary can be proved we wish it out of the Liturgy] which we inereat you to perform, and impartially receive our proofs. But then we must also intreat you, 1. That the Primitive Churches Judgement and Practice may be preferred before the present declined;

D

much

much corrupted State. And 2. if Gods Law rather than the finfull praſtiſes of men breaking that Law may be the Churches rule for Worſhip : For you call us to ſubſcribe to Art. 19. that [as the Church of *Jeruſalem*, *Alexandria*, and *Antioch* hath erred, ſo alſo the Church of *Rome* hath erred; not only in their living, and manner of Ceremonies, but alſo in matters of Faith: and ſaith *Re-gers*, in Art. 20. they are out of the way, which think that either one man, as the Pope, or any certain Calling of men, as the Clergy, hath power to decree, and appoint Rites or Ceremonies, though of themſelves good, unto the whole Church of God, diſperſed over the univerſal world,] and indeed if you would have all that Corruption brought into our Liturgy, and Diſcipline, and Doctrin, which the Papists, Greeks, and others that undoubtedly make up the far greater number of the now univerſal Church do uſe : you would deſerve no more thanks of God, or man, than he that would have all Kings, and Nobles, and Gentry levelled, with the poor Commons, becauſe the latter are the greater number, or than he that would have the healthfull conformed to the ſick, when an epidemical diſeaſe hath made them the Majority, or than he that would teach us to follow a multitude to do evil, and to break more than the leaſt Command, becauſe the greater number break them; we pray you therefore to take it for no juſtification of any uncertain or faulty paſſage in our Liturgy, though the greater number now are guilty of it. 3. And we muſt beſeech you, if the Churches Judgement or Praſtiſe muſt be urged, that you would do us the juſtice, as to imitate the Antient Churches in your ſenſe of the quality, and the mode and meaſure of uſing, and impoſing things, as well as in the materials uſed, and impoſed. Conſider not only [whether you find ſuch things received by the Antient Churches,] but alſo conſider how they were received, eſteemed, and uſed,] whether as neceſſary or indifferent; as points of Faith or doubtfull Opinions, whether forced on others, or left to their free choyce; If you find, that the generality of the Antient Churches received the White Garment after Baptiſme, and the taſting of Milk and Honey as Ceremonies freely, though generally uſed, you ſhould not therefore force men to uſe them; If you find, that the Doctrin of the *Millennium*, or of Angels corporeity was generally received as an Opinion, it will not warrant you to receive either of them as a certain neceſſary truth. If you find, that the General Councells forbad Kneeling in any Adoration on the Lords dayes, but without force againſt Diſſenters, you may
not

not go deny the Sacrament to all that kneel, nor yet forbid them to kneel in praying. So if you find some little parcels of our Liturgie, or some of our Ceremonies used as things indifferent, left to choice, forced upon none, but one Church differing from another in such usages or observances, this will not warrant you to use the same things as necessary to order, unity, or peace, and to be forced upon all; use them no otherwise then the Churches used them?

[We heartily desire that according to this Proposal, great care may be taken to suppress those private Conceptions of Prayers before and after Sermon, least private opinions be made the matter of Prayer in publick, as hath and will be, if private persons take liberty to make pullick Prayers.] §. 2.

Reply. The desire of your hearts is the grief of our hearts, the Conceptions of Prayer by a publick person, according to a publick rule, for a publick use, are not to be rejected as private Conceptions: We had hoped you had designed no such innovation as this in the Church: When we have heard any say that it would come to this, and that you designed the suppression of the free Prayers of Ministers in the Pulpit, suited to the variety of subjects and occasions, we have rebuked them as uncharitable; in passing so heavy a censure on you: And what would have been said of us a year ago, if we should have said that this was in your hearts? nothing will more alienate the hearts of many holy prudent persons from the Common-Prayer, then to perceive that it is framed and used as an Instrument to shut out all other Prayers, as the Ministers private Conceptions. Such an end and design, will make it, under the notion of a means, another thing then else it would be, and afford men such an Argument against it, as we desire them not to have: but we hope you speak not the publick sense. As the Apostles desired (as aforesaid) that all would speak the same things, without giving them (that ever was proved) a form of words to speak them in, so might we propose to you, that uncertain opinions be made no part of our Liturgy without putting all their words into their mouths, in which their desires must be altered. Your hearty desire and the reason of it, makes not only against Extemporary Prayer, but all prepared or written forms, or Liturgies that were indited only by one man, and have not the consent antecedently of others. And do you think this was the course of the Primitive times? Basil thus used his private Conceptions at Caesarea, and Greg. Thaumaturgus before him at Neocesarea, and all Pastours in Justin Martyr's

and *Tertullians* dayes. And how Injurious is it to the publick officers of Christ, the Bishops and Pastours of the Churches, to be called private men, who are publick persons in the Church, if they be not? every single person is not a private person, else Kings and Judges would be so. And have you not better means to shut out private opinions, then the forbidding Ministers praying in the Pulpit, according to the variety of subjects and occasions: You have first the Examination of persons to be ordained, and may see that they be able to speak sense, and fit to manage their proper works with judgement and discretion, before you ordain them; And some confidence may be put in a man in his proper calling and work, to which he is admitted with so great care, as we hope (or desire) you will admit them; If you are necessitated to a 'mit some few that are injudicious, or unmeet, we beseech you (not only to restore the many hundred worthy men laid by, to a capacity, but that you will not so dishonour the whole Church, as to suppose all such, and to use all as such, but restrain those that deserve restraint, and not all others for their sakes: And next, you have a publick rule (the Holy Scripture) for these men to pray by, and if any of them be intollerably guilty of weaknesse or rashnesse, or other miscarriages, the words being spoken in publick, you have witnesses enow, and sure there is power enough in Magistrates and Bishops to punish them, and if they prove incorrigible, to cast them out. In all other professions these means are thought sufficient to regulate the professors, His Majestie thinks it enough to regulate his Judges, that he may chose able men, and fit to be trusted in their proper work, and that they are responsible for all their maladministrations, without prescribing them forms, beyond which they may not speak any thing in the Charge. Physicians being first tryed, and responsible for their doings, are constantly trusted with the lives, of high and low, without tying them to give no counsell, or medicine, but by the prescript of a Book, or determination of a Colledge: And it is so undeniable, that your reason makes more against Preaching, and for only reading Homilies, as that we must like it the worse, if not fear what will become of Preaching also. For it is known that in Preaching a man hath far greater opportunity, and liberty to vent a false or private opinion, then in Prayer. 2. It is known *de evenu*, that it is much more ordinary. And if you say [that he speaks not the words of the Church, but his own, nor unto God, but man, and therefore it is lesse matter] We answer, it is as considerable, if not much more, from whom he speaks, then to whom, he

he speaks as the Minister of Christ, in his stead and name, 2 Cor. 5. 19, 20. And it is as a higher, so a more Reverend thing to speak in Gods name to the people, then in the peoples name to God; and to speak that which we call Gods word, or truth, or message, then that which we call but our own desire: We make God a lyer, or corrupt in his words, if we speak a falsehood in his name; we make but our selves lyers, if we speak a falsehood to him in our own names; The former therefore is the more heynous and dreadful abuse, and more to be avoided: or if but equally, it shews the tendency of your reason, (for we will not say of your design, as hoping you intend not to make us *Russians*) We do therefore for the sake of the poor threatened Church, beseech you that you will be pleased to repent of these desires, and not to prosecute them, considering that to avoid a lesser evil (avoidable by safer means) you will bring a far greater evil on the Churches, and such as is like to strip these Nations of the glory in which they have excelled the rest of the world, even a learned, able, holy Ministry, and a people sincere, and serious, and understanding in the matters of their Salvation. For 1. As it is well known that an ignorant man may read a Prayer and Homily as distinctly and laudably as a Learned Divine, and so may do the work of a Minister, if this be it; so it is known that mans nature is so addicted to ease and sensual diversions, as that multitudes will make no better preparations, when they find that no more is necessary, when they are as capable of their places and maintenance if they can but read, and are forced upon no exercise of their parts, which may detect and shame their ignorance, but the same words are to be read by the ablest and ignorantest man; it is certain that this will make multitudes idle in their Academical Studies, and multitudes to spend their time idly all the year, in the course of their Ministry: and when they have no necessity that they are sensible of, of diligent studies, it will let loose their fleshly voluptuous inclinations, and they will spend their time in sports, and drinking, and prating, and idleness, and this will be a Seminary of Lust: or they will follow the world, and drown themselves in Covetousness and Ambition, and their hearts will be like their studies: As is the way to have a holy, able Ministry, to engage them to holy studies, to meditate on Gods Law day and night, so is the way to have an ignorant, prophane and scandalous Ministry (and consequently Enemies to serious Godliness in others) to impose upon them but such a work, as in ignorance and idleness, they may perform as well as the judicious and the diligent.

diligent. If it be said [that their parts may be tryed and exercised some other way] we answer, where should a Ministers parts be exercised, if not in the Pulpit, or the Church, and in Catechising, in private Baptism, and Communion, and in the visitation of the sick, their work also is such as a School-boy may do as well as they, their ignorance having the same Cloak, as in publick. If it be said [that a Ministers work is not to show his parts] we answer but his Ministerial worke is, to show men their sins, and to preach the wonderfull Mysteries of the Gospel, to help men to search, and understand the Scriptures, and to search, and to know their hearts, and to know God in Christ, and to hope for the glory that is to be revealed : and fervently to pray for the successe of his endeavours, and the blessings of the Gospel on the people, and cheerfully to praise God for his various benefits, which cannot be well done without abilities. A Physicians work is not to shew his parts ultimately, but it is to do that for the cure of diseases which without parts he cannot do, and in the exercise of his parts, on which the issue much depends, to save mens lives. The ostentation of his good works, is not the work of a good Christian : and yet he must so let his light shine before men, that they may see his good works, and glorifie God. And undeniable experience tells us, that God ordinarily proportioneth the successe and blessing, to the skill, and holinesse and diligence of the Instruments, and blesteth not the labours of ignorant, ungodly Drones, as he doth the labours of able faithfull Ministers. And also that the readiest way to bring the Gospel into contempt into the world, and cause all Religion to dwindle away into formality first, and then to barbarism and brutishnesse, is to let in an ignorant, idle, vicious Ministry, that will become the peoples scorn : Yea this is the way to extirpate Christianity out of any Country in the world, which is decaying apace, when men grow ignorant of the nature and reasons of it, and unexperienced in its power and delightfull fruits, and when the Teachers themselves grow unable to defend it. And we must adde that whatsoever can be expected duly to affect the heart, must keep the intellect, and all the faculties awake in diligent attention, and exercise : And in the use of a form, which we have frequently heard and read, the faculties are not so necessitated and urged to attention, and serious exercise, as they be when from our own understanding we are set about the natural work of representing to others what we discern and feel. Mans mind is naturally sloathfull, and will take its ease, and remit its seriousness longer then it is urged by necessity,

necessity, or drawn out by delight, when we know before hand, that we have no more to do, but read a Prayer, or Homily, we shall ordinarily be in danger of letting our minds go another way, and think of other matters, and be sencelesse of the work in hand. Though he is but an Hypocrite that is carried on by no greater motive then mans observation, and approbation; yet is it a help not to be despised, when even a necessity of avoiding just shame with men, shall necessarily awake our invention, and all our faculties to the work, and be a concurrent help with spiritual motives. And common experience tells us, that the best are apt to loose a great deal of their affection, by the constant use of the same words or forms: Let the same Sermon be preached an hundred times over, and try whether an hundred for one will not be much lesse moved by it, then they were at first. It is not only the common corruption of our nature, but somewhat of innocent infirmity that is the cause of this. And man must cease to be man, or to be mortall, before it will be otherwise; so that the nature of the thing, and the common experience of our own dispositions, and of the effect on others, assureth us, that understanding serious Godlinesse, is like to be extinguished, if only forms be allowed in the Church, on pretence of extinguishing errors and divisions: And though we have concurred to offer you our more corrected *Nepenthes*, yet must we before God and men, protest against the dose of *Opium* which you here prescribe or wish for, as that which plainly tendeth cure the disease by the extinguishing of life, and to unite us all in a dead Religion. And when the Prayers that avail must be effectual and fervent, *Jam. 5. 16.* and God will be worshipped in spirit and truth, and more regardeth the frame of the heart, then the comeliness of expression; we have no reason to be taken with any thing that pretends to help the tongue, while we are sure it ordinarily hurts the heart.: And it is not the affirmations of any men in the world, perswading us of the harmlesse of such a course that can so far un-man us, as to make us dis-believe both our own experience, and common observation of the effect on others. Yet we confesse that some forms have their laudable use, to cure that error and vice, that lyeth on the other extreme. And might we but sometimes have the liberty to interpose such words as are needfull to call home and quicken attention and affection, we should think that a convenient conjunction of both might be a well tempered means to the common constitutions of most. But still we see the world will run into extremes, whatever

whatever be said or done to hinder it. It is but lately that we were put to it, against one extreme, to defend the lawfulness of a form of Liturgy; now the other extreme it troubleth us, that we are forced against you, even such as you, to defend the use of such Prayers of the Pastors of the Churches, as are necessarily varied according to subjects and occasions, while you would have no Prayer at all in the Church, but such prescribed forms. And why may we not adde, that whoever maketh the forms imposed on us, if he use them, is guilty as well as we of praying according to his private conceptions. And that we never said it proved from Scripture, that Christ appointed any to such an Office, as to make Prayers for other Pastours and Churches to offer up to God: and that this being none of the work of the Apostolick, or common Ministerial Office in the Primitive Church is no work of any Office of Divine Institution.

- 15 3. [To that part of the Proposall, that the Prayers may consist of nothing doubtful, or questioned by pious, learned and orthodox Persons; they not determining who be those orthodox Persons, we must either take all them for orthodox Persons. who shall confidently affirm themselves to be such, and then we say, First, the Demand is unreasonable, for some such as call themselves orthodox, have questioned the prime Article of our Creed, even the Divinity of the Son of God, and yet there is no reason we should part with our Creed for that. Besides, the Proposall requires impossibility, for there never was, nor is, nor can be such Prayers made, as have not been, nor will be questioned by some who call themselves, pious, learned, and orthodox, if by orthodox be meant those who adhere to Scripture, and the Catholick Consent of Antiquity, we do not yet know that any part of our Liturgy hath been questioned by such.]

Reply. And may we not thus mention orthodox persons to men that profess they agree with us in Doctrinals, unlesse we digresse to tell you who they be? What if we were pleading for civil Concord among all that are loyal to the King, must we needs digress to tell you who are loyal? We are agreed in one Rule of Faith, in one Holy Scripture, and one Creed, and differ not (you say) about the Doctrinal part of the 39. Art. And will not all this seem to tell you who are Orthodox. If you are resolved to make all that a matter of Contention, which we desire to make a means of Peace, there is no remedy while you have the ball before you, and have the wind an Idler, and the power of contending without controul.

croll. But we perceive, [That the Catholick consent of Antiquity] must go into your definition of the Orthodox, but how hard it is to get a reconciling determination, what Ages shall go with you, and us, for the true Antiquity, and what is necessary to that consent that must be called Catholick, is unknown to none but the unexperienced. And indeed we think a man that searcheth the holy Scripture, and sincerely and unreservedly gives up his Soul to understand love and obey it, may be Orthodox, without the knowledge of Church History; we know no universal Law-giver, nor Law to the Church, but one, and that Law is the sufficient rule of Faith, and consequently the test of the truly Orthodox, though we refuse not Church History, or other means that may help us to understand it. And to acquaint you with what you do not know, we our selves (after many Pastors of the Reformed Churches) do question your Liturgy, as far as is expressed in our Papers: And we profess [to adhere to Scripture, and the Catholick consent of Antiquity] (as described by *Vincentius Linienfis*) If you will say that our Pretence and Claim is unjust, we call for your Authority to judge our Hearts, or depose us from the number of the Orthodox, or else for your proofs to make good your accusation. But however you judge, we rejoyce in the expectation of the righteous Judgement, that shall finally decide the Controversie, to which, from this Asperision, we appeal.

[To those Generals, loading Publick Form with Ch. pomp garm. Imagery, and many Superfluities that creep into the Church under the name of Order and Decency, incumbring Churches with Superfluities, over rigid reviving of obsolete Customs, &c. We say that if these Generals be intended as appliable to our Liturgie in particular, they are grosse and foul Slanders, contrary to their Profession, page ult. and so either that or this contrary to their Conscience, if not, they signifie nothing to the present business, and so might with more prudence and candour have been omitted.] §. 4.

Reply. You needed not go a fishing for our Charge; what we had to say against the Liturgy, which we now desired you to observe was here plainly laid before you; Answer to this, and suppose us not to say, what we do not, to make your selves matter of reproaching us with grosse and foul Slanders. Only we pray

you answer Mr. Hales, as Mr. Hales, (whom we took to be a Person of much esteem with you,) especially that passage of his which you take no notice of, as not being so easie to be answered, for the weight, strength which it carries with it, viz. that the limiting of the Church Communion to things of doubtfull disputation, hath been in all Ages the ground of Schisme and Separation, and that he that separates from suspected Opinions is not the Separatist. And may we not cite such words of one that we thought you honored, and would hear without contradicting our Profession, of not intending depravation or reproach against the Book without going against our Consciences. If we cite the words of an Author for a particular use (as to perswade you of the evil of laying the Churches unity upon unnecessary things) must we be responsible therefore for all that you can say against his words in other respects : we suppose you would be loath your words should have such interpretations, and that you should be under such a Law for all your Citations, doe as you would be done by.

5. 2. [*It was the wisdom of our Reformers to draw up such a Liturgie as neither Romanist, nor Protestant could justly except against, and therefore as the first never charged it with any positive errors, but onely the want of something they conceived necessary : so it was never found fault with by those to whom the name of Protestants most properly belongs, those that professe the Augustine Confession ; and for those who unlawfully and sinfully brought it into dislike with some People, to urge the present State of Affaires, as an Argument why the Booke should be altered, to give them satisfaction ; and so that they should take advantage by their own unwarrantable Acts, is not reasonable.*]

Reply. If it be blamelesse, no man can justly except against it; But that *de facto* the Romanists never charged it with any positive errors, is an Assertion that maketh them reformed, and reconcilable to us, beyond all belief : Is not the very using it in our own Tongue a positive error in their account : Is it no positive error in the Papists account, that we professe [to receive these these Creatures of Bread and Wine] do they think we have no positive error in our Catechisme about the Sacraments, that affirmeth it to be Bread and Wine after the Consecration, and

and makes but two Sacraments necessary, &c.] 2. And unlesse we were nearer agreed then we are, it seemeth to us no commendation of a Liturgy, that the Papists charge it with no positive error. 3. That no Divines or private men at home, or of Foreign Churches [that ever found fault with the Liturgy, are such to whom the name of Protestant properly belongeth] is an assertion that proveth not what authority of judging your Brethren you have, but what you assume, and commendeth your charity no more, then it commendeth the Papists, that they deny us to be Catholics. *Calvin* and *Bucer* subscribed the *Augustine Confession*, and so have others that have found fault with our Liturgy. 4. If any of us have blamed it to the people, it is but with such a sort of blame, as we have here exprest against it to your selves; And whether it be [unlawfull, and sinfull] the impartial comparing of your words with ours, will help the willing Reader to discern. But if we prove indeed that it is [defective and faulty that you bring for an Offering to God] when you or your Neighbours have a better, which you will not bring, nor suffer them that would (*Mal. i. 13.*) and that you call evil good in justifying its blemishes, which in humble modesty we besought you to amend, or excuse us from offerings, then God will better judge of the unlawfull act, than you have done. But you have not proved that all or most of us have caused the people at all to dislike it; if any of us have, yet weigh our Argument, though from the present state of Affairs: or if you will not hear us, we beseech you hear the many Ministers in *England*, that never medled against the Liturgy, and the many moderate Episcopall Divines that have used it, and can do still, and yet would earnestly intreat you to alter it, partly because of what in it needs alteration, and partly in respect to the Commodity of others; Or at least we beseech you recant, and obliterate such passages as would hinder all your selves from any Act of Reformation hereabout, that if any man among you would find fault with some of the grosser things, which we laid open to you (tenderly and sparingly) and would reform them, he may not presently forfeit the reputation of being a Protestant: And lastly, we beseech you deny not again the name of Protestants to the Primate of

Ireland, the Archbishop of York, and the many others that had divers meetings for the Reformation of the Liturgy, and who drew up that Catalogue of faults, or points that needed mending, which is yet to be seen in print; they took not advantage of their own unwarrantable Acts for the attempting of that alteration.

N. 3, 4. [The 3^d. and 4th. Proposals may go together, the demand in both being against Responsals, and alternate Readings, in Hymnes, and Psalms, and Letany, &c. And that upon such reason as doth in truth enforce the necessity of continuing them as they are, namely for edification. They would take these away, because they do not edifie, and upon that very reason they should continue, because they do edifie: if not by informing of our reasons and understandings, (the Prayers and Hymnes were never made for a Catechism) yet by quickning, continuing, and uniting our devotion, which is apt to freeze, or sleep, or stay in a long continued Prayer, or form, it is necessary therefore for the edifying of us therein, to be often called upon and awakened by frequent Amens, to be excited and stirred up by mutual exultations, provocations, petitions, holy contentions and strivings, which shall most shew his own, and stir up others zeal to the Glory of God. For this purpose alternate Reading, Repetitions and Responsals, are far better than a long tedious Prayer: Nor is this our opinion only, but the Judgement of former ages, as appears by the practise of ancient Christian Churches, and of the Jews also. But it seems they say to be against the Scripture, wherein the Minister is appointed for the people in publick Prayers, the peoples part being to attend with silence, and to declare their assent in the cloze, by saying Amen; if they mean that the people in publick Services must only say this word Amen, as they can no where prove it in Scriptures, so it doth certainly seem to them, that it cannot be proved, for they directly practise the contrary in one of their principal parts of Worship, singing of Psalms, where the people bear as great a part as the Minister. If this way be done in Hopkins, why not in Davids Psalms, if in Meetre, why not in Prose, if in a Psalm, why not in a Letany.]

Socrat lib.
6. cap. 8.
Theodor.
lib. 2.
cap. 24.
2 Chron.
7. 1, 4.
Extra 3.
11.

Reply. What is most for edification, is best known by experience, and by the reason of the thing; For the former, you are
NOT

not the Masters of all mens experience, but of your own, and others that have acquainted you with the same, as theirs: We also may warrantably professe in the name of our selves, and many thousands of sober pious persons, that we experience that these things, are against our edification, and we beseech you do not by us, what you would not do by the poor labouring servants of your family, to measure them all their dyet for quality or quantity, according to your own appetites, which they think are diseased, and would be better, if you work'd us as hard as they; And we gave you some of the reasons of our judgement. 1. Though we have not said that the people may not in Psalmes to God concur in voice, (we speak of prayer which you should have observed) and though we only concluded it agreeable to the Scripture practice, for the people in prayer to say but their *Amen*, yet knowing not from whom to understand the will of God, and what is pleasing to him, better than from himself, we considered what the Scripture saith of the ordinary way of publick worship; and finding ordinarily that the people speak no more in prayer (as distinct from Psalmes and praise) than their *Amen*, or meer consent, we desired to imitate the surest pattern. 2. As we find that the Minister is the mouth of the people to God in publick (which Scripture, and the necessity of order, do require) so we were loath to countenance the peoples invading of that Sacred Office, so far as they seem to us to do; 1. By reading half the Psalmes, and Hymnes; 2. By saying half the Prayers, as the Minister doth the other half; 3. By being one of them the mouth of all the rest in the Confession at the Lords Supper; 4. By being the only Petitioners, in the far greatest part of all the Letanie, by their [good Lord deliver us] and [we beseech thee to hear us good Lord] while the Minister only reciteth the matter of the prayer, and maketh none of the Request at all, we fear least by parity of reason, the people will claim the work of preaching, and other parts of the Ministerial Office; 3. And we mentioned that which all our ears are witnesses of, that while half the Psalmes, and Hymnes, &c. are said by such of the people as can say them, the murmure of their voices in most Congregations, is so intelligible and confused, as must hinder

der the edification of all the rest; For who is edified by that which he cannot understand: we know not what you mean by citing 2 Chron. 7. 1, 4 Ezra 3. 11. where there is not a word of publick prayer, but in one place of an Acclamation, upon an extraordinary sight of the Glory of the Lord, which made them praise the Lord, and say, [He is good, for his Mercy is for ever] When the prayer that went before was such as you call [a long tedious prayer] uttered by Solomon alone without such breaks, and discants; And in the other places is no mention of prayer at all, but of singing praise, and that not by the people, but by the Priests, and Levites, saying the same words [for he is good, for his Mercy endures for ever towards Israel.] The people are said to do no more than shout with a great shout, because the foundation of the house was laid: and if shouting be it that you would prove, it's not the thing in question. Let the ordinary mode of praying in Scripture be observed, in the prayers of David, Solomon, Ezra, Daniel or any other, and if they were by breaks, and frequent beginnings and endings, and alternate Interlocutions of the people as yours are, then we will conform to your mode, which now offends us; But if they were not, we beseech you reduce yours to the examples in the Scripture: we desire no other rule to decide the Controversie by. As to your Citation, 1 Socrat. there tells us of the alternate singing of the *Aruians* in reproach of the Orthodox, and that *Chrysostom* (not a Synod) compiled Hymnes to be sung in opposition to them in the streets, which came in the end to a Tumult and Bloodshed. And hereupon he tells us of the original of alternate singing, viz. a pretended vision of *Ignatius*, that heard Angels sing in that order. And what is all this to alternate reading, and praying, or to a Divine Institution, when here is no mention of reading, or praying, but of singing Hymnes; And that not upon pretence of Apostolical Tradition, but a vision of uncertain credit. *Theodor.* Also speaketh only of singing Psalmes alternately, and not a word of reading or praying so; And he fetcheth that way of singing also as *Socrat.* doth, but from the Church at *Antioch*, and not from any pretended doctrine, or practise of the Apostles; And neither of them speaks a word of the necessity of it, or of forcing any to it, so that all these your Citations, speaking not a word

word so much as of the very Subject in question, are marvelously impertinent. The words [their worship] seem to intimate, that singing Psalmes is part (of our Worship) and not of yours, we hope you disown it not; for our parts we are not ashamed of it, your distinction between *Hopkins* and *David* Psalmes, as if the meter allowed by Authority to be sung in Churches, made them to be no more *David's* Psalmes, seemeth to us a very hard saying. If it be because it is a Translation, then the prose should be none of *David's* Psalmes neither, nor any Translation be the Scripture. If it be because it is in meter, then the exactest Translation in meter should be none of the Scripture; If because its done imperfectly, then the old Translation of the Bible, used by the Common Prayer Book should not be Scripture. As to your reason for the supposed priority, 1. Scripture examples telling us, that the People had more part in the Psalmes, than in the Prayers or Readings, satisfie us, that God and his Church then saw a disparity of Reason. 2. Common observation tells us, that there is more order and lesse hinderance of Edification in the Peoples Singing, than in their Reading, and Praying together vocally.

[It is desired that nothing should be in the Liturgie, which so much as seems to countenance the observation of Lent as a religious Fast, and this as an expedient to Peace, which is in effect to desire, that this our Church, may be contentious for Peace sake, and to divide from the Church Catholick, that we may live at unity among our selves; For Saint Paul reckons them amongst the lovers of Contention; who shall oppose themselves against the Custome of the Churches of God; That the religious observation of Lent was a Custome of the Churches of God, appears by the Testimonies following, Chrys. Ser. 11. in Heb. 10. Cyrill. Catec. myst. 5. St. Aug. Ep. 119. ut 40. dies ante Pascha observetur, Ecclesiæ consuetudo roboravit; and St. Hierom ad Marcell. sayes, it was secundum traditionem Apostolorum; this Demand then tends not to Peace, but Dissention. The fasting Forty dayes may be in imitation of our Saviour, for all that is here said to the contrary; for though we cannot arrive to his perfection, abstaining wholly from meat so long, yet we may fast 40. dayes together, either Cornelius his fast, till 3. of the Clocke afternoon,

ternoone, or Saint Peters fast till noon, or at least Daniels fast abstaining from meats and drinks of delight, and thus far imitate our Lord.]

Reply. If we had said, that the Church is contentious if it adore God in kneeling on the Lords dayes, or use not the White Garment, Milk and Honey after Baptisme, which had more pretence of Apostolical tradition, and were generally used more antiently than Lent, would you not have thought we wronged the Church? If the prier times of the Church have one Custome, and later times a contrary, which must we follow? or must we necessarily be contentious for not following both? or rather may we not by the example of the Church that changeth them, be allowed to take such things to be matters of Libertie, and not necessity? If we must needs conform to the custome of other Churches in such things, or be contentious, it is either because God hath so commanded, or because he hath given those Churches authority. to command it; If the former, then what Churches or what Ages must we conform to? If all must concur to be our patterne, it will be hard for us to be acquainted with them so far as to know of such concurrences: And in our Case we know that many do it not; If it must be the most, we would know where God commandeth us to imitate the greater number, though the worse; or hath secured us that they shall not be the worst? or why are not tyed rather to imitate the prier Ages than the more corrupt? If it be said, that the Church hath authority to command us, we desire to know what Church that is, and where to be found, and heard, that may command *England*, and all the Churches of his Majesties Dominions. If it be said to be a General Council, 1. No General Council can pretend to more Authority than that of *Nice*, whose 20th. Canon, back'd with tradition and common practice, now bindes not us, and was laid by without any Repeal by following Councils. 2. We know of no such things as General Councils, at least that have bound us to the religious observation of Lent. The Bishops of one Empire could not make a General Council. 3. Nor do we know of any such power

power that they have ever the universal Church, there being no visible head of it, or Governours to make universal Laws, but Christ, (as Rogers on the 20. Article forecited shews) our 21. Article saith that [General Councils may not be gathered together without the Commandment and Will of Princes] and doubtlesse all the Heathen, and Mahomitans, and all the contending Christian Princes, will never agree together, (nor never did) to let all their Christian Subjects concur to hold a General Council. It saith also [and when they be gathered together (forasmuch as they be an Assembly of men, whereof all be not governed with the Spirit and word of God) they may erre, and sometimes have erred, even in things perteyning unto God; therefore things ordained by them, as necessary to Salvation, have neither strength nor authority, unlesse it may be declared that they be taken out of the Holy Scriptures;] And if they may erre in things perteyning unto God, and ordained by them as necessary to Salvation] much more in lesser things. And are we contentious if we erre not with them? Our 39. Article determineth this Controversie, saying, [It is not necessary that Traditions and Ceremonies be in all places one, or utterly like; for at all times they have been divers, and changed according to the diversity of Countreys, times, and mens manners, so that nothing be ordained against Gods word.] And after [every particular, or national Church, hath authority to ordain, change, or abolish Ceremonies, or Rites of the Church, ordained only by mans authority, so that all things be done to edifying] they that believe not this, should not subscribe it, nor require it of others. As for the Testimonies cited by you, they are to little purpose: We deny not that the Custom of observing Lent, either fewer dayes or more, was as antient as those Authors. But that Lent was not known or kept in the 2d. or 3d. Ages, you may see as followeth, *Tertul. de jejun. l. 2. cap. 14.* pleading for the Montanists, [*Si omnem in totum devotionem temporum, & dierum, & mensium, & annorum e-*

rafit *Apostolus*, cur *Pascha* celebramus anno circulo in
 mense primo? cur quadraginta inde diebus in omni exul-
 tatione decurrimus? cur stationibus quartam & sextam,
 sabbati dicamus? & jejuniis Parasceven? quanquam vos
 etiam sabbatum si quando continuatis; nunquam nisi in
Pascha jejunandum, &c. And cap. 15. excusing that rigor
 of their Fasts [*quantula est apud nos interdictio ciborum,*
duus in anno. Hebdomadas xerophagiarum nec totas, excep-
tis scilicet sabbatis, & dominicis offerimus Deo; The old
 general Fast at that time was only the voluntary uncon-
 strained fasting on *Good Friday*, and after that on one
 or two dayes more, and then on six; *Ireneus* in a
 fragment of an Epist. in *Euseb. Hist. lib. 5. cap. 26. Gr.*
Lat. 23. saith, (the Controversy is not only of the
 day of *Easter*, but of the kind of Fast it self: for some
 think they should fast one day, some two, others
 more, some measure their day by 40. hours of day
 and night; and this variety of those that observe these
 Fasts began not now in our Age, but long before us
 with our Ancestors, who as is most like, propagated
 to posterity the Custom which they retein, as brought
 in by a certain simplicity, and private will; And yet
 all these lived peaceably among themselves, and we
 keep peace among our selves, and the difference of
 Fasting is so far from violating the consonancy of
 Faith, as that it even commendeth it.] Thus *Ireneus*,
 (read the rest of the Chapter) thus is the true reading
 confessed by *Bellarmino*, *Rigaltius*, &c. and *Dionis.*
Alexand. Ep. Can. ad Basil. pag. 881. Balsam. saith,
 [nor do all equally and alike sustain those six dayes of
 Fasting; but some passe them all Fasting, some two,
 some three, some four, some more;] And the Catho-
 licks

licks in *Tert. de jejun. cap. 2.* say, neque de cætero differuntur jejunandum, ex arbitrio, non ex imperio nova disciplina, pro temporibus & causis aniuſcujusque sic & Apostolos obſervasse, nullum aliud imponentes jugum certorum, & in commune omnibus obcundorum jejuniorum; And *Socrat.* admireth at many Countreyes, that all differed about the number of dayes, and yet all called it *Quadragesima*, lib. 5. c. 22. *Lat. Gr. 21.* So *Sozomen* lib. 7. c. 19. *Gr. & Niceph.* lib. 12. cap. 34. which may help you to expound *Hierom*, and the rest cited by you, as *Rigaltius* doth ad *Tertul. de jejun.* 118. as shewing that they did it with respect to Christs 40. dayes fast, but not as intending any such thing themselves as any fast of 40. dayes. It is against the *Montanists*, that the *Quadrages.* was but once a year that *Hierom* useth the title of Apostolick tradition. And how to expound him, see *Epist. ad Lucin.* [unaqueque provincia abundet in suo sensu, & precepta Majorum leges Apostolicus arbitretur;] But saith *August.* ad *Casulan.* Ep. 86. [In Evangelicis & Apostolicis literis, totoque Instrumento quod appellatur Testamentum Novum, animo id revolvens video preceptum esse jejunium: quibus autem diebus non oportet jejunare, & quibus oporteat, præcepto Domini vel Apostolorum non invenio definitum.] And that Christians abstinence in Lent was voluntary [quanto magis quisque vel minus voluerit, vel potuerit,] *August.* affirmeth, cont. *Faustum Manich.* lib. 30. cap. 5. And *Socrat.* ubi *ſupr.* saith, [ac quoniam nemo de cære præceptum literarum monumentis proditum potest ostendere, perspicuum est Apostolos liberam potestatem in eadem cujusque menti, ac arbitrio permisisse: ut quisque nec metu, nec necessitate inductus quod bonum sit ageret.]

And *Prosper de vit. Contempl. li. 2. C. 24. veruntamen sic jejnare, v. l. abstinere debemus ut nos non jejnandi, vel abstinenti necessitate subdamus, ne jam devoti, sed inviti, rem voluntariam faciamus.*] And *Cassianus, lib. 2. col. 21. cap. 30. saith, [in primitivâ eccl. siâ equale fuisse jejnium per totum annum: Ac frigescente devotione, cum negligenterur jejunia inductum Q adrag. a Sacerdotibus.* But when you come to describe your fast, you make amends for the length, by making it indeed no fast; To abstain from meats and drinks of delight,] where neither the thing, nor the delight, is profitable to further us in our duty to God, is that which we take to be the duty of every Christian all the year, as being a part of our mortification, and self denial, who are commanded to Crucifie the flesh, and to make no provision to satisfy the Lusts of it, and to subdue our bodyes: But when those meats and drinks, do more help then hinder us in the service of God, we take it to be our duty to use them, unless when some other accident forbids it, that would make it otherwise more hurtful; And for fasting till noon, we suppose it is the ordinary way of dyet to multitudes of Sedentary persons, both Students, and Tradesmen, that find one meal a day sufficient for nature: If you call this fasting, your poor Brethren fast all their life time, and never knew that it was fasting; But to command hard Labourers to do so, is but to make it a fault to have health, or to do their necessary work. We beleech you bring not the Clergie under the suspicion of Gluttony, by calling our ordinary wholesome temperance by the name of fasting: sure Princes may feed as fully and delightfully as we; yet *Solomon saith [woe to thee O Land when thy King is a Child, and thy Prince eat in the morning; Blessed art thou O Land when thy King is the Son of Nobles, and thy Prince eat in due season, for strength, and not for drunkenesse;]* For meer sensual delight it is never lawful; And when it is for strength it is not to be forbidden, unless when by accident it will infer a greater good to abstain, *Eccl. 20. 16, 17. so Prov. 31. 4. 6.* It is not for Kings to drink wine, nor for Princes strong drink; give strong drink to him that is ready to perish, and wine to those that be of heavy hearts.]

Nor

[Nor does the *Act of Parliament* 5 Eliz. forbid it, we dare not think a Parliament did intend to forbid that which Christ his Church hath commanded; Nor does the *Act* determine any thing about Lent Fast, but only provide for the maintenance of the Navy, and of Fishing in order thereunto, as is plain by the *Act*. Besides we conceive that we must not so interpret, one *Act*, as to contradict another, being still in force and unrepealed. Now the *Act* of 1 Eliz. confirms the whole Liturgy, and in that the religious keeping of Lent, with a severe penalty upon all those, who shall by open words speak any thing in derogation of any part thereof, and therefore that other *Act* of 5 Elizab. must not be interpreted to forbid the religious keeping of Lent.] S 2.

Reply. If when the expresse words of a Statute are cited, you can so easily put it off, by saying (it does not forbid it,) and you dare not think that a Parliament did intend to forbid that which Christ his Church hath commanded,) and (you must not interpret it as contradicting that *Act* which confirms the Liturgy,) we must think that indeed we are no less careful of the Laws of the Governours than you; But first, we understand not what Authority this is that you set against the King and Parliament, as supposing they will not forbid what it commands? You call it Christs Church, we suppose you mean not Christ himself, by his Apostles infallibly directed and inspired: If it be the National Church of England, they are the Kings Subjects; and why may he not forbid a Ceremony which they command; or why should they command it if he forbid it? If it be any Forreign Church, there's none hath power over us. If it be any pretended head of the Church universal, whether Pope or general Council, having power to make Laws that bind the whole Church, it is a thing so copiously disproved by Protestants against both the Italian and French Papists, that we think it needlesse to consider it, nor indeed dare imagine that you intend it. We know not therefore what you mean; But whatever you mean you seem to contradict the forecited Article of the Church of England, that makes all humane Laws about Rites and Ceremonies of the Church to be unchangeable, by each particular National Church; And that if it is not necessary that Ceremonies or Traditions be in all places one, or utterly like) we most earnestly beseech you be cautious how you obtrude upon us a Forreign Power, under the name of Christs Church, that may command Ceremonies which King and Parliament may not forbid, whether it be one man or a thousand; we fear it is against our Oathes of Allegiance and Supremacy, for us

to own any such Power. And (not presuming upon any immodest challenge) we are ready in the defence of those Oathes, and the Protestant Religion, to prove against any in an equal conference, that there is no such Power: and for the Statute let the words themselves decide the Controversy, which are these [*Be it Enacted that whosoever shall by Preaching, Teaching, Writing, or open speech, notifie that any eating of Fish, or forbearing of Flesh, mentioned in this Statute, is of any necessity for the saving of the Soul of man, or that it is the Service of God, otherwise than as other Politick Laws are, and be, that than such persons are and shall be punished, as the spreaders of false news are, and ought to be.*] And whereas you say the Act determines not any thing about Lent Fast, it speaks against eating Flesh, (on any days now usually observed as Fish days: and Lent is such, and the sense of the Act for the Liturgy may better be tryed by this, which is plain, than thus reduced to that which is more obscure.

N. 6.

[*The observation of Saints dayes, is not as of Divine, but Ecclesiastical Institution, and therefore it is not necessary that they should have any other ground in Scripture, than all other Institutions of the same nature, so that they be agreeable to the Scripture in the general end, for the promoting piety, and the observation of them was antient, as appears by the Rituals, and Liturgies, and by the joynt consent of Antiquity, and by the antient translation of the Bible, as the Syriack and Ethiopick, where the Lessons appointed for Holydayes, are noted and set down, the former of which was made near the Apostles times, Besides our Saviour him'self, kept a Feast of the Churches Institution, viz. the Feast of the Dedication, S. Jo. 12. 22. The choice end of these dayes being not feasting, but the exercise of Holy Duties, they are fitter called Holydayes, than Festivals, and though they be all of like nature, it doth not follow that they are equal; The people may be dispensed with for their work, after the Service, as Authority pleaseth, The other names are left in the Calender, not that they should be so kept as Holidayes, but they are useful for the preservation of their memories, and for other reasons, as for Leases, Law-dayes, &c.*]

Reply. The antiquity of the Translations mentioned is far from being of determinate certainty: we rather wish than hope, that the Syriack could be proved to be made near the Apostles times; But however, the things being confessed of humane Institution, and no Forreign Power having any Authority to command his Majesties Subjects, and so the imposition being only by our own Governours,

We

we humbly praye that they may be left indifferent, and the unity or peace of the Church, or Liberty of the Ministers not laid upon them.

[This makes the Liturgy void, if every Minister may put in, and leave out all at his discretion.] N. 7.
S. 1.

Repl. You mistake us: we speak not of putting in, and leaving out, of the Liturgy. but of having leave to intermix some exhortation or prayers besides: to take off the deadnesse which will follow, if there be nothing but the stinted Formes; we would avoid both the extremes that would have no formes, and the contrary extremes that would have nothing but formes; But if we can have nothing but extremes; there's no remedy, its not our fault. And this moderation and mixture which we move for, is so far from making all the Liturgy void, that it will do very much to make it attain its end, and to heal much of the distemper which it occasioneth, and consequently would do much to preserve the reputation of it. As for instance, if besides the Formes in the Liturgy, the Minister might at Baptism, the Lords Supper, Marriages, &c. interpose some suitable exhortation or prayer upon special occasion when he finds it needfull. Should you deny this at the visitation of the Sick, it would seem strange, and why may it not be granted at other times: It is a matter of far greater trouble to us, that you would deny us and all Ministers the Liberty of using any other Prayers besides the Liturgy, then that you impose these

[The gift or rather spirit of Prayer consists in the inward graces of the spirit, not in externall expressions, which any man of natural parts, having a voluable tongue, and audacity, may attain to without any special gift.] S. 2.

Re-1. All inward Graces of the spirit, are not properly called the spirit of Prayer, nor is the spirit of Prayer that gift of Prayer which we speak of; Nor did we call it by the name of a special gift, nor did we deny that ordinary men of natural parts and voluable tongues may attain it; But yet we humbly conceive that as there is a gift of Preaching, so also of Prayer, which God bestows in the use of means, diversified much according to mens natural parts, & their diligence: as other acquired abilities are, but also much depending on that grace that is inwardly which maketh men

love and relish the holy subjects of such spiritual studies, and the holy exercise of those Graces that are the soul of Prayer, and consequently making men follow on such exercises with delight and diligence, and therefore with success; And also God is free in giving, or denying his blessing to mans endeavours; If you think there be no Gift of Preaching, you will too dishonourably level the Ministry: If Reading be all the Gift of Prayer or Preaching, there needs no great understanding or learning to it. Nor should Coblers and Tinkers be so unfit men for Ministers as they are thought; Nor would the Reason be very apparent, why a Woman might not speak by Preaching, or Praying in the Church.

- § 3. [But if there be any such Gift as is pretended, it is to be subject to the Prophets, and to the Order of the Church.]

Reply. The Text speaks (as Dr. Hammond well shews) of a subjection to that Prophet himself, who was the speaker; Inspiration excluded not the prudent exercise of Reason, But it is a strange ordering, that totally excludeth the thing ordered. The gift of preaching (as distinct from reading) is to be orderly and with due subjection exercised; But not to be on that pretence extinguished and cast out of the Church: And indeed if you should command it, you are not to be obeyed, what ever we suffer; And why then should the gift of Prayer (distinct from reading) be cast out.

- § 4. [The mischiefs that come by Idle, Impertinent, Ridiculous, sometimes Seditious, Impious, and Blasphemous Expressions under pretence of the Gift, to the dishonour of God, and scorn of Religion, being far greater than the pretended good of exercising the Gift; It is fit that they who desire such Liberty in publick devotions, should first give the Church security, that no private Opinions should be put into their Prayers, as is desired in the first Proposal, and that nothing contrary to the Faith should be uttered before God, or offered up to him in the Church.]

Reply. The mischiefs which you pretend, are Inconveniencies attending humane Imperfection, which you would cure with a mischief; Your Argument from the abuse against the use is a palpable Fallacy, which cast out Physicians in some Countries, and rooted up

up Vines in others, and condemneth the reading of the Scriptures in a known Tongue among the Papists; If the Apostles (that complain-
ed then so much of Devisions, and preaching false Doctrines) and
in envy and strife, &c.) had thought the way of Cure had been,
in sending Ministers about the world, with a Prayer-book, and Ser-
mon-book, and to have tied them only to read either one or both
of these, no doubt but they would have been so regardful of the
Church, as to have composed such a Prayer-book, or Sermon-book
themselves, and not left us to the uncertainties of an Authority not
infallible, nor to the Devisions, that so low the Impositions of a
questionable power, or that which unquestionably is not Universal,
and therefore can procure no universal Concord. If one man a-
mong you draw up a form of Prayer, it is his single conception: And
why a man as learned and able, may not be trusted to conceive a
Prayer, for the use of a single Congregation, without the dangers
mentioned by you, as one man to conceive a Prayer for all the
Churches in a Diocess or a Nation? we know not. These words (*This
the mischief is greater than the pretended good*) seem to expresse an
unjust Accusation, of ordinary conceived prayer, and a great un-
dermining of the benefit: If you would intimate that the Crimes
expresed by you are ordinarily found in Ministers prayers; we that
hear such much more frequently than you, must profess we have
not found it so (a loving men their different measures of Exact-
ness, as you have even in writing). Nay, the praise of God we
must say, that multitudes of private men can ordinarily pray with-
out any such Imperfection, as should nauseate a sober person, and
with such seriousness, and aptness of Expression as is greatly to the
benefit and comfort of our selves, when we joyn with them; And
if such general Accusations may serve in a matter of publick, and
common fact, there is no way for the justification of the Innocent;
And that it is no such common guilt, will seem more probable to
them that consider, that such conceived Prayers, both prepared and
extemperate have been ordinarily used in the Pulpits in *England* and
Scotland, before our dayes till now, and there hath been power e-
nough in the Bishops and others, before the Wars, to punish those
that speak Ridiculously, Seditiously, Impiously, or Blaphemously;
And yet so few are the Instances (even when jealousy was most
bustle) of Ministers punished, or once accused of any such fault in Pray-
er, that we find it not easie to remember any considerable number
of them: There being great numbers punished for not reading the

Book, for playing on the Lords dayes, or for preaching too oft, and such like, for one that was ever questioned for such kind of praying. And the former shewed that it was not for want of will to be severe, that they spared them as to the latter. And if it be but few that are guilty of any incoherable faulces of that nature in their Prayers, we hope you will not go on to believe, that the mischief that cometh by the failings of those few, is far greater than the benefit of conceived prayer by all others. We presume not to mixe our Experiences, the measure of yours, or of other mens. You may tell us what doth most good, or hurt to your selves, and those that have so communicated their Experiences to you; But we also may speak our own, and theirs that have discovered them to us. And we must seriously profess, that we have found far more benefit to our selves, and to our Congregations (as far as our Conference, and Converse with them, and our observation of the effects alloweth us to discern) by conceived Prayers, than by the Common-Prayer-book. We find that the benefit of conceived Prayer is to keep the mind in serious Employment, and to awaken the affections, and to make us fervent, and importunate; And the inconvenience is that some weak men are apt as in Preaching and Conference, so in Prayer to shew their weaknesse, by some unapt Expressions, or disorder. Which is an evil no way to be compared, with the fore-mentioned good; considering that it is but in the weak, and that if that weaknesse be so great as to require it, forms may be imposed on those few, without imposing them on all for their sakes (as we force not all to use Spectacles, or Crutches, because some are purblind or lame) and considering that God heareth not Prayers, for the Rhetorick, and hands in Cadencies, and neatnesse of Expression, but will bear more with the Incuriosity of words (which yet we plead not for) than with an hypocritical, formal heartlesse, lip service; For he knoweth the meaning of the Spirit even in the groans, which are not uttered in words; And for the Common-Prayer our Observation telleth us, that though some can use it judiciously, seriously, and we doubt not profitably, yet as to the most of the vulgar, it occasioneth a relaxing of their attention, and intentions, and a tripping up with a Coroll, or Image of devotion, even the service of the lips, while the heart is little sensible of what is said. And had we not known it, we should have thought it incredible, how utterly ignorant abundance are of the sense of the words which they hear, and repeat themselves from day to day even about

about Christ himself, and the Essentials of Christianity. It is wonderful to us to observe that rational Creatures can so commonly separate the words from all the sense and life, to great a help or hinderance even to the understanding, is the awakening or not awakening of the Affections about the things of God; And we have already shewed you many unfit Expressions in the Common Prayer-book, especially in the Epistles and Gospels, through the faultiness of your Translations, as *Eph. 3. 15. (Father of all, that is called, Father in Heaven and Earth) And that Christ was found in his Apparell as a man) That Mount Sinai is Agar in Arabia, and bordereth upon the City now called Jerusalem) Gal. 4. 25. (This is the Sixth Month which is called Barren) Luke. 1. (And when men be drunk) John 2. with many such like, which are parts of your publick worship; And would you have us hence conclude, that the mischiefs of such Expressions are worse, than all the benefits of that worship: And yet there is this difference in the Cases, that weak & rash Ministers were but here and there one; But the Common-Prayer is the service of every Church, and every day had we heard any in extemporary Prayer use such unmeet Expressions, we should have thought him worthy of sharp reprehension, yet though he had been of the younger or weaker sort; Divers other unfit Expressions are mentioned in the Exceptions of the late Arch-Bishop of York, and Primate of Ireland, and others (before spoken of) And there is much in the prejudice or diseased Curiosity of some hearers, to make words seem Idle, Impertinent, or Ridiculous which are not so (and which perhaps they understand not) some thought so of the inserting in the late Prayer-book, the private opinion of the Souls departed praying for us; and our praying for the benefit of their prayers; As for the security which you call for, (though (as is shewed) you have given us none at all against such errors in your forms, yet) we have before shewed you, that you have as much as among imperfect men can be expected: The same that you have, that Physicians shall not murder men, and that Lawyers and Judges shall not undoe men, and that your Pilate shall not cast away the ship: you have the power in your hands of taking or refusing as they please or displease you, and of judging them by a known Law for their proved miscarriages, according to the quality of them, and what would you have more.*

To prevent which mischief the former Ages knew no better way, S. 5.
 had it so or intended it, (as it is said) to have done so than

than to forbid any Prayers in publick, but such as were prescribed by publick authority: Con. Carthag. Can. 106. Milen. Can. 12.

Repl. To what you allege out of two Councils, we answer, 1. The Acts of more venerable Councils are not now at all observed (as Nice 1. Can. ult. &c.) nor many of these same which you cite, 2. The Scripture, and the constant practice of the more ancient Church allowed what they forbid. 3. Even these Canons shew that then the Churches thought not our Liturgie to be necessary to their Concord: Nor indeed had then any such form imposed on all, or many Churches to that end. For the Can. of Council Carth. (we suppose you meant Council 3. Can. 23.) mentioneth Prayers even at the Altar, and alloweth any man to describe and use his own Prayers, so he doe but first, *cum instructionibus fratribus eas conferre*, Take advice about them with the abler Brethren. If there had been a stated form before imposed on the Churches what room could there be for this course. And even this much seems but a Caution, made newly upon some late abuse of Prayer. The same we may say *de Concil. Male. Can. 12*: If they were but *prudentioribus tractata, vel comprobata in Synodo*, new Prayers might by any man at any time be brought in, which sheweth they had no such stated publick Liturgie as is now pleaded for. And even this seemeth occasioned by Pelagianisme, which by this Caution they would keep out.

We hope your omission of our 8th. desire (for the use of the new Translation) intimateth your Grant that it shall be so; But we marvel then that we find among your Concessions the alteration; of no part but the Epistles and Gospels.

N. 9 As they would have no Saints dayes observed by the Church, so no Apocripheal Chapter read in the Church, but upon such a reason, *ne* would exclude all Sermons as well as Apocriphe, viz. because the holy Scriptures contain in them all things necessary either in Doctrine to be believed, or in duty to be practised; if so why so many unnecessary Sermons? why any more but reading of Scriptures? If notwithstanding their sufficiency Sermons be necessary, there is no reason why these Apocripheal Chapters should not be as usefull, most of them containing excellent discourses, and rules of morality, it is heartily to be wished that

that Sermons were as good; if their fear be that by this mean, those Books may come to be of equal esteem with the Canon, they may be secured against that by the Title which the Church hath put upon them, calling them Apocriphal, and it is the Church's testimony which teacheth us this difference, and to leave them out, were to cross the practice of the Church in former Ages.]

*Repl. We hoped when our desires were delivered in writing, they would have been better observed and understood: We asked not (that no Apocriphal Chapter may be read in the Church,) but that none may be read (as Lessons) for so the Chapters of holy Scripture there read, are called in the Book, and to read them in the same place under the same title, without any sufficient note of distinction, or notice given to the people that they are not Canonical Scripture, they being also bound with our Bibles) is such a temptation to the vulgar to take them for Gods Word, as doth much prevail, and is like to do so still. And when Papists second it with their confident affirmations, that the Apocriphal Bookes are Canonical, well refuted by one of you, the R. Reverend Bishop of *Durham*,) we should not needlessly help on their successe. If you cite the Apocripha as you do other humane writings, or read them as Homilies, (when and where there is reason to read such) we speak not against it,) to say that the people are secured by the Churches calling them Apocripha, is of no force, till experience be proved to be dis-regardable, and till you have proved that the Ministers is to tell the people at the reading of every such Chapter that it is but Apocriphal, and that the people all understand Greek so well as to know what Apocripha signifieth. The more sacred and honourable are these Dictates of the holy Ghost recorded in Scripture, the greater is the sin, by reading the Apocripha, without sufficient distinction to make the people believe that the writings of man are the Revelation and Laws of God; And also we speak against the reading of the Apocripha, as it excludeth much of the Canonical Scriptures, and taketh in such Books in their stead, as are commonly reputed fabulous. By this much you may see how you lost your Answer by mistaking us, and how much you will sin against God, and the Church, by denying our desire.*

N. 10.

[That the Minister should not read the Communion Service at the Communion Table, is not reasonable to demand, since all the Primitive Church used it, and if we do not observe that golden Rule, of the venerable Council of Nice, Let ancient customes prevail, till
 x reason plainly requires the contrary: We shall give offence to sober Christians by a causelesse departure from Catholick usage, and a great advantage to enemies of our Church, than our Brethren I hope would willingly grant. The Priest standing at the Communion Table, seemeth to give us an invitation to the holy Sacrament, and minds us of our duty, viz. To receive the holy Communion, some at least every Sunday, and though we neglect our duty, it is fit the Church should keep her standing.]

Repl. We doubt not but one place in it self is as lawful as another, but when you make such differences as have misleading intimations, we desire it may be forborn. That all the Primitive Church used when there was no Communion in the Sacrament, to say Service at the Communion Table, is a crude assertion, that must have better proof before we take it for convincing, and it is not probable because they had a Communion every Lords day: And if this be not your meaning, you say nothing to the purpose: To prove that they used it when there was a Communion, is no proof that they used it when there was none; And you your selves devise many things more universally practised than this can at all be fairly pretended to have been. The Council of Nice gives no such golden Rule as you mention; A Rule is a general applyable to particular Cases, the Council only speaks of one particular; Let the ancient Custom continue in *Aegypt, Lybia, and Pentapolis*, that the Bishop of *Alexandria* have the power of them all;) The Council here confirmeth this particular Custom, but doth not determine in general of the Authority of Custom. That this should be called a Catholick usage shews us how partially the word (Catholick) is sometimes taken. And that this much cannot be granted, as least we advantage the enemies of the Church, doth make us wonder whom you take for its enemies, and what is that advantage which this will give them; But we thank you that here we find our selves called Brethren, when before we are not so much as spoken to, but your

your speech is directed to some other (we know not whom) concerning us, your reason is that which is our reason to the contrary, you say (The Priest standing at the Communion Table seems to give us an Invitation to the holy Communion, &c) what when there is no Sacrament by himself or us intended ? no warning of any given ? no Bread and Wine prepared ? Be not deceived, God is not mocked, Therefore we desire that there may be no such service at the Table when no Communion is intended, because we would not have such grosse dissimulation used in so holy things, as thereby to seem (as you say) to invite Guests, when the Feast is not prepared, and if they came we would turn them empty away. Indeed if it were to be a private Mass, and the Priest were to receive alone for want of Company, and it were really desired that the people should come, it were another matter ; Moreover there is no Rubrick requiring this service at the Table.

[It is not reasonable that the word Minister should be only used in the Liturgy, for since some parts of the Liturgy may be performed by a Deacon, others by none under the Order of a Priest, viz. Absolution, Consecration, it is fit that some such word as Priest, should be used for those Offices, and not Minister, which signifies at large every one that ministers in that holy Office, of what Order soever he be ; The word Curate signifying properly all those who are trusted by the Bishops, with Cure of Souls, as anciently it signified, is a very fit word to be used, and can offend no sober person. The word Sunday is ancient. Just. Mart. Ap. 2. And therefore not to be left off.]

N. II.

Repl. The word (Minister) may well be used in stead of Priest and Curates, though the word (Deacon) for necessary distinction stand ; yet we doubt not but (Priest) as it is but the English of *Presbyter*) is lawful ; But it is from the common danger of mistake, and abuse that we argue. That all Pastors else are but the Bishops Curates, is a Doctrine that declares, this heavy charge and account of the Bishops, and tends much to the ease of the Presbyters minds if it could be proved. If by (Curates) you mean such as have not directly by divine Obligation the Cure of Souls, but only by the Bishops Delegation) But if

the Office of a Presbyter be not of Divine Right, and so if they be not the Curates of Christ, and Pastors of the Church none are; And for the ancient use of it, we find not that it was so from the beginning: And as there's difference, between the ancient Bishops of one single Church and a Diocesan that hath many hundred, so is there between their Curates. But why will you not yield so much, as to change the word (*Sunday*) into the (*Lords Day*) when you know that the latter is the name used by the Holy Ghost in Scripture, and commonly by the ancient Writers of the Church, and more becoming Christians. *Iust. Mart.* speaking to Infidels, tells how they called the Day, and not how Christians called it: All he saith is that on *Sunday*, that is so called by Heathens, the Christians hold their meetings. See the usage of the Church in this point in *August. Cont. Faustum Manichæum. Lib. 18. Cap. 5.*

N. 12. [*Singing of Psalms in Meeter is no part of the Liturgy, and so no part of our Commission.*]

Repl. If the word *Liturgy* signifie the publick Worship, God forbid we should exclude the singing of Psalms: And sure you have no fitter way of singing than in Meeter when these and all Prayers conceived by private men (as you call the Pastors) whether prepared or extemporare, (and by purity of reason-preaching) are cast out, what will your Liturgy be? We hope you make no question, whether singing Psalms, and Hymns were part of the Primitive Liturgy, and seeing they are set forth, and allowed to be sung in all Churches of all the people together) why should they be denied to be part of the Liturgy; we understand not the reason of this [*N. 13. 14.*] The 13. and 14. we suppose you grant by passing them by.

N. 15. [*The phrase is such, &c.*] *The Church in her Prayers useth no more offensive phrase, than St. Paul uses, when he writes to the Corinthians, Galatians, and others, calling them in general the Churches of God, Sanctified in Christ Jesus by vocation Saints, amongst whom notwithstanding there were many, who by their known sins (which the Apostle endeavoured to amend in them) were not properly such, yet he gives the denomination to the whole from the great*

er part; to whom in charity it was due. And put the rest in mind what they have by their Baptisme undertaken to be, and what they profess themselves to be, and our prayers and the phrase of them, surely supposes no more than that they are Saints by calling, sanctified in Christ Jesus, by their Baptisme admitted into Christs Congregation, and so to be reckoned members of that Society, till either they shall separate themselves by willful Schisme, or be separated by legal Excommunication, which they seem earnestly to desire, and so do we.]

Repl. But is there not a very great difference between the Titles, given to the whole Church (as you say from the greater part; as the truth is from the better part, though it were the lesse) and the Titles given to Individual members, where there is no such reason? we call the Field a Corn field, though there be much Tares in it, because of the better part, which denominateth: But we will not call every one of these Tares by the name of Corn. when we speak of the Church, we will call it holy, as *Paul* doth: But when we speak to *Simon Magus*, we will not call him holy, but say (Thou art in the gulf of bitterness, and the bond of Iniquity, and hast no part or lot in this matter, &c.) We will not perswade the people that every notorious Drunkard, Fornicator, Worldling, &c. that is buried as a Brother, of whose Resurrection to life Eternal, we have sure and certain hope, and all because you will not Excommunicate them. We are glad to hear of your desire of such Discipline: But when shall we see more than desire: and the edge of it be turned from those that fear sinning to those that fear it not.

[The Connexion of the parts of our Liturgy is conformable to the Example of the Churches of God before us, and have as much dependence as is usually to be seen in many positions of the same Psalm, and we conceive the Order and Method to be excellent and must do so: till they tell us what that Order is which Prayers ought to have, which is not done here.]

Repl. There are two Rules of Prayer, one is the nature of the things, compared (in matter and order) with nature and

necessary: The other is the revealed will of God in his word: In general the holy Scripture; more especially the Lords Prayer: The Liturgy (for the greatest part of the Prayers for daily use) is confuted, by which soever of those you measure it; You seem much to honour the Lords Prayer, by your frequent use of it (or part of it) we beseech you dishonour it not practically by denying it for matter, and order to be the only ordinary perfect Rule we know about particular Administrations, when it is but certain select requests that we are to put up, suited to the particular subject, and occasion, we cannot follow the whole method of the Lords Prayer, which containeth the beads of all the parts; where we are not to take in all the parts, we cannot take them in that order; But that none of all your Prayers should be formed to the perfect Rule, that your Letany which is the comprehensive Prayer, and that the body of your daily Prayers (broken into several Collects) should not as set together,) have any considerable respect unto that order, nor yet to the order which reason and the nature of the thing requireth, which is observed in all things else, and yet that you should admire this, and be so tenacious of that, which in conceived Prayer you would call by worse names than confusions, this shews us the wonderful power of prejudice; We were thus brief in this exception, lest we should offend by instances: But seeing you conceive the order and method to be excellent, and to be willing to hear more, as to this and the following exception, we shall annex a Catalogue of defects, and disorders, which we before forbore to give you: The Psalmes have ordinarily an observable method: If you find any whose parts you cannot so well set together, as to see the beauty of method, will you turn your eye from the rest, and from the Lords Prayer, and choose that one to be your President! or excuse disorder on that pretence.

S. 2. *[The Collects are made short as being best for devotion as we observed before, and cannot be accounted faulty; for being like those short but prevalent Prayers in Scripture; Lord be merciful to me a Sinner; Son of David have mercy on us; Lord increase our Faith.]*

Repl. We do in common speech call that a Prayer, which containeth

containeth all the substance of what in that businessse and addresse we have to say unto God, and that a Petition which containeth one single request, usually a Prayer hath many Petitions. Now if you intend in your addresse to God, to do no more than speak a transient request or ejaculation (which we may do in the midst of other businessse) then indeed your instances are pertinent. But why then do you not give over when you seem to have done, but come again and again and offer as many Prayers, almost as Petitions. This is to make the Prayer short, (as a Sermon is that is cut into single Sentences, every Sentence having an *exordium*, and Epilogue as a Sermon,) but it is to make the Prayers much longer, than is needfull or suitable to the matter; Do you find this the way of the Saints in Scripture? Indeed *Abraham* did so, when Gods interlocution answering the first Prayer, called him to vary his request, *Gen. 18*. But that's not our case: The *Psalms* and Prayers of *David*, *Solomon*, *Hezekiah*, *Asa*, *Ezra*, *Nehemiah*, *Daniel*, and the other Prophets, of Christ himself, *Joh. 17*. are usually one continued speech, and not like yours, (as we said before.)

[Why the repeated mention of the Name and Attributes of God, S 3.
should not be more pleasing to any godly person, we cannot imagine, or what burden it should seem, when David magnified one Attribute of Gods mercy, 26. times together, *Psal. 26*. Nor can we conceive why the Name and merits of Jesus, with which all our Prayers should end, should not be as sweet to us as to former Saints and Martyrs, with which here they complain our Prayers do so frequently end: since the Attributes of God are the ground of our hope, of obtaining all our Petitions. Such Prefaces of Prayers as are taken from them, though they have no special respect to the Petitions following, are not to be termed unsuitable, or said to have fallen rather casually, than orderly.]

Rept. As we took it to be no Controversy between us, whether the mention of Gods Name is deservedly sweet to all his Servants; so we thought it was none, that this reverend Name is reverently to be used, and not too lightly, and therefore not with a causelesse frequency tossed in mens mouths, even in prayer it self; and that tautologies and vain repetitions, are not the better

better but the worse, because Gods Name is made the matter of them. Is it not you that have expressed your offence (as well as we) against those weak Ministers that repeat too frequently the Name and Attributes of God, in their extemporate Prayers? And is it ill in them? and is the same, and much more well in the Common Prayer? O have not the Faith or worship of our glorious God in respect of persons. Let not that be called ridiculous, idle, impertinent, or worse in one, which is accounted commendable in others. Do you think it were not a faulty crossing, of the mind and method of Jesus Christ if you should make 6. Prayers of the 6. Petitions of the Lords Prayer, and set the Preface and Conclusion unto each, as (*Our Father, which art in Heaven, hallowed be thy Name, for thine is the Kingdome &c.*) and so over all the rest; Yet we know that the same words may be oft repeated (as *David* doth Gods enduring mercy,) without such tautological vanity: when it is not from emptinesse, or neglect of order, or affectation; But in Psalms or Hymns where affectations are to be elevated by such figurative elegancies, and strains as are best befitting Poetry or Rapture, we are not against such repetitions; But if we may (according to the Common-Prayer-book) begin and end, and begin and seem to withdraw again, and make a Prayer of every Petition or two, and begin and end every such petition, with Gods name, and Christs merits, as making up halfe the form, or near, nothing is an affected empty tossing of Gods name in Prayer if this be not: We are perswaded if you should hear a man in a known extemporate prayer do thus it would seem strange and harsh even to your selves.

N. 17. [*There are besides a preparative Exhortation, several preparatory prayers: Despise not O Lord humble and contrite hearts. Which is one of the sentences in the Preface; And this, That those things may please him, which we do at this present) at the end of the Absolution: And again immediately after the Lords Prayer before the Psalmody, O Lord open thou our Lips. &c.*]

§ 1.
Exc. 1.

Repl. Despise not O Lord humble and contrite hearts) is not a prayer, for assistance, and acceptance in that Worship, suited to the duty of a people addressing themselves to God: But it is recited

cited as a Scripture invitation to repentance, and (that those things may please him which we do at this present) are no words of Prayer, but part of an exhortation to the people; (and *O Lord, open thou our lips*;) comes after the Exhortation, Confession, Absolution, and Lords Prayer, and *ergo* is not in the place of such an Address, as we are speaking of, What will not seem to justify, what we have a mind to justify; and to condemn that which we have a mind to condemn?

[This which they call a defect, others think they have reason to
account the perfection of the Liturgy, the Offices of which being in-
tended for common and general services, would cease to be such, by
descending to particulars, as in confession of Sin, while it is general,
all persons may and must joyn in it, since in many things we offend
all; But if there be a particular enumeration of sins, it cannot be so
general a confession, because it may happen that some or other may
by Gods Grace have been preserved from some of those sins enu-
merated, and therefore should by confessing themselves guilty, tell God
a Lye, which needs a new Confession.]

Repl. If general words be its perfection, its very culpable in tediousness and vain repetitions; For what need you more than (*Lord be merciful to us sinners.*) There's together a general confession of Sin, and a general Prayer for mercy, which comprehend all the particulars of the peoples Sins, and wants. We gave you our reason, which you answer not; Confession is the exercise of Repentance, and also the helper of it; And it is not true repentance which is not particular, but only general. If you say that you repent that you have sinned, and know not where, or do not repent of any particular sin, you do not indeed repent for Sin is not existent but in the Individuals: And if you ask for grace, and know not what grace, or desire no particular graces, indeed you desire not grace at all: We know there is time and use for general Confessions, and Requests; But still as implying particulars as having gone before, or following, or at least it must be supposed, that the people understand the particulars included, and have inward confessions and desires of them: Which cannot here be supposed, when they are not all mentioned, nor can the people generally be supposed to have such quick and comprehensive

hensive minds, nor is there leisure to exercise such particular repentance or desire, while a general is named: And we beseech you let Scripture be Judge, whether the Confessions and Prayers of the Servants of God have not been particular; As to your objection or reason, we answer; 1. There are general Prayers with the particular, or without them. 2. There are particular Confessions and Prayers proper to some few Christians, and there are others common to all; It is these that we expect, and not the former. 3. The Churches Prayers must be suited to the body of the Assembly, though perhaps some one, or few may be in a state not fit for such expressions: What a lamentable Liturgy will you have, if you have nothing in it, but what every one in the Congregation may say as true of and suitable to themselves? Then you must leave out all Thanksgiving for our Justification and forgiveness of sin, and adoption, and title to glory, &c. because many in the Assembly are Hypocrites, and have no such mercies, and many more that are sincere, are mistaken in their own condition, and know not that they have the mercies which they have, and therefore dare not give thanks for them, lest they speak untruth: Then the Liturgy that now speaks as in the persons of the Sanctified must be changed that the two fore-mentioned sorts, (or the latter at least) may consent; and when you have done, it will be unsuitable to those that are in a better state, and have the knowledge of their Justification. This is the Argument which the Sectaries used against singing of Davids Psalms in the Congregations, because there is much in them, that many cannot truly say of themselves. But the Church must not go out of that way of worship prescribed by God, and suited to the state of the ordinary sort of the spiritual Worshipers, because of the distempers, or the super-eminent excellencies of some few: It were easy to go over Davids Psalms, and your own Liturgy, and shew you very much that by this Argument must be cast out: He that finds any passage unsuitable to himself, is not to speak it of himself.

§. 3.

[As for original sin, though we think it an evil custom springing from false Doctrine, to use any such expressions as may lead people to think that to the persons baptized (in whose persons only our Prayers are offered up,) original sin is not forgiven in their holy Baptism;

tis ne ; Yet for that there remains in the Regenerate some reliques of that which are to be bewailed, the Church in her Confession acknowledgeth such desires of our own hearts as render us miserable by following them ; That there is no health in us, That without Gods help our frailty cannot but fall. That our mortal nature can do no good thing without him ; which is a clear acknowledgment of Original sin.]

Repl. 1. He that hath his Original sin forgiven him, may well confesse that he was born in iniquity and conceived in sin, and was by nature a Child of wrath, and that by one man sin entered into the world, and that Judgment came on all men to Condemnation, &c. The pardoned may confesse what once they were, and from what Rock they were hewn: even actual sins must be confessed, after they are forgiven, (unless the Antimonians hold the truth against us in such points. 2. All is not false Doctrine that crosseth mens private Opinions, which you seem here to obtrude upon us. We know that the Papists (and perhaps some others) hold that all the baptised are delivered from the Guilt of Original sin ; But (as they are in the dark, and disagreed in the Explication of it) so we have more reason to incline to either of the ordinary Opinions of the Protestants, than to this of theirs. 3. Some learned Protestants hold that visibly all the baptised are Church members ; pardoned and justified, which is but that they are probably justified indeed, and are to be used by the Church (upon a Judgment of Charity) as those that are really justified. But that we have indeed no certainty that they are so ; God keeping this as a secret to himself concerning Individuals, till by actual Faith and Repentance, it be manifest to themselves. Another Opinion of many Protestants is, that all persons that are Children of the Promise, or that have the conditions of pardon and Justification in the Covenant mentioned, are to receive that pardon by Baptisme : and all such are pardoned, and certainly in a state of Justification and Salvation thereupon : and that the promise of pardon is made to the Faithful and to their seed : and therefore that all the Faithful and their seed in Infancy have this pardon given them by the promise ; and solemnly delivered them, and sealed to them by Baptisme, which investeth them in the benefits of the Covenant.

But withall that first, the professed Infidel and his seed as such, are not the Children of the promise, and therefore if the Parnet ludicrously or forcedly, or the Child by errour be baptised, they have not thereby the pardon of their sin before God. 2. That the Hypocrite that is not a true believer at the heart, though he professes it, hath no pardon by Baptisme before God, as being not an heir of the promise, nor yet any Infant of his as such. But though such are not pardoned, the Church that judgeth by profession, taking Professors for Believers, must accordingly use them & their seed, 3. But though the Church judge thus charitably of each Professor in particular, till his hypocrisy be detected, yet doth it understand that hypocrites there are, & still will be in the Church, though we know them not by name. And that therefore there are many externally baptised, and in Communion, that never had the pardon of sin, indeed before God, as not having the Condition of the promise of pardon: Such as *Simon Magus* was. We have less reason to take this Doctrine for false, then that which pronounceth certain pardon, and salvation to all baptised Infants whatsoever: And were we of their judgment, we should think it the most charitable Act in the world to take the Infants of Heathens, and baptise them; And if any should then dispatch them all to prevent their lapses, they were all certainly saved. We hope by (some reliques) you mean that which is truly and properly sin. For our parts we believe according to the ninth Article, that Originall sin standeth in the Corruption of the nature of every man, whereby man is far gone from Original righteousness, and inclined to evil; And that this infection of nature doth remain in the Regenerate; And though there is no Condemnation for them that believe and are baptised, yet Concupiscence and Lust, hath of it self the nature of sin.) you say, (The Church acknowledgeth such desires, &c.) Devices, and Desires are Actual sins and not Original, which consisteth in privation, and corrupt inclination: The next words (*There is no health in us*) it seems the Translators that put it into the Liturgy mis-understood; But however you seem here plainly by your mis-interpretation to mis-understand it. *Nulla salus in nobis*, is spoken actively and not possessively or passively, the plain sense is, that there is no help deliverance and salvation in our selves: we cannot help our selves out of this misery, but must have

have a better Saviour ; as Christ is oft called our salvation , so we are denied to be our own : so that yet here is no confession at all of Original sin, but of the effects ; The two next sentences confesse a debility, and privation, but not that it was *ab origine*, but may for any thing that's there said be taken to be since contracted ; Nor are the words in this Confession, but in some other Collects else where, which proves not that this Confession saith any thing of Original sin.

[*We know not what publick prayers are wanting , nor do they tell us, the usual Complaint hath been, that there were too many : Neither do we conceive any want of publick Thanksgivings there being in the Liturgy, Te Deum, Benedictus, Magnificat, Benedicite, Glory be to God on high, Therefore with Angels and Arch-Angels, The Doxology, Glory be to the Father, &c. All peculiar as they require, to Gospel worship, and fit to expresse our thanks and honour to God upon every particular occasion, and occasional Thanksgivings after the Letany, of the frequency whereof themselves elsewhere complain, who here complain of defect; If there be any forms wanting the Church will provide.*] S. 4.
Exc. 3.

Repl. We have shewed you in the forms which we offered you, what we judge wanting, the Right Reverend Bishop of Exeter hath taken notice of the same want, and proposed a supply, those you name are either but general sentences, or extend but to some few particulars, as being suited to the persons and particular occasions of them, and none save the (*Te Deum*) designed to be the distinct praise of the Church for the benefits of Redemption, as the suitable and sufficient performance of this great part of the Liturgy ; However it will do you no harm that your Brethren be gratified, with fuller expressions and variety. They that have complained of too many (because you shired your Petitions into almost as many Prayers, and so the Thanksgivings into such briefs) yet complained not of too much ; But that too many (by the multitudes of Prefaces, and Epilogues) was the the cause of too little.

[*They complain that the Liturgy contains too many Generalls, without mention of the particulars, and the Instances are such Petitions*] S. 5.
Exc. 4.

sious as those, That we may do Gods will ; To be kept from all evil, almost the very Terms of the Petitions of the Lords Prayer : so that they must reform that, before they can pretend to mend our Liturgy in these Petitions.]

Repl. We complain not that there are Generalls, but that there is nothing but Generalls in so great a part of your Prayers and therefore they are very defective : And if really these Generalls suffice you, a few lines may serve instead of your whole Book, Instead of all your Confessions, it may serve to say (*That we have greatly sinned*) and no more : Instead of all your Letany or Deprecations it is enough to say (*Deliver us from all evil*) Instead of all your Petitions for Grace, Peace, Rain, Fair-weather, Health, &c. it is enough to say (*Give us the Good we want*) Indeed the Lords Prayer hath general Requests, because it is the design of it, to be Rule of Prayer, and so contain but the Heads to which all Prayers are to be reduced ; But if therefore you will have no more particulars, why do you use any prayer but the Lords Prayer ; We hope you do not think to supply any defects pretended to be found in its Generalls, nor to correct the order of it ; If it be but because you would not on every particular occasion be so long, as to say the whole, you may take that Head, which suiteth that occasion : And so (*Give us this day our daily bread*) may serve instead of all the Collects for temporal supplies ; And all your Offices may be blotted out, and one of the petitions of the Lords prayer placed in the stead of each of them.

S. 6. [*We have deferred this to the proper place as you might have done.*]
Ex. 5.

Repl. It was the proper place under the head of defectiveness, to instance in this as well as other defects.

N. 18. [*We are now come to the main and principal demand as is pretended, viz. The abolishing the Laws which impose any Ceremonies, especially three, the Surplice, the Sign of the Crosse, and Kneeling ; These are the Yoke which if removed, there might be peace. It is to be suspected, and there is reason for it, from their own words that somewhat else pinches, and that if these Ceremonies were laid aside, and these or any other Prayers strictly enjoined without them, it would be deemed a burden insufferable, it seems so by N. 7. where they*]
S. 1.

they desire that when the Liturgy is altered, according to the rest of their proposals, the Minister may have liberty to adde, and leave out what he pleases; Yet because the imposition of these Ceremonies is pretended to be the insupportable Grievance, we must of necessity either yield that demand, or shew reason why we do not; and that we may proceed the better in this undertaking, we shall reduce the sum of their complaints, to these several heads, as we find them in their Papers; The Law for imposing these Ceremonies, they would have abrogated for these reasons. }

Repl. To what you object, to intimate your suspicion of us) from N. 7. we have before answered: We must confesse the abatement of Ceremonies, with the exclusion of all Prayers, and exhortations, besides what's read will not satisfie us. The liberty which we desired in all the parts of Worship (not to adde to the Liturgy, nor take from it) but to interpose upon just occasion, such words of Prayer, or exhortation, as are requisite, and not to be tyed at any time to read the whole, we are assured will do much to preserve the Liturgy, and bring it into more profitable use. and take off much of mens offence. And pardon us while we tell you this certain truth, that if once it be known that you have a design to work out all Prayers (even those of the Pulpit) except such as you prescribe, it will make many thousand people, fearing God, to be averse to that which else they would have submitted to; and to distaste both your endeavours and ours, as if we were about drawing them into so great a snare. And as the Proverb is; You may as well think to make a Coat for the Moon, as to make a Liturgy that shall be sufficiently suited to the variety of places, times, subjects, accidents, without the Liberty of intermixing such Prayers or exhortations, as alterations and diversities require.

¶ 1. It is doubtful whether God hath given power to men to impose such signified signs, which though they call them significant, yet have in them no real goodness, in the judgement of the Imposers themselves, being called by them things indifferent, and therefore fall not under St. Pauls rule of Omnia Decenter, nor are suitable to the simplicity of the Gospel Worship. §. 2.

2. Because it is a violation of the Royalty of Christ, and an impeachment

2.

See Hooker, li. 3. *penchment of his Laws as insufficient, and so those that are under the Law of Deut. 12. Whatsoever I command you, observe to do, Sect. 4. you shall take nothing from it, nor adde any thing to it; You do not observe these.*

3. 3. *Because sundry learned pious, and Orthodox men, have ever since the Reformation judged them unwarrantable, and we ought to be as our Lord was, tender of weak Brethren, not to offend his little ones, nor to lay a stumbling-block before a weak Brother.*

4. 4. *Because these Ceremonies have been the fountain of many evils in this Church and Nation, occasioning sad divisions betwixt Minister, and Minister; betwixt Minister and People, exposing many Orthodox Preachers to the displeasure of Rulers; And no other fruits than these can be looked for from the retaining these Ceremonies.*

See Hooker, li. 4. Sect. 1.

Repl. We had rather you had taken our reasons as we laid them down, than to have so altered them; ergo, having told you that some hold them unlawful, and others inconvenient, &c. and desired that they may not be imposed on such, who judge such impositions a violation of the Royalty of Christ, &c. You seem to take this as our own sense, and that of all the Ceremonies, of which we there made no mention; You referre us to Hooker, since whose writings, Ames in his fresh suit, and Bradshaw, and Parker, and many others have written that against the Ceremonies, that never was answered, that we know of, but deserve your consideration.

S. 3.
R. 1.

[Before we give particular Answer to these several reasons, it will be not unnecessary to lay down some certain general premises, or rules, which will be useful in our whole discourse. 1. That God hath not given a power only, but a command also of imposing whatsoever should be truly decent, and becoming his publick Service, 1 Cor. 14. After St. Paul had ordered some particular Rules for Praying, Praising, Prophesying, &c. he concludes with this general Canon, Let all things be done, εὐκρίτως in a fit Scheme, Habit, or fashion, decently, and that there may be uniformity in those decent performances, let there be a τοῦτο Rule or Canon for that purpose.]

Repl. As to your first rule we answer. 1. It is one thing to impose in general, that all be done decently and in order. This God

himself

himself hath imposed by his Apostle : And its another thing to impose in particular, that this or that be used, as decent and orderly. Concerning this we adde, it is in the Text said, Let it be done, but not let it be imposed, yet from other Scriptures we doubt not but Circumstances of meer decency & order, as determined time, place, utensils, &c. which are common to things civil, and sacred, though not the Symbolical Ceremonies, which afterwards we confute) may be imposed with the necessary cautions and limitations afterward laid down. But 1. that if any Usurpers will pretend a power from Christ, to impose such things on the Church, though the things be lawful, we must take heed how we acknowledge an usurped power by formal obedience. 2. A just power may impose them but to just ends, as the preservation and successe of the modified Worship, or Ordinances. And if they really conduce not to those ends, they sin in imposing them. 3. Yet the Subjects are bound to obey a true Authority in such impositions, where the matter belongs to the Cognizance, and Office of the Ruler, and where the mistake is not so great, as to bring greater mischiefs to the Church, than the suspending of our active obedience would do. 4. But if these things be determined under pretence of order and decency, to the plain destruction of the ordinances modified, and of the intended end, they cease to be means, and we must not use them. 5. Or if under the names of things decent, and of order, men will meddle with things that belong not to their Office, as to institute a new Worship for God, new Sacraments, or any thing forbidden in the general Prohibition of adding or diminishing, this is a Usurpation, and not an act of Authority, and we are bound in obedience to God to disobey them. 6. Where Governours may command at set times, and by proportionable penalties enforce, if they command when it will destroy the end, or enforce by such penalties, as destroy or crosse it, they greatly sin, by such commands. Thus we have more distinctly given you our sense, about the matter of your first rule.

[Not Inferiours but Superiours must judge what is convenient and decent ; They who must order that all be done decently, must of necessity, first judge what is convenient, and decent to be ordered.]

S. 4.
Rul. 2.

Repl. Your second Rule also is too crudely delivered, and
I therefore

therefore we must adde : 1. A Judgement is a Sentence, in order to some Execution, and Judgements are specified from the ends, to which they are such means ; When the question is either, what Law shall be made, or what penalty shall be exercised ? the Magistrate is the only Judge, and not the Bishop or other Subject, In the first he exercises his *judicium discretionis* in order to a publick Act. In the second he exerciseth a publick judgement : When the question is, what order *pro tempore* is fittest in Circumstantials for this present Congregation ; the proper Presbyters or Pastors of that Congregation, are the directive Judges, by Gods appointment. 3. The Magistrate is Ruler of these Pastors, as he is of Physicians, Philosophers, and other Subjects. He may make them such general Rules, especially for restraint, to go by, as may not destroy the exercise of their own Pastoral power : As he may forbid a Physician to use some dangerous Medicine on his Subjects, and may punish him when he wilfully killeth any of them : But may not on that pretence appoint him what, and how, and when, and to whom he shall administer, and so become Physician himself alone. 4. When the question is, who shall be excluded from the Communion of a particular Church ? The Pastors of the Church (or Congregation) are the first proper Judges. 5. When the question is, who shall be excluded from, (or received into) the Communion of all the associated Churches, of which we are naturally capable of Communion ? The associated Pastors or Bishops of these Churches in Synods are Judges ; Beyond this there are no Judges. 6. When the question is, whether the Laws of Magistrates, or Canons of Bishops, are agreeable or not to the Word of God, and so the obedience is lawful, or unlawful, the Conscience of each individual Subject is the Judge, *per judicium discretionis*, as to his own practise ; And if men had not this judgement of discerning, but must act upon absolute implicate obedience, then first man were ruled as unreasonable ; Secondly, the magistrate were made a God, or such a Leviathan as Hobbs describeth him ; Thirdly, And then all sin might lawfully be committed, if commanded : But we are assured none of this your sense.

[These Rules and Canons for decency made and urged by Superiours, are to be obeyed by Inferiours, till it be made as clear that
 S. 5. now they are not bound to obey, as it is evident in general, that they
 1. 3. ought

ought to obey Superiours; for if the exemption from obedience be not as evident as the Command to obey, it must needs be sin not to obey.]

Heb. 13.

17.

Rom. 13.

Repl. To your third Rule we adde; It is first considerable what the thing is, and then how it is apprehended; if it be really lawful, and well commanded, and to be obeyed, it is no ignorance, doubt or error, of the Subject that can exempt him from the duty of obeying; But it may ensnare him in a certainty of sinning, whether he obey, or disobey: For as God commandeth him to obey, and also not to do that which man commandeth, when God forbiddeth it; So he obligeth the erroneous, first to lay down his errors, and so to obey. But if a thing be forbidden of God, and commanded of man, and one man erroneously thinks it lawful, and that he should obey, and another is in doubt between both, it is neither a duty, nor lawful for either of them here to obey. For mans error changeth not Gods Laws, nor disobligeth himself from obedience; But this mans duty is both to lay by that error, and to refuse obedience; but if the question be only of the order of such a persons duty, we answer; If the thing be really lawful, and obedience a duty, then he that doubteth or erreth, should if possible suddenly lay by his errors or doubt, and so obey: But if that cannot be, he should first go about the fittest means, for his better information till he be resolved, and so obey. And so on the contrary, if really the thing commanded be unlawful, if he be sure of it, he must resolve against it; if he hesitate he is not therefore allowed to do a thing forbidden, because he is ignorant: For his ignorance is supposed culpable in itself, but he is first to consult and use the best means, for his Instruction, till he know the truth, and in the mean time to suspend his Act. But yet because of humane frailty, between several faults, we must consider when we cannot avoid all as we would, in what order most safely to watch, and to avoid them. And so when I have done my best, and cannot discern whether a Command be just, and the thing lawful or not; If it have the face of Idolatry, Blasphemy, or some hainous Sin; that is commanded, and our dis-obedience have the appearance, but of an effect of involuntary ignorance, it is more excusable in us to fear the greater Sin, and so to suspend till we are better satisfied, than to do that which we suspect, to be so hainous a Sin; though indeed it prove no sin; So on the contrary, if our disobedience be like to bring Infamy, or Calamity on the

Church, and our Obedience appear to be but about a very small fir, if we doubt of it, it is more excusable to obey, than to disobey, though both be faulty, supposing the thing to be indeed unlawful, and we discern it not. So that your Rule of obeying, where you are not as sure, &c, Is an unsure Rule, unless as we have fullyer cautioned it.

§. 6.
Rul. 4.

[Pretence of Conscience is no exemption from obedience, for the Law as long as it is a Law, certainly binds to obedience; Rom. 13. Ye must needs be subject, and this pretence of a tender gainsaying Conscience cannot abrogate the Law, since it can neither take away the Authority of the Law-maker, nor make the matter of the Law in it self unlawful; Besides if pretence of Conscience did exempt from obedience, Laws were uselesse, whosoever had not list to obey might pretend tenderness of Conscience, and be thereby set at liberty, which if once granted, Anarchy and Confusion, must needs follow.]

Repl Neither pretence of Conscience, nor real Errour of Conscience exempteth from the Obligation, to obey: though sometime it may so ensnare, as that obeying shall become of the two the greater sin; so also real Errours, or pretence of Conscience will justifie no man for obeying when it is by God forbidden.

§ 7.
Rul. 5.

[Though Char'ty will move to pity, and relieve those that are truly perplexed or Scrupulous: yet we must not break Gods Command, in Char'ity to them, and therefore we must not perform publick Services undecently or disorderly for the ease of tender Consciences.]

Repl. O that you would but do all that God alloweth you, yea that he hath commanded you, for these ends! how happy would you make your selves, and these poor afflicted Churches. But as to the instance of your Rule we answer. 1. When the indcency and disorder is so small as that it will not crosse, the ends, so much as our disobedience would, we are here so far more comfortable, and peaceable than you, as that we would even in Gods worship, do some things indecent, and disorderly, rather than disobey; And so should you do rather than destroy your Brethren, or hinder that peace and healing of the Church. For Order is for the thing ordered, and not contrarily. For example, there is much disorder lies in the Common-Prayer-Book,

Book, yet we would obey in it, as far as the ends of our calling do require. It would be undecent to come without a Band, or bey if that were commanded us, rather than not would we o-
 other hand some raiment into the Assembly: yet worship God at all; We are as confident that Surplices, and Copes are undecent, and kneeling at the Lords Table is disorderly, as you are of the contrary: And yet if the Magistrate would be advised by us (supposing himself addicted against you) we would advise him to be more charitable to you, than you here advise him to be to us: We would have him, if your Conscience require it, to forbear you in this undecent and disorderly way; But to speak more distinctly. 1. There are some things decent and orderly, when the opposite species is not undecent or disorderly. 2. There are some things undecent, and disorderly, in a small and tolerable degree: And some things in a degree intolerable. 1. When things decent are commanded, whose opposites would not be at all undecent, there Charity and Peace, and Edification, may command a Relaxation; or rather should at first restrain from too severe Impositions: As it is decent to wear either a Cloak or a Gown, a Cassock buttoned, or unbutton'd, with a Girdle or without, to sit, stand, or kneel in singing of a Psalm, to sit or stand in hearing the word read, or preached &c. 2. When a Circumstance is undecent or disorderly, but in a tollerable degree, to an Inconvenience, Obedience, or Charity, or Edification, may command us to do it, and make it not only lawful, but a duty *pro hic, & nunc*, while the preponderating Accident prevaleth. Christs instances go at least as far as this, as bone the Priests in the Temple breaking the Sabbath blamelessly and Davids eating the Shew bread, which was lawful for none to eat ordinarily, but the Priests: And the Disciples rubbing the ears of Corn: (*I will have mercy & not sacrifice*) is a Lesson that he sets us to learn: when two duties come together, to prefer the greater, if we would escape sin; And sure to keep an able Preacher in the Church, or a private Christian in Communion, is a greater duty *ceteris paribus* than to use a Ceremony, which we conceive to be decent; It is more orderly to use the better translation of the Scripture, than the worse as the Common Prayer-book doth; and yet we would have no man cast out, for using the worse: It is more orderly decent, and edifying, for the Minister to read all the Psalms, than for the people to read each second verie; And yet we would not cast out men from the Church or Ministry merely for that disorder, It is more order-

ly, and decent to be uncovered in divine worship, than covered: And yet rather than a man should take cold, we could allow him to hear a Chapter or Sermon covered: why (not much more) rather than he should be cast out. But let us come to the Application. *It is no undecent disorderly worshiping of God, to worship him without our Crosse, Surplice, and kneeling in the reception of the Sacrament.* 1. If it were, then Christ, and his Apostles had worship undecently and disorderly; And the Primitive Church that used not the Surplice, nor the transient Image of the Crosse in Baptisme (but in an unguent) yea the Church for many hundred years that received the Sacrament without kneeling. 2. Then if the King, Parliament, and Convocation should change these Ceremonies, it seems you would take your selves bound to retain them; For you say you must not worship God undecently: But that they may be changed by Authority our Articles determine, and therefore Charity may well require the Magistrate to change them without any wrong to the worship of God. 3. We appeal to the common judgment of the Impartial, whether in the nature of the thing, there be any thing that tells them, that it is undecent, to pray without a Surplice in the reading place, and not undecent to pray without in the Pulpit; And that it is undecent to baptise without crolling, and not to receive the Lords Supper without: And that it is undecent for the Receiver to take the Lords Supper without kneeling, and not for the Minister to give it him standing that prayeth in the delivery.

S. 8. [These premised we Answer to your first Reason, that those things which we call Indifferent, because neither expressly commanded nor forbidden by God, have in them a real goodnesse, a fitnessse, and decency, and for that cause, are imposed, and may be so by the Rule of St. Paul, by which Rule and many others, in Scripture a power is given, to men to impose Signs, which are never the worse surely, because they signifie something that is decent and comely, and so it is not doubtful whether such power be given; It would rather be doubtful, whether the Church could impose such idle Signs, if any such there be, as signifie nothing.]

An. iv. 1.

1 Cor. 14.

Repl. To your first Answer we reply. 1. We suppose you speake of a moral goodnesse; And if they are such indeed, as are within their power, and really good, that is of their own nature, better then their opposites, they may be imposed by just authority

ry by equal means, though not by Usurpers; nor by penalties that will do more harm than the things will do good. 2. Signs that signifie nothing, we understand not: It is one thing to be decent, and another (to signifie something that is decent: what you mean by that we know not. The Crosse signifieth our not being ashamed to profess the faith of Christ crucified, &c. do you call that (*something that is decent*) It is something necessary to salvation. 3. Signs are exceeding various: At present we use but two distinctions. 1. Some are signs, *Ex primaria intentione instituentis*, purposed, and primarily instituted to signify: (as an *Escutcheon*, or a signat an *Inne door* in common matters: and as the Sacrament, and Crosse in sacred matters) and some are signs but consequently secondarily, and not essentially as intended by the Institutor (so hills and trees may shew us what a clock it is: And so every creature signifyeth some good of mercy or duty, and may be an object of holy meditation: so the colour and shape of our clothes, may mind us of some good, which yet is none of the primary, or proper end of the maker or wearer,) 2. Signs are either arbitrary expressions, of a mans own mind in a matter, where he is let free, or they are covenanting signs between us and God in the Covenant of Grace, to work Grace on us as moral causes, and to engage us Sacramentally to him; such we conceive the Crosse in Baptisme to be. The Preface to the Common Prayer-book saith (*They are apt to teach and excite, &c.* Which is a moral operation of Grace: And the Canon saith (*It is an honourable badge, whereby the Infant is dedicated to him that died on the Crosse*) We are signed with it (in token that hereafter we shall not be ashamed to confess the faith of Christ crucified, and manfully to fight, &c.) now if a thing may be commanded meerly as a decent circumstance of worship, yet it is unproved that a thing, that in its nature as instituted, and in the primary intention is thus sacramentally to dedicate and engage us in Covenant to God, by signifying the grace and duty of the Covenant be lawfully commanded by man. 1. Decent Circumstances are necessary *in genere*; There must be some fit Time, Place, Gesture, Vesture, (as such) Utensils, &c. But that there be some such dedicating engaging signs, in our covenanting with God, signifying the Grace of the Covenant, and our state and duty as Soldiers under Christ (besides Gods Sacraments) his is not necessary *in genere*; and therefore it is not left to men to determine *de specie*. 2. If there be any reason for this use of the Crosse, it must be such as was in the Apostles days, and concerneth the universal Church, in all ages and places; and then the

the Apostles would have taken care of it; Thus much her
brief of signs, and more anon when you again call us to it.

S. 9.
A. 2.

[To the second) that it is not a violation of Christs Royalty to make
such Laws for decency, but an exercise of his power and authority, which
he hath given to the Church: And the disobedience to such commands
of Superiours is plainly a violation of his Royalty. As it is no violati-
on of the Kings Authority, when his Magistrates command things ac-
cording to his Laws; But disobedience to the command of those Injun-
ctions of his Deputies, is violation of his Authority; Again it can be
no impeachment of Christs Laws as insufficient, to make such Laws for
decency, since our Saviour as is evident by the Precepts themselves,
did not intend by them to determine, every minute and circumstance of
time, place, manner of performance, and the like, but only to command
in general the substance of those duties, and the right ends that should
be aimed at in the performance, and then left every man in particular
(whom for that purpose he made reasonable) to guide himself by rules
of reason, for private Services; And appointed Governours of the
Church, to determine such particularities for the publick: Thus our
Lord commanded Prayers, Fasting, &c. for the times and places of per-
formance; he did not determine every of them, but left them to be gui-
ded as we have said; So that it is no impeachment of his Laws as in-
sufficient, to make Laws for determining those particulars of decency,
which himself did not, as is plain by his Precepts, intend to determine,
but left us Governours for that purpose, to whom he said, As my Father
teach me, even so send I you, and let all things be done decently,
and in order; of whom he hath said to us, Obey those that have the
oversight over you, and told us that if we will not hear his Church
we must not be accounted as Christians, but Heathens and Publicans;
And yet nevertheless they will not hear it, and obey it in so small a mat-
ter, as a circumstance of time, place, habit, or the like, which she thinks
decent and fit, and yet will be accounted for the best Christians, and
tell us that it is the very awe of Gods Law, Deut. 12. 32. that keeps
them from obedience to the Church in these commands, not well consider-
ing that it cannot be any adding to the Word of God, to command things
for order and decency which the Word of God commands to be done so
as they be not commanded as Gods immediate Word but as the Laws of
men, but that it is undeniably adding to the Word of God, to say that
Superiours may not command such things, which God hath nowhere
forbidden, and taking from the Word of God, to deny that power to
men, which Gods Word hath forgiven them.]

Repl. To make Laws [to determine of undetermined circumstances necessary *in genere*, to be some way determined and left to Magistrates, or Ministers *de specie*, and to doe this according to the general rules of Scripture, and in order to the main end, and not against it, and is not against the Royalty or will of Christ; But to make new dedicating covenanting Symboles, to signify the doctrine of the Covenant of Grace, and solemnly ingage us unto God, and place those in the publick worship, which are not meere Circumstances, but substantial institutions, not necessary *in genere* (that there should be any such at all, besides Gods Sacraments,) we fear this is a violation of the Royalty of Christ, and a reflection on his Laws as insufficient: for first, if it belong to the power proper to Christ, then it is a violation of his Royalty for any man to exercise it; But it belongeth to the power proper to Christ: *ergo*, &c. The minor is proved thus, If it belong to the universal head, or Ruler of the Church as such, then it belongs to the power proper to Christ (for we are ready to prove there is none under him, no universal head or ruler personally, or collectively and civilly one,) But, &c. If in the reason of it, it should be the matter of an universal Law, if of any, then it should be the work of the universal Lawgiver, if any: But, &c. If in the reason of it, it be equally usefull to the Church universal as to any particular Church or age, then it should according to the reason of it, be the matter of an universal Law, if of any: But, &c. it hath the same aptitude, to engage us to a duty of universal necessity, and hath no reason proper to this age or place for it, but common to all. Moreover it is no where committed to the power or care of man, *ergo* it is proper to the care and power of Christ, no Text is shewed that giveth man power in such things: To doe all things decently and orderly, and to edification is no giving of power on that pretence to make new Covenanting dedicating signes, to do Gods work decently, &c. is not to make more such of our own heads: It is but the right modifying of the work already set us. And to do all decently, orderly, and to edification, was a duty in *Moses* time, when yet such things as these in question might not be added by any but God: (when we say by God, we mean by his inspired Instruments; and when we say by Christ, we mean by his inspired Instruments:) If we should make Laws that every one is publicly to tast Vinegar, and Call, as a sign that we are not ashamed of, but resolved through all flesh displeasing difficulties to follow Christ, that died so, and thus to engage, and dedicate our selves to him, this were to do more, then to do [all things decently, and orderly which he appointed: If milk were to be publicly sucked or drunk, by all in profession, that we will feed on the sincere milk of his word, and so dedicate us to him by Covenant, or

if we were to put on an Helmet, and other Armour in token that we will be his Souldiers to the death, and manfully fight under, &c. These engagements by such publick signs, are Sacraments in the sense, as the word was used of old, when it signified a Souldiers solemn lysiting or Covenanting with his Commander. Thus by distinguishing decent and orderly modes, and Circumstances necessary *in genere*, from new ordinances, even solemn dedicating, covenanting or such like mystical signs, we have shewed you what we grant, and where you fail, and what is indeed a wrong to Christ, and an accusation of his Laws and what not: and how unjust your following accusation of us is: (who never yet told you, we would be accounted the best Christians; but to desire to please Christ as near as we can, is not blame worthy.) Abundance of things of lesser moment then these are commanded by God in the Law, to which he added that sanction *Deut. 12. 32. what ever things I command thee, &c.* And we conceive that the words [as my father sent me so, &c.] had some what proper to the extraordinary mission: and if he hear not the Church, &c. is neither spoken of a Church universal, nor of Magistrates making Laws for such Ceremonies, or signes. But if he hear not the Church, with which he was in Communion, and which admonisheth him for his sin, let the Church reject him from their Communion.

SECT. 10. A. 3. *The command for decent Ceremonies may still continue in the Church notwithstanding the 12. of Deut. and so it may too for all the exceptions taken against them, by sundry learned, pious, and Orthodox persons who have judged them, they say, unwarrantable, And if Laws may be abrogated as soone as those that list not to obey will except against them, the world must run into confusion. But those that except are weak brethren, whom by Christs precept and example we must not offend. If by weak we understand ignorant, they would take it ill to be so accounted, and it is their own fault if they be, there having been much written, as may satisfy any that have a mind to be satisfied: And as King James of blessed memory said at Hampton-Court. If after so many years preaching of the Gospel, there be any yet unsatisfied, I doubt it proceeds rather out of stubbornness of opinion, then out of tenderness of conscience. If by tenderness of conscience they mean, a fearfulness to sin, this would make them most easy to be satisfied, because most fearfull to disobey superiours. But suppose there be any so scrupulous, as not satisfied with what hath been written, the Church may still without sin urge her command for these decent Ceremonies, and not be guilty of offending her weak brother, for since the scandal is taken*
by

by him, not given by her, it is he that by vain scrupulosity offends himself, and layes the stumbling block in his own way.

Repl. But the command for mans institution of a new worship of God, or of rites Sacramental, or so like to Sacraments as the Crosse is, or for the unnecessary imposition of unnecessary things, which should be left to every prudent Ministers discretion, and this upon pain of being cast out of the Church or Ministry, and the Law for subscribing that all these are lawful, and for swearing obedience to the Bishops, all these Laws are not to be found in Scripture. If you should but command your servant to do what you bid him decently and orderly, you would think he mistook you, if upon that pretence he would do any other work, which he could but say tended to the decency of yours. And we would gladly hear what you can think your selves is forbidden in *Deut. 12.32.* if not such humane ordinances? and why you forbear giving the truer sense of the Text. It is a sad case with the poore Church, when Gods wisdom, that made a few & necessary things the matter of his Churches concord, is no more valued; But we will be wiser, and when the experience of the Church that hath been torn into pieces 1400. years, by mens inventions, and needless usages, and impositions is yet of no more force with us that come after them, but what ever can be said or done, or seene, we will still make Laws, that all men shall be *tantum non*, unchristened and damned, (that is cast out of the Ministry or Church communion) that will not wear this or that or bow thus or thus, or look this way or that way, or say this word or that word; and when we have laid such a needless snare, we will uncharitably cry out, the world will be brought into confusion, because men that list not to obey, would have the Lavvs abrogated. Where hath Christ set you to make such Lavvs? is it not vwork enough for us and you to obey the Lavvs that he hath made? vvhy made he none for postures, and vestures and vvords, and teaching signes of this nature, if he vvould have had them? If he had not told us that there is one Lavvgiver, one Lord, and that his vvord is able to make us vvise unto Salvation, and that he would lay noe greater burden on us, then necessary things, and would not have us despise or judge each other on such occasions: If he had but told us, that he left any officers after his inspired Apostles, for the making of Ceremonies or new Laws of vvorship, or teaching engaging signes for the Church, vve vvould as gladly understand and obey his vvill in these things as you, vvhat hurt is it to us, to use a Crosse or other Ceremonie, if it vvere not for fear of disobeying God; Enforce Gods Lavvs upon us zealously as you vvill, and see if vve vvill disobey. But that the vvorld shall run into

confusion, rather than we shall have leave to serve God as *Peter*, and *Paul* did, without crossing surplices and kneeling at the Sacrament, and then that we shall be reproached as the cause of all by our disobedience, God hath told the world by his word, and will tell them by his judgments that this is not his way to unity and peace. As to the Argument from your brethrens weakness we say first, it is not your strength to sleight it or them: nor is it their weakness that they are willing to be esteemed weak. The Apostle called those weak that placed a necessity in indifferent things, *Rom. 14.* and not those that understood their indifferency; But the truth is the nature of things indifferent, is not well understood by all on either side, some may think evil of some things that deserve it not, and in this they are weak though in other matters they may be strong. And for the rest we speak according to the worst that you your selves can charitably suppose, you can say no more of them, but that they are weaker, that is, in this know lesse than you, though perhaps we may take them to be stronger, that is, to be more in the right, yet are we not so confident as to censure you or others; but speake of things difficult, and doubtfull as they are. But how prove you it, we would take it ill, to be our selves or have those we speake of accounted ignorant, in such things as these use us no worse then the ignorant should be used: and till you would turne a man out of the Ministry, or Church for being ignorant of the nature of a Ceremony, (which never was in his Creed, the Decalogue or Scripture) deale not so by us, that would be wiser if we knew how. That all our ignorance is our own fault we deny not, but it is an expresse of confidence, and uncharitableness to tell us, that there is so very much written as may satisfie any man that hath a minde to be satisfied, and when we professe in his sight that knoweth the hearts, that we have a mind to be satisfied, and would know the truth at what rate soever if we knew how, what would you have us doe that we doe not, to be satisfied? doe we not read as much for Ceremonies, as the dissenters use to doe against them? Many Books against them are yet unanswered, and we never shunned any publick, or private conference with any of you, and such reasonings as these are not like to convince us. If you will be the judges of your brethrens hearts, and say it is not tendernes of Conscience, but stubbornnesse, we shall refer that to the day when your hearts, and ours, shall all be opened. Must none be tender conscienc't that dare not venture to obey you in such things? when you may with undoubted safety forbear the imposing of your Ceremonies, and so forbear the casting out of your brethren, if you will not, who shews lesse tendernes of Conscience? That the scandall is taken and not given, is still the thing

thing in question, as to many things: and if it were no just occasion of offence, you ought not to lay that which anothers weakness will turn into a stumbling block unnecessarily before them; if the Apostles Argument be good *Rom. 14.* the Church may not urge unlawful things, nor things merely lawful upon such penalties as will exclude things necessary. If an idle word be to be accounted for, an idle Law is not laudable, much lesse when all men must be excluded the Ministry, or communion that scruple it: when yet a man may be a prophane swearer, for 12 pence an oath, and may swear an 100. times before he payes that 12 pence. A Papist shall pay 12. pence for not coming to Church, and a Protestant be thrust out of your Communion, for not kneeling at the Sacrament, and a Minister suspended, imprisoned, undone for not crossing a child or wearing a Surplice, may Magistrates, or the Church thus urge their commands? can any thing be spoken plainer, then the Scripture speaks against this course? and would you make the world believe that the brethren that do not all that you bid them are so unreasonably and obstinately scrupulous, as to have no matter of offence, but what they lay before themselves? when they have the practice of the Apostles, and the custome of the primitive Church, for many hundred years against you, and this called by them an Apostolical tradition, and decreed by the most uncharitable Councils that ever were. If you had but one of these (the decree of a General Council, or practise of all the purest Churches alone) for one of your Ceremonies, you would think him uncharitable, that so reproached you for pretending conscience.

Sect. II. The case of S. Paul not eating of flesh, if it offended his brother, is nothing to the purpose, who there speaks of things not commanded either by God, or by his Church, neither having in them any thing of decency, or significancy to serve in the Church, S. Paul would deny himself his own liberty, rather then offend his brother, but if any man breaks a just Law or custome of the Church he brands her for a lover of Schisme, and Sedition. 1. Cor. 11. 16.

Reply. But because at our last meeting it was said with so much confidence by one, that the case in *Rom. 14 & 15.* was nothing to ours, we shall here say the more to what you say, that *Pauls* not eating flesh is nothing to the purpose: your reasons are, first, because he speaks of nothing commanded by God or his Church. Secondly, nor of any thing of decency or significancy to serve in the Church. To the first, we have oft told you, that which is undeniable; first, that *Paul* was a Governor of that Church himself, that had no superiour to controule him.

If you say that he then wrote not as a Governour, we answer, yes: For he wrote as an Apostle, and wrote the Epistle that was to be a standing Law or Canon to them: If this be not an act of his Office, and authority, there was none such; And then you must say the like of all the rest of the Epistles. 2ly. Moreover as *Paul* the Apostle, excludeth all such impositions, so he wrote to all the resident Pastours that were at *Rome*, for he wrote to the whole Church: and therefore these commands extend to the Governours, that they make not such things the matter of Contempt or Censures, or any uncharitable course, but bear with one another in them, will you call men obstinate self offenders that differ from you, when you have no better answers then these, to the plain decisions of the Holy Ghost? What we speak of *Rom.* 14, 15. we speak also of *1 Cor.* 8. And 3ly. it is to the Rulers of the Church, that we are speaking, and it is they that answer us: and shall the Rulers say [if it were not a thing commanded we might bear with you] when it is themselves that command them ecclesiastically, and we intreat them but to forbear that, and to concur with us in petitioning the King, to forbear commanding them coercively, who no doubt will easily forbear it if they do their part. 4ly. Yea *à fortiori*, it layeth a heavier charge on such Governours, then others: If it be so heynous a sin as *Paul* maketh it, to censure, or despise one another, for meate, and dayes, and such like things, how much more to excommunicate, silence and undo one another, & deprive thousands of souls of the preaching of the Gospel that consented not to their Pastours nonconformity? 5ly. *Paul* letteth you know, that these things are not the Center, or matter of our necessary concord, but of mutual forbearance, & therefore condemneth all that will make them necessary to our united Ministry, or Communion. And the difference is wholly to the advantage of our cause: For those that *Paul* spake to were not come so high as to go about to force others to do as they did: but only to despise them for not doing it. 2. And therefore to your second Reason we answer. 1. If the things had been different, yet so was *Pauls* injunction different from our Request; For *Paul* goeth so high as to command them to deny their own liberty in not eating lawfull meats themselves, least they offend and hurt their brethren, whereas we are now desiring you, that you would not force others to do that which they take to be a sin, and that with penalties that fall heavier on the Church then on them, They had on both sides fairer pretences then you have: The Cases before us to be compared are four; The Case of the Refusers of meats, and observers of dayes then: The Case of the users of those meats and non-observers of those dayes; The Case of our Imposers and the Case of non Conformists; The pretence of their Refusers of meats

meats had in *1 Cor. 8.* was that being offered to Idols, they thought it made them partakers of the Idolatry, & so they sinn'd through weakness in being offended at others, and censuring them that used their liberty: And had they not here a fairer pretence, for their offence and censures, then you for your impositions? you cannot shew half so great an appearance of good in the things commanded, as they could do of evil in the things for which they were offended. And the offended censurer in *Rom. 14.* had this pretence that the thing was forbidden in Gods own Law, even the meats, which he refused, and the dayes commanded which he observed: And he knew not that the Law in these matters of order & Ceremony was abrogated (which *Peter* was ignorant of, when he refused to eat things common and unclean.) But you have no pretence of Gods own command, for the matter of your impositions, as these men had for the matter of their offence and censure, so that here you are in the worser-side. And for the other party that in *1 Cor. 8.* abused their liberty, and *Rom. 14.* despised their brethren, they had a double pretence: one was that it was their liberty, and if every scrupulous party, should drive them from their lawfull meat and drink, they knew not whither they might drive them; another was that the Law was abrogated by Christ; and therefore if they complied in practice with the scrupulous, or did not shew their difference, they might seem to be guilty of the restoring of the Law, and complying with the Jews, and the Hereticks, that both then were enemies to the Church, and agreed in this: had not these men now a far fairer pretence for eating *1 Cor. 8.* and for the dissent shewed *Rom. 14.* then you ever yet produced for forcing others from Ministry and Church into sin and Hell, if they will not obey you against their consciences, and all for that which you never pretended to shew a command of God for, and others shew you as they think, Scripture and Councils, and customes against. To tell us then that *Paul* spake of things [not decent, and significant] is [pardon our plainness] to say much lesse then nothing: For it was not against imposing that *Paul* spake, but using, and not using, censuring, and despising; And their Arguments were (suitable to their cause) of another kind of moment, then decency, or indecency, significancy or insignificancy, even from supposed Idolatry, rejecting Gods Law, and complying with the Jews and Hereticks, in restoring the Law, and casting away the liberties purchased by Christ even in their private eating, & drinking. To be no more tedious now, we humbly offer in any way convenient to try out with that Reverend brother that so confidently asserted the disparity of the Cases, and to prove that these Scriptures, most plainly condemn your impositions now in question, though we should have thought that one impartial reading of them might

end

end the controversy, and save the Church and you from the sad effects. As to that 1 Cor. 11. 16. we answer, first, it is uncertain whether the word *Custom* refer to the matter of *Haire*, or to contention: so many Expositours judge *q. d.* The Churches of God are not contentious] 2d. Here is our institution (much less by fallible men) of new Covenanting, dedicating, or teaching Symbols or Ceremony, nor is here any unnecessary thing enjoyned: but that which nature, and the custome of the countrey, had made so decent as that the opposite would have been abusively indecent: This is not your case. A Crosse or Surplice, is not decent by nature, or common reputation but by institution (that is not all: for if it be not instituted because decent, it will not be decent because instituted) nor are these so decent, as the opposite to be indecent; The Apostles worshipped God as decently without them, as you do with them, the Minister prayeth in the Pulpit as decently without the Surplice, as in the reading place with it. 3d. *Paul* doth but exhort them to this undoubted comlineffe, (as you may vvell do if men vwill do any thing, which nature or common reputation makes to be slovenly, unmannerly or indecent, as being covered in prayer or singing Psalmes, or any such like, about which vve vwill never differ with you,) but even here he talks not of force, or such penalties as tend to the greater hurt of the Church, and the ruine of the person.

Sec^t. 12. A. 4. *That these Ceremonies have occasioned many divisions, is no more fault of theirs, then it was of the Gospel that the preaching of it occasioned strife, betwixt father and son, &c. The true cause of those divisions is the cause of ours, which S. Jam. tells us is lust, and inordinate desires of honours or wealth, or licentiousness, or the like; were these Ceremonies laid aside, there would be the same divisions if some, who thinks Moses and Aaron took too much upon them, may be suffered to deceive the people, and to raise in them vain fears and jealousies of their Governours, but if all men would as they ought study peace & quietness, they would find other and better fruits of these Laws of Rites & Ceremonies; as edification, decency, order & beauty in the service and worship of God,*

Reply. Whether the Ceremonies be as innocent, as to divisions as the Gospel, (a strange assertion) vwill better appear vwhen vwhat vve have said, and vwhat is more fully said by Dr. *Ames*, *Bradshaw* and others is vvell answered. If the true cause of our divisions, be as you say (lust and inordinate desires of honours or vvealth, or licentiousness) then the party that is most lustfull, ambitious covetous and licentious are likeliest to be most the cause. And for lust, and licentiousness, vve should take it for a great attainment of our ends, if you vwill be incited to turn the edge of your severity against the lustfull, and licentious: O that you

you would keep them out of the Pulpits, & out of the Communion of the Church, till they reform! And for our selves we shall take your admonitions, or severities thankfully when ever we are convicted by you of any such sins: we are loath to enter upon such comparison, between the Ministers ejected (for the most part) and those that are in their Roomes, as tends to shew by this rule who are likeliest to be the dividers. And for inordinate desire of honors and wealth, between your Lordships and us, we are contented that this Cause be decided by all *England*, even by our enemies at the first hearing, without any further vindication of our selves; and so let it be judged who are the dividers; only we must say that your intimation of this Charge on us that seek not for Bishopricks, *Daneries*, *Archdeaconries*, or any of your preferments, that desire not, nor could accept pluralities of benefices, with cure of souls, that never sought for more then food & raiment with the Liberty of our Ministry, even one place with a tolerable maintenance, whose provoking cause hath been our constant opposition, to the Honours, Wealth, Lordships, & pluralities of the Clergy; yea who would be glad on the behalf of the poore Congregations, if many of our brethren might have leave to preach to their Flocks for nothing; we say your intimation maketh us lift up our hearts, and hands to heaven, & think, O what is man! what may not by some History be told the world! Oh how desirable is the blessed day of the righteous universal judgment of the Lord! how small a matter till then, should it be to us to be judged of man? we hope upon pretence of not suffering us to deceive the people, you will not deny us liberty to preach the necessary saving truths of the Gospel, considering how terrible a Symptome, and Prognostick this was in the *Jews*, 1 *Thes.* 2, 15, 16. who both killed the Lord Jesus and their own Prophets, and presented the Apostles; and God they pleased not, and were contrary to all men, forbidding to preach to the Gentiles, that they might be saved, to fill up their sins alwayes: for wrath was come upon them to the utmost, we can as easily bear what ever you can inflict upon us, (as the hinderers of the Gospel, and silencers of faithful Ministers, and troublers of the Churches) can bear what God will inflict on them. And so the will of the Lord be done.

Sec. 13. Cer. 3. *There hath been so much said not only of the lawfulness, but also of the conveniencies of those Ceremonies mentioned that nothing can be added: This in brief may here suffice for the Surplice, that reason and experience teaches, that decent ornaments, and habits, preserve reverence and awe, held therefore necessary to the Solemnity of Royal Acts, and Acts of justice, and why not as well to the Solemnity of Religious worship. And in particular*

ticular no habit more suitable then white linnen which resembles purity and beauty, wherein Angels have appeared Rev. 15. fit for those whom the Scripture calls Angels; & this habit was ancient; Chrys: Ho. 60. ad po. Antioch.

Repl. First, if nothing can be added, then we doubt the unanswered writings extant against these impositions, will never be well answered. 2ly. We are desirous, that no indecent Vestures or habits, be used in Gods service. Those that scruple the surplice do it not as it is a habit determined of, as decent, but as they think it is made a holy vestment, and so part of external worship, as Aarons vestments were (as may be seen in the Arguments of Cotton, and Nicholls lately printed together.

Sect. 14. The Crosse was alwayes used in the Church, in immortali lavacro, Tertul. and therefore to testify our Communion with them, as we are taught to do in our Creed, as also in token that we shall not be ashamed of the Crosse of Christ, it is fit to be used still, and we conceive cannot trouble the conscience of any that have a mind to be satisfied.

Repl. That the Crosse was alwayes used in the Church in baptism is an assertion certainly untrue, and such as we never heard or read till now, do you believe it was used in the baptism of the Eunuch, Lydia, the Jaylor, Cornelius, the 300. Acts 3. or in those times? And when it did come up, it was with Chrysm, and not ever any transient Image, and therefore you so far differ from the users. 2ly. The condemnation of genuflection on the Lords dayes in adoration was at least as ancient and universal, and commanded by Councils when the Crosse was not, and yet you can dispence with that, and many such usages. And if you will your selves fall in with custome, yet every ancient common custome, was never intended to be a matter of necessity to union or toleration of our brethren, use no other force about the Crosse, then the Church then did. 3ly. your saying that [you conceive it cannot trouble the conscience of any that have a mind to be satisfied] doth but expresse your uncharitable censoriousness, while your brethren have studied and prayed and conferred for satisfaction, (its like as much as you,) and professe their earnest desire of it, and their readiness to hear or read any thing that you have to say in order to their satisfaction.

Sect. 15. The posture of kneeling best suites at the Communion, as the most convenient, and so most decent for us, when we are to receive, as it were from Gods hand the greatest of Seals of the Kingdome of heaven: he that thinks he may do this sitting, let him remember the Prophet Mal. Offer this to the Prince to receive his Seal, from his own hand sitting, see if he will accept of it. When the Church did stand at her prayers, the manner of receiving

was more Adorantium. S. Aug, Ps. 98. Cyril Catech. Mystag. 5. rather more then at prayers, since standing at prayer hath been generally less, and kneeling used instead of that, (as the Church may vary in such indifferent things) now stand at Communion, when we kneel at prayers were not decent, much lesse to sit, which was never the use of the best times.

Reply. To all this about kneeling we say first, we have considered the Text in Mal. and what you say, and yet first, we find that our betters even Christs Apostles, and the universal Church for many hundred years thought not kneeling more decent, nor did the Church in the first Age think sitting unmeet in that service to the King of the Church, and we hope you reprehend them not, 2ly. You require not the Adult that are baptized, to receive that Seal or Sacrament kneeling, 3ly. When kneeling at prayers was in use, in the Apostles times, yet kneeling in the reception of the Sacrament was not, 4ly. Why can you so lightly put of both the practice, and Cannons of the Church in this more than in other such things? However you cannot here deny *de facto* but that kneeling on the Lords dayes in the receiving of the Sacrament was for many hundred years of the purer times of the Church disused and condemned; And why do you not tell us what other general Council repealed this, that we may see whether it be such as we are any way bound by? When you say [the Church may vary in such indifferent things.] First, If kneeling or standing at prayer be an indifferent thing, then so are they at this Sacrament. 2ly. Then you follow the changes, and we the old pattern. 3ly. Then the Cannons of general Councils, and customes pretended to be, from Apostolical tradition may be changed. 4ly. What is it that you call the Church, that changeth or may change these? A Council or a popular custome? Bring us not under a forraign power. 5ly. The thing then being so indifferent and changeable, you may change it if you please for ends that are not indifferent. 6ly. And if now the Ministers may pray standing, why may not the people receive standing. 7ly. When you say that so sit was never the use of the best times, you deny the Apostles and primitive times to be the best, as to the exten. of the Church they were not the best but as to purity of administrations they were.

Secl. 16. That there were ancient Liturgies in the Church is evident: S. Chrysostom; S. Basil; and others, and the Greeks roll in of S. James, much elder then they; And though we find not in all ages whole Liturgies, yet it is certain that there were such in the oldest times, by those parts which are constant, as Sursum Corda &c. Gloria Patri; &c. Benedicite, Hymnus, Cherubinus &c. vere dignum est iustum &c. Dominus vobiscum. Et

cum spiritu tuo, with divers others. Though those that are extant may be interpolated, yet such things as are found in them all consistent to Catholick and primitive doctrine, may well be presumed to have been from the first, especially since we find no original of these Liturgies from general Councils.

Reply. We know there wanteth not a Lindanus, a Coccius, to tell the world of S. Peters Liturgie, which yet prayeth that by the intercession of S. Peter, and Paul we may be defended &c. and mentioneth Linus, Cletus, Clemens, Cornelius, Cyprian, Lucia, Barbara, and abundance such, shall wee therefore conclude that there were Liturgies from the first? and that what is here consentient to Antiquity was in it? There wants not a Marg. de la Bigne, a Greg. de valent, a Coccio to commend to us the Liturgie of Mark, that prayeth *protege Civitatem istam propter Mariyrem tuam, et Evangelistam Marcum, &c.* And tells us, that the King where the Author lived was an Orthodox Christian, & prayeth for the Pope, Subdeacons, Lelors, Cantors, Monks, &c. Must we therefore believe that all that's Orthodox in it is ancient? So there wants not a Bign. Bellarm. &c. to tell us of S. James his Liturgy, that mentions the Confessours the *Deiparam*, the *Anchorets*, &c. which made Bellarm. himself say [*de Lyurgia Iacobi sic sentio; eam aut non esse ejus, aut multa à posterioribus eidem addita sunt*] And must we prove the Antiquity of Liturgies by this, or try ours by it? There wants not a Saincterus; a Bellarm. a Valentia, a Peresius, to predicate the Lyturgie of S. Basil, as bearing witness to transubstantiation, for the sacrifice of the *Masse*, for praying to Saints, &c. When yet the exceeding disagreement of Copies, the difference of some forms from *Basils* ordinary forms, the prayers for the most pious, and faithful Emperours, shew it unlikely to have been *Basils*, many predicate Chrysostoms *Masse* or *Liturgie*, as making for praying to the dead, and for them, the propitiatory Sacrifice of the *Masse* &c. when in one edition, *Chrysostom* is prayed to in it, saith Cook: in another Nicolaus; and *Alexius* that lived about 1080. is mentioned: in another, doctrines are conteyned (as *de Contaminata Maria*) &c. clean contrary to *Chrysostoms* doctrine, must we now conclude that all is ancient, that is Orthodox, when one Copy is scarce like another? or can we try our *Liturgies* by such as this? The Shreds cited by you prove a *Liturgie* indeed, such as we have used while the Common prayer book was not used, where the Psalms, the words of Baptisme, and the words of Consecration, commemoration and delivery of the Lords Supper, and many other, were used in a constant form, when other parts were used as the Minister found most meet, so *Sursum Corda* was but a warning before, or in the midst of devotion, such as our [Let us pray] and will no more prove that
the

the substance of prayer was not left to the Ministers present or prepared Conceptions, then *Ite missa est*, will prove it. The *Gloria patri* *Boetarm.* himself saith, according to the common opinion was formed in the council of Nice, which was in the 4th Century. And even then such a particular testimony against the *Arrians*, might well stand with a body of unimposed prayers, and rather shews that in other things they were left at liberty; If the *Benedicite*, the *Hymnes* or other passages here mentioned, will prove such a *Liturgy* as pleaseth you, we pray you bear with our way of worship, which hath more of *Hymnes* and other forms, then these come to; That these *Lyturgies* had no original from general Councils add's nothing with us to their Authority, but sheweth that they had an arbitrary original: and all set together shews that then they had many *Lyturgies* in one Princes Dominion, and those alterable, and not forced, and that they took not one *Liturgy* to be any necessary means to the Churches unity or peace, but bore with those that used various at discretion. We well remember that *Tertull.* tells the Heathens that Christians shewed by their conceived Hymnes, that they were sober at their religious feasts, it being their custome [ut quisque de scripturis sanctis, vel de proprio ingenio potest, provocetur in medium Deo canere] *Apol.* cap. 39. Note here 1. that though there be more need of forms for singing, then for praying: yet even in this, the Christians in publick had then a liberty of doing it *de proprio ingenio*, and by their own wit or parts. 2d. That those that did not *de proprio ingenio*, did it *de scripturis sanctis*, and that there is no mention of any other *Liturgy*, from which they fetch so much as their Hymnes. And the same *Tertul.* *Apol.* c. 30. describing the Christians publick prayers [saith *sine monitore, quia de pectore oramus*] [we pray without a Monitor or promptor | because we do it from the heart, or from our own breast,] And before him *Iust. Mar. Ap.* 2. p. 77. saith ὁ καθ' ἑαυτοὺς ὁμιλοῦντες καὶ ἰσχυρίζομεν ὅτι οὐδεὶς ἀνθρώπων ἐστὶν ἡμεῖς ἀλλὰ ἐκ τοῦ ἑαυτοῦ λόγου τοῦ ἁγίου. But if all these words seem not plain enough to some, it is no wonder when they rest not in the greater plains of the holy Scriptures, where prayer is so frequently mentioned, as much of the employment of believers, and so many directions, encouragements and exhortations given about it, and yet no *Lyturgie* or stiorred forms except the Lords prayer is prescribed to them or once made mention of, no man directed here to use such, no man exhorted to get him a prayer book, or to read or learn it, or to beware that he adde or diminish not: whereas the holy Scriptures that were then given to the Church, men are exhorted to read, and study, and meditate in, & discourse of, & make it their continual delight: & it's a wonder that

David

David that mentions it so oft, in the 119 *Ps.* doth never mention the *Liturgy*, or *Common prayer Book*, if they had any: And that *Solomon* when he dedicated the house of prayer without a prayer book, would only beg of God, to hear [what prayers, or what Supplication soever shall be made of any man, or of all the people of Israel, when every one shall know his own fore, and his own grief, and shall spread forth his hands in that house] 2 *Chro.* 6. 29. and that he giveth no hint of any Liturgy or form, so much as in those common Calamities, and talkes of no other book then the knowledge of their own sores, and their own griefs: And in the Case of *Psalmes*, or singing unto God where it is certain, that they had a Liturgy or form, (as we have,) they are carefully collected, preserved, and delivered to us, as a choice part of the holy Scripture. And would it not have been so with the prayers, or would they have been altogether unmentioned, if they also had been there prescribed to, and used by the Church, as the *Psalmes* were? would Christ & his Apostles even where they were purposely giving rules for prayer and correcting its abuse as *Matth.* 6. 1 *Cor.* 14. &c. have never mentioned any forms but the Lords prayer, if they had appointed such, or desired such to be imposed, and observed? These things are incredible to us when we most impartially consider them, for our own parts as we think it uncharitable to forbid the use of Spectacles to them that have weak eyes, or of Crutches to them that have weak Limbs, and as uncharitable to undo all that will not use them, whether they need them or not, so we can think no better of them, that will suffer none to use such forms, that need them, or that will suffer none to pray but in the words of other mens prescribing, though they are at least, as able as the prescribers. And to conclude we humbly crave that ancient customs may not be used against themselves, and us, and that you will not innovate, under the shelter of the name of Antiquity. Let those things be freely used among us that were so used in the purest primitive times. Let unity and peace be laid on nothing, on which they laid them not, let diversity of Liturgy, and Ceremonies be allowed where they allowed it. May we but have love, and peace on the Terms as the ancient Church enjoyed them, we shall then hope we may yet escape the hands of uncharitable destroying zeal: we therefore humbly recommend to your observation the Concurrent testimony of the best Histories of the Church concerning the diversity of Liturgies, Ceremonies, and modal observance in the several Churches under one and the same civil Government: & how they then took it to be their duty to forbear each other in these matters, and how they made them not the

test of their Communion, or Center of their peace concerning the observation of *Easter* it self, when other Holy-days, and Ceremonies were urged, were lesse stood upon, you have the judgment of *Irenaus*, and the *French Bishops* in whose name he wrote in *Enseb. Hist. Eccl. l. 5. c. 23*. Where they reprehend *Victor* for breaking peace with the Churches, that differed about the day, and the antecedent time of fasting, and tell him that the variety began, before their times, when yet they nevertheless retained peace, & yet retain it, and the discord in their fasting declared, or commended the concord of their faith, that no man was rejected from Communion by *Victors*, predecessors on that account, but they gave them the Sacrament, & maintained peace, with them, & particularly *Policarp*, and *Anicetus* held Communion in the *Eucharist*, notwithstanding this difference. *Basil Epist. 63*. Doth plead his cause with the *Presbyters*, and whole Clergy of *Néocesarea*, that were offended at his new *Psalmodie*, and his new order of *Monasticks*, but he only defendeth himself, and urgeth none of them to imitate him, but telleth him also of the novelty of their own Liturgy that it was not known in the time of their own late renowned Bp. *Greg. Thaumaturgus*, telling them that they had kept nothing unchanged to that day of all that he was used to, (so great alterations in 40 years were made in the same Congregation) as he professeth to pardon all such things, so be it the principal things be kept safe. *Socrat. Hist. Eccl. l. 51. c. 21*. about the *Easter* difference faith, that neither the Apostles, nor the Gospels, do impose a yoke of bondage on those that betake themselves to the doctrine of Christ, but left the Feast of *Easter*, & other Festivals, to the observation of the free and equal judgement of them that had received the benefits. And therefore because men use to keep some Festivals, for the relaxing themselves from labours, several persons in several places, do celebrate of custome, the memorial of Christs passion arbitrarily, or at their own choice. For neither our Saviour, nor the Apostles commanded the keeping of them by any Law, nor threaten any mulct, or penalty, &c. It was the purpose of the Apostles not to make Laws for the keeping of Festivals but to be Authours to us of the reason of right living, and of piety. And having shewed that it came up by private custome, and not by Law, and having cited *Irenaus*, as before he addeth, [that those that agree in the same faith, do differ in point of rites, and Ceremonies] and instancing in divers, he concludeth that because no man can shew in the monuments of writings, any Command concerning this, it is plain that the Apostles herein permitted free power to every ones mind and will; that every man might do that.

that which was good without being induced by fear or by necessity.] And having spoken of the diversity of customes, about the Assemblies, marriage, baptisme, &c. He tells us that even among the *Novatians* themselves there is a diversity in their manner of their praying [and that among all the forms of Religions and parties you can no where find two, that consent among themselves in the manner of their praying.] And repeating the decree of the Holy Ghost, *Acts* 15. [To impose no other burden but things necessary] he reprehendeth them that neglecting this, will take fornication as a thing indifferent, but strive about Festivals, as if it were a matter of life, overturning Gods Laws, and making Laws to themselves.] &c. And *Sozomen Hist. Eccles.* l. 7. c. 18. and 19. speaketh to the same purpose and tells us that the *Novatians* themselves determined in a Synod at *Sangar* in *Bythinia*, that the difference about *Easter* being not a sufficient Cause for breach of Communion, all should abide in the same concord, and in the same Assembly, and every one should celebrate this feast as pleased himself: and this Canon they called *ἀδελφότης*, &c. 19. He saith of *Victor*, and *Policarp* that [they deservedly judged it frivolous, or absurd that those should be separated on the account of a custome, that consented in the principal heads of Religion: For you cannot find the same traditions in all things alike, in all Churches though they agree among themselves] and instancing in some Countreys, where there is but one Bishop in many Cities, and in others Bishops are ordained in the villages.] After many other instances, he addes [that they use not the same prayers, singings or readings, nor observe the same time of using them.] And what Liturgy was imposed upon *Constantine* the Emperours, or what Bishops or Synods, were then the makers of Lyturgies, when he himself made publick prayers for himself and auditory, and for his Soldiers; *Enseb. de vit. Constantini*, l. 4. c. 18. 20, &c. But the diversity, liberty and change of Lyturgies in the Churches under the same Prince are things so well known, as that we may suppose any further proof of it to be needles: In the conclusion therefore we humbly beseech you, that as Antiquity and the custome of the Churches in the first ages, is that which is most commonly, and confidently pleaded against us, that your mistake of Antiquity may not be to our Cost, or paid so dear for as the losse of our Freedome, for the serving of God in the work of the Ministry to which we are called, we beseech you let us not be silenced or cast out of the Ministry or Church, for not using the Liturgie, Crosse, Surplice, kneeling at the Sacrament, till you have either shewed the world that the practice or Canons of the Catholick Church have led you the way as doing it, or requiring it to be done. And make not that so necessary as to force men to it on such dreadfull terms, which the ancient Churches

Churches used with diversity, and indifferency of liberty, we beseech you shew the world some proof, that the ancient Churches did ever use to force, or require Ministers to subscribe to their Lyturgies, as having nothing in them contrary to the word of God, or to swear obedience to their Bishops, before you impose such things on us, while yet you pretend to imitate Antiquity. And have but that moderation towards your brethren, as in suffering, or at death, or judgement you would most appear. Remember how displeasing the remembrance of such differences about Ceremonies was to Bp. Ridley, as towards Bp. Hooper when they were in prison: and how the *Arrians* fury made the Orthodox gladly to go to the Churches of the *Novatians*, and meet with them and joyn with them in prayer, and had almost been united with them in the bond of Concord, if the *Novatians* in a stiffe maintayning of their old customes had not utterly refused it; But yet in other matters they embraced each other with so singular a benevolence and love, that they would willingly have dyed for each other] as *Socrat.* tells us *Hist. l. 2. c. 30.* And may we not all here see our duty? When *Atticus* was urged to deny to the *Novatians* the Liberty of their meetings within the City, he refused it, because they had suffered for the faith in the *Arrians* persecution, and changed nothing in the faith, though they separated from the Church, and was so far from violence against dissenters, as that he gave large relief to them, that differed from him in Religion, *Socrates Hist. l. 7. c. 25.* It was the much praised saying of *Theodosius* to him that asked him why he put none to death that wronged him, [I would I could rather make them that are dead alive] *Socrates l. 7. c. 22.* Much more should Christian Bps. be enemies to cruelty, who know that Charity is more essential to Christianity then this, or that form of Liturgy, or Ceremonies. If you think it unsufferable that we should have differences about such things, remember that there will be no perfect unity till there is perfect Charity, and sanctity, and that destroying one another, and consequently destroying Charity is an unhappy way to unity. And that unity is to be held in things necessary, & Liberty in things unnecessary, and Charity in both, remember that it was in a far greater difference, where *Constantine* perswadeth the Christians to mutual forbearance by the example of the Philosophers, that suffered differences in abundance of their opinions, *Euseb. de vita Constant. l. 2. c. 67.* And that *Valens* the *Arrian* was made more moderate, and abated his persecution of the Orthodox by the Oration of *Themistius*, who bid him not wonder at the dissensions of Christians, for they were small if compared with the multitude and croud of opinions that are among the heathen Philosophers, as being more then 300. And that God will by this diversity of opinions manifest his glory and make men the more reverence him, who is so hardly

M

known]

known] *Socrat. l. 4. c. 27.* Those that dissent from you in these tolerable Cases cannot change their own opinions; But you can if you will forbear hurting of your brethren. Do that which you can do; rather then urge them by unfutable means to that which they cannot do. These are not matters sufficient to justify contention, and uncharitable usage of your brethren. When many of the *Macedonian* faction petitioned the good Emperour *Jovianus* to depose those that affirmed the son to be unlike the Father, and to put their party in their places, he gave them no answer but this [I hate contention; and I love and honour them that are addicted to concord] *Socrat. l. 4. c. 21.* [Then saith *Euseb. Hist. l. 8. c. 1.* Did the Lord obscure the daughter of *Sion* and cast down the glory of *Israel*, &c. When those that seemed our Pastors rejecting the rule of godliness, were inflamed among themselves with mutual contentions, and drove on only those contentions, threatnings, emulations, mutual hatred and enmity, and the like, Tyrants prosecuted their Ambitions] we thought it no impertinent digression here to take this occasion again to crave your exercise of the ancient Charity, and our enjoyment of the ancient Liberty, instead of forcing the anciently free Liturgy, and Ceremonies, and that by improporcionable penalties; And if yet we cannot prevaile with you, we shall still beg for peace of the God of peace, where we have better hopes to be heard, and shall hold on in seeking it, how ill soever our endeavours may be interpreted or succeed. And as the good man wept, *Socrat. l. 4. c. 18.* When he saw a woman pompously adorned, because he was not so carefull to please God, as she was to allure men, so we shall confess we ought to weep, that we cannot be more Charitable, & laborious in building up the Church in holiness and peace, then others are by uncharitable courses, to afflict it. And it shall be our hope that whether by their labours, or their sufferings, God will serve and honour himself, by those many faithful servants of his, whom he hath called into his work, and whose cause we plead, and that however they are used they shall not be unuseful to the ends of their vocation. As *Theodoret* observes *Hist. l. 4. c. 30.* that in a Calamitous time, [the Moderatour of the Universe raised up such guides as were sufficient, in so great a fluctuation, and opposed the valour of the Leaders, to the greatness of the enemies incursion, and gave the best remedies in the hardest times of Pestilence,] so that the banished Pastors did from the uttermost parts of the earth corroborate their own, and refute the adversaries by their writings.] And for our selves as we were truly desirous to do our parts to preserve your reputation with the flocks, in order to the success of your Government for their good, and never envied you, even that worldly honour or renew, which yet some have thought unfutable to the simplicity and employment of Christs Ministers: so if you will neither
suffer

suffer us quietly to serve God or conscionably to serve you, we shall be the less sollicitious for that part of our task, from which you have power to discharge us. And as *Basil* said to *Valens* the Emperour that would have him pray for the life of his Son, [if thou wilt receive the true faith, and restore the Churches to concord, thy son shall live, which when he refused, he said [the will of God then be done with thy Son,] so we say to you: if you will put on Charity, and promote your brethrens and the Churches peace, God will honour you, and good men will honour you, and your calling will have advantage by it. But if you will do contrary, the will of the Lord be done with your honours. But know that them that honour him, he will honour, and those that despise him shall be lightly esteemed, and that by the course of uncharitable violence, which we deprecate, you will most deeply wound the Cause of your Preheminence even more than its adversaries could have done. And if it be the will of God, that suffering at home where we have served him, must be our Lot, we doubt not but he will furnish us with strength, and patience, and we shall remember such ensamples as *Ruffin* recordeth, *Hist. l. 2. c. 3.* when a military Bishop sent his Souldiers, to assault 300 scattered Christians, there appeared a strange kind of warfar, when the assaulted offered their necks, saying onely, *Amice, ad quid venisti*, friend why camest thou hither? or if we must be removed from the land of our Nativity, as *Maris* told *Julian* he thank't God that had deprived him of his sight, that he might not see the face of such a man, *Socrat. Hist. l. 3. c. 10.* so we shall take it as a little abatement of our affliction, that we see not the sins, and Calamities of the people, whose peace and welfare we so much desire. Having taken this opportunity here to conclude this part with these Requests and Warnings; we now proceed to the second part, contayning the particulars of our Exceptions and your answers.

Concerning Morning, and Evening Prayer.

Sect. 1. [Rub. 1. *We think it fit that the Rubrick stand as it is, and all to be left to the discretion of the Ordinary*]

Reply: We thought the end and use more considerable then custome, and that the Ordinary himself should be under the rule of doing to edification.

Sect. 2. Rub. 2. [For the reasons given in our answer to the 18th General, whither you refer us, we think it fit that the Rubrick continue as it is.]

Reply. We have given you reason enough against the imposition of the usual Ceremonies, and would you draw forth those absolute ones to increase the burden?

Seet. 3. *Lords Pr.* [Deliver us from evill, *These words*, For thine is the Kingdome &c. are not in S. Luke, nor in the antient Copies of St. Matth. never mentioned in the antient Comments, nor used in the Latin Church, and therefore questioned whether they be part of the Gospel, there is no reason that they should be alwayes used.]

Reply. We shall not be so over credulous as to believe you, that these words are not in the antient Copies: It is enough that we believe that some few antient Copies have them not, but that the most (even the generality except those few) have them. The judgement of our english translatours, & almost all other translatours of *Matth.* and of the reverend B. of *Chesster*, among your selves, putting the Copy that hath it in his Bible, (as that which is most receiv'd, and approved by the Church) do shew on which side is the chief authority, if the few Copies that want it had been thought more authentick and credible, the Church of *England* and most other Churches would not have preferred the Copies that have this doxologie. And why will you in this contradict the later judgement of the Church, expressed in the translation allowed and imposed? The *Syriack*, *Ethiopick*, and *Persian* translations also have it, and if the *Syriack* be as antient as you your selves even now asserted, then the antiquity of doxologie is there evident: and it is not altogether to be neglected, which by *Chemitius* and others is conjectured, that *Pauls* words in *Tim.* 4. 18. were spoken as in reference to this Doxologie. And as *Pareus* and other Protestants conclude, it is more probable the *Latines* neglected, then that the *Greeks* inserted of their own heads this sentence. The *Socinians* and *Arrians* have as fair pretence for their exception against 1. *John* 5, 6, 7. *Musculus* saith [*non cogitant vero similis esse, ut Græcorum ecclesia magis quam Latina quod ab Evangelistis Græce scriptum est, integrum servavit, nihilque de suo adjecerit, Quid de Græca ecclesia dico? vidi ipse vetustissimum Evangelium secundum Matth. Codicem Chaldaicis & Elementis, & verbis conscriptum, in quo coronis ista perinde atque in Græcis legebatur. Nec Chaldaei solum, sed & Arabes Christiani pariformiter cum Græcis orant, & Exemplar Hebræum a docto et celebri D. Sebast. Munstero vulgatum hanc ipsam Coronidem habet; Cum ergo consentiunt hæc in re Hebræorum, Chaldeorum, Arabum, & Græcorum Ecclesia contra omnes reliquas, tantum tribuitur authoritatis, ut quod sola diversum legit, ab Evangelistis traditum esse credatur: quod vero relique omnes concorditer habent & orant, pro additio & peregrino habeatur.* And that *Luke* hath it not, will no more prove that it was not a part of the *Lords prayer*, then all other omissions of one Evangelist, will prove that such words are corruptions in the other, that have them. All set together give us the Gospel fully, and from all we must gather it.

Seet. 4. Lords Pr. often used. [*It is used but twice in the morning, and twice in the Evening Service, and twice cannot be called often, much lesse so often. For the Letany, Communion, Baptisme, &c. they are Offices distinct from morning and evening prayer, and it is not fit that any of them should want the Lords Prayer.*]

Repl. We may better say, we are required to use it six times every morning then but twice for it is twice in the Common morning prayer, and once in the Letany, and once in the Communion service, and once at Baptisme (which in great Parishes is usual every day) and once to be used by the Preacher in the Pulpit; and if you call these distinct offices that maketh not the Lords Prayer the seldomer used; sure we are, the Apostles thought it fit that many of their prayers should be without the Lords prayer.

Seet. 5. Glor. Patri. [*This Doxologie being a solemn Confession of the blessed Trinity should not be thought a burden to any Christian Liturgie, especially being so short as it is, neither is the repetition of it to be thought a vain repetition, more then his Mercy endureth for ever, so often repeated Psal. 136. we cannot give God too much glory, that being the end of our Creation, and should be the end of all our Services.*]

Reply. Though we cannot give God too much glory, we may too often repeat a form of words, wherein his name and glory is mentioned, there is great difference between a Psalm of praise, and the praise in our ordinary prayers: more liberty of repetition may be taken in psalms, and be an Ornament, and there is difference between that which is unusual (in one Psalm of 150) and that which is our daily course of worship: when you have well proved that Christs prohibition of *battologie* extendeth not to this (*Matth. 6.*) we shall acquiesce.

Seet. 6. P. 15. Ru. 2. [*In such places where they doe sing &c.*] the Rubr. directs onely such singing, as is after the manner of distinct reading, and we never heard of any inconvenience thereby, and therefore conceive this demand to be needlesse.]

Reply. It tempteth men to think they should read in a singing tone, and to turn reading Scripture into singing hath the inconvenience of turning the edifying simplicity and plainesse of Gods service into such affected unnatural straynes and tones, as is used by the Mimical, and Ludicrous, or such as feigne themselves in raptures: and the highest things (such as words and modes that signifie Raptures) are most loathsome, when forced, feigned,

feigned, and hypocritically affected; and therefore not fit for Congregations, that cannot be supposed to be in such Raptures; this we apply also to the sententious mode of prayers.

Sect. 7. Benedicite. [*This Hymne was used all the Church over, Conc. Toller. Can. 13. and therefore should be continued still, as well as Te deum, (Ruffin. Apol. cont. Hieron) or veni Creator, which they do not object against as Apocriphall.*]

Reply. You much discourage us in these great straits of time to give us such loose and troublesome citations, you turn us to *Ruffini Apol.* in gross, and tell us not which of the Council of *Toller* among at least 13. you mean: but we find the words in Council 4. but that provincial Spanish Council was no meet Judge of the Affairs of the universal Church, unto the universal Church: nor is it certain by their words whether (*quem*) refer not to (*eadem*) rather then to (*Hymnum*) but if you so regard that Council, remember that Can. 9. it is but once aday that the Lords prayer is injoynd, against them that used it on the Lords day only, and that Can. 17. it is implied that it was said but once on that day. The *Benedicite* is somewhat more cautelously to be used then *humane Compositions* that professe to be but humane, when the Apocriphall writings that are by the Papiests to be Canonically, and used so like the Canon in our Church, we have the more cause to desire that a sufficient distinction be still made.

In the Letany.

Sect. 1. *The alterations here desired are so nice, as if they that made them were given to change.*

Reply. We bear your Censure, but professe that if you will desert the products of changers, and stick to the unchangeable Rule delivered by the Holy Ghost, we shall joyfully agree with you. Let them that prove most given to change, from the unchangeable Rule and ensamples, be taken for the hinderers of our unity and peace.

Sect. 2. *From all other deadly sin, is better, then from all other heinous sin) upon the reason here given, because the wages of sin is death.*

Reply. There is so much mortal poison in the Popish distinction of mortal, and venial sin, (by which abundance of sins are denied to be sins at all properly, but only Analogically) that the stomach that feareth it is not to be charged without nicenes. The words here seem to be used by way.

way of distinction, and all [deadly sin] seemeth not to be spoken of [all sin.] And if so, your reason from, *Rom. 6. 23.* is vain, and ours firm.

Sect. 3. *From sudden death, as good as from dying suddenly which therefore we pray against that we may not be unprepared.*

Reply. We added [unprepared] as expository or hinting, to shew the reason why sudden death is prayed against, and so to limit our prayers to that sudden death, which we are unprepared for, there being some wayes of sudden death no more to be prayed against then death it self simply considered may; when you say [from sudden death] is as good as] from dying suddenly :] we confesse it is. But not so good as [from dying suddenly, and unpreparedly.] we hope you intend not to make any believe that our turning the Adjective to an Adverb was our reformation. And yet we wondred to hear this made a common jest upon us, as from those that had seene our papers: would you have had us said [from sudden and unprepared death?] you would then have had more matter of just exception against the words [unprepared death] then now you have against dying suddenly: a man may be well prepared to dye suddenly by Martyrdome for Christ, or by War for his Prince, and many other wayes.

Sect. 4. [*All that travel,*] as little lyable to exceptions as [*those that travel,*] and more agreeable to the phrase of Scripture 1 Tim. 1. 2. *I will that prayers be made for all men*]

Reply. An universal is to be understood properly, as comprchending all the individuals, and so is not an indefinite; And we know not that we are bound to pray for Thieves, and Pyrates, and Traytors that travel by land, or water on such errands as *Faux*, or the other Powder-plotters, or tha *Spanish Armado* iu 88. or as *Parry*, or any that should travel on the Errand as *Clement*, or *Raviliac* did to the two *King Henry's* of *France*; are these Niceties with you?

Sect. 5. P. 16. [*The 2d. Collect, &c.*] *we do not finde, nor do they say, what is to be amended in these Collects, therefore to say any thing particularly were to answer to we know not what.*]

Reply. We are glad that one word in the proper Collects, hath appeared such to you as needs a Reformation, especially when you told us before that the Liturgy was never found fault with by those to whom the name of Protestants most properly belongs] which lookt upon our hopes of Reformation, almost as destructively as the Papiests Doctrine of Infallibility doth, when we deale with them. As for the Collects mentioned by us, you should not wonder that we brought not in a particular

cular Charge against them: For first, we had a conceit that it was best for us to deale gently and tenderly as we could with the faults of the Lyrurgie, and therefore we have under our Generalls hid abundance of particulars, which you may finde in the Abridgement of the *Lincolnshire* Ministers, and in many other books; And Secondly we had a conceit that you would have vouchsafed to treat with us personally in presence, according to the sense of his Majesties Commission, and then we thought to have told you particularly of such matters: but you have forc't us to confesse that we finde our selves deceived.

The Communion Service.

Sec't. 1. P. 17. Kyries. To say Lord have mercy upon us after every commandment is more quick and active, then to say it once at the Close, and why Christian people should not upon their knees ask their pardon for their life forfeited for the breach of every Commandment, and pray for Grace to keep them for the time to come, they must be more then Ignorant that can scruple.

Reply. We thank you for saying nothing against our four first requests; though we are thought more then Ignorant for our scruple, we can truly say, we are willing to learn. But your bare opinion is not enough to cure Ignorance, and more. By your reason you may make kneeling the Gesture for hearing the Scriptures read, and hearing Sermons, and all, If you will but interweave prayers, he must be more then Ignorant that will not kneel. The universal Church of Christ was more then Ignorant for many hundred years, that not only neglected, but prohibited Genuflexion in all adoration each Lords day, when now the 20th. of *Exod.* or 5th. of *Dint.* may not be heard or read without kneeling, save only by the Clergy.

Sec't. 2. P. 18. Homilies. Some livings are so small, that they are not able to maintaine a licenced preacher, and in such and the like Cases this provision is necessary; nor can any reason be given, why the Ministers reading a Homily, set forth by common Authority, should not be accounted preaching of the word, as well as his reading (or pronouncing by heart) a Homily, or Sermon of his own, or any other mans.

Repl. When the usurper would quickly have brought livings to that competency, as would have maintained able preachers, we may not question whether just Authority will do it. 2. When abundance of able Ministers cast out would be glad of liberty to preach for nothing, this pretense hath no tast, or sense in it. 3ly. When we may not without the imputation of uncharitableness once imagine, that your Lordships
with

with your Deanes, and other Officers, do not value the saving of soules above money; we may conclude that you will voluntarily allow so much out of your ample Revenues, as will supply such places or many of them; The rather because we finde you charging them, as desiring inordinately the honours and wealth of the world, that would have had all Ministers to have had 100 li. or 80 li. *per annum* a piece: and therefore may conclude that you will take no more, if you hate that sin, more then they do that are accused of it. But the next place of your Answer frighteth us more: to which we say, that we will not differ with you for the name: Whether reading Homilies may be called preaching. But we take the boldness to say, that it is another manner of preaching that Christ and his Apostles sent men to perform, and which the Church hath gloried in, and bin edified by, to this day, and which thousands of soules have bin brought to Heaven by, and which we again desire may be enjoyed, and not left so indifferent.

§. 3. Sentences.

[The Sentences tend all to exhort the people to pious liberality, whether the Object be the Minister, or the Poor, and though some of the Sentences be Apocryphal, they may be useful for that purpose.] Why Collection for the poor should be made at another time, there is no reason given, only change desired.

Reply.

1. We have oft told you why the *Apocrypha* should be cautiously used in the Church: that Usurper that should pretend to the Crown, and have a more numerous party then the King, (that hath the undoubted right) will be lookt on more suspiciously then ordinary subjects. 2. It is a sordid thing for Ministers to love money; and its sordid, unless in extraordinary necessities, to have them beg, and beg for themselves, and beg under pretence of serving God, even in times when the Clergie seems advanced. 3. We confest our selves deceived, in thinking we should have free personal debates with you, which made us reserve many of our Reasons. Reasons are 1. For lesse disturbance. 2. Because the peoples affections are much more raised usually, and so fitter for returns, when they have received. 3. Because especially it is most reasonable to do the Acts of gratitude, when we have received the obliging benefits, and so say (what shall I give the Lord for all his benefits) when we have partaked of them, and to offer our selves first and with our selves, what he giveth us unto him, when we have received him, and his grace offered to us.

These are the Reasons that brought us under your censure of desiring a change.

Sect. 4. P. 19. 3. Exhort.

[The first and third Exhortations are very seasonable before the Communion, to put men in minde how they ought to be prepared, and in what danger they are to come unprepared, that if they be not duly qualified, they may depart, and be better prepared at another time.]

Reply.

But is it not more seasonable, that in so great business, such warning go a considerable time before, is there leisure of self examination, and making re-litigation, and satisfaction, and going to the Minister for Counsel to quiet his Conscience, &c. in order to the present Sacrament: we yet desire these things may be sooner told them.

Sect. 5. Exc. 1.

[We fear this way discourage many) certainly themselves cannot desire, that men should come to the holy Communion, with a troubled Conscience, and therefore have no reason to blame the Church for saying it is requisite, that men come with a quiet Conscience, and prescribing means for quieting thereof: If this be to discourage men, it is fit they should be discouraged and deterred, and kept from the Communion, till they have done all that is here directed by the Church, which they may well do, considering that this Exhortation shall be read in the Church, the Sunday or Holiday before.]

Reply.

But we can, and do desire that many that have a troubled Conscience, and cannot otherwise quiet it, should come to the Communion for remedy, and not be discouraged, or kept away.

Sect. 6. Ministers Turning.

[The Ministers turning to the people, is not most convenient throughout the whole Ministration: when he speaks to them as in Lessons, Absolution, and Benedictions, it is convenient that he turn to them, when he speaks for them to God, it is fit that they should all turn another way, as the ancient Church ever did, the reasons of which you may see, Aug. lib. 2. de Ser. Doct. in monte.]

Reply.

It is not yet understood by us, why the Ministers, or people, (for which you mean by [they all] we know not) should turn another way in prayer: we think the people should hear the prayers of the Ministers, if not, Latine prayers may serve, and then you need not except against extemporare prayers, because the people cannot own them, for how can most of them own what they hear not, what ever it be? As for *Augst.* reason for looking towards the east, when we pray,

Ut admoneatur animus ad naturam excellentiorem se convertere; id est, ad dominum . cum ipsum corpus ejus, quod est terrenum, ad corpus excellentius, id est, ad corpus celeste convertitur. We suppose you will not expect that we should be much moved by it, if we should, why should not we worship towards any of the creatures visible, when we can pretend such Reasons for it, as minding us of superiour things, and why should we not look Southward, when the Sun is in the South.

And we fear the worshipping towards the Sun as representing or minding us of Christs heavenly body, is too like to the prohibited worshipping before an Image, & too like that worshipping before the Host of Heaven, in which the old Idolatry consisted, or at least which was the Introduction of it; of which our Protestant Writers treat at large against the Papists, on the point of Image-Worship; See also *Vossius de Idolatriâ, lib. 2. c. 23, &c.*

Sect. 7. Exc. 3.

[It appears by the greatest evidences of Antiquity, that it was upon the 25 day of Decemb. S. Aug. in Psal 132.]

Reply.

It is not *Aug.* alone in *Psal. 132.* that must tell us which way the greatest Evidences of Antiquity go, and his reasoning that *John* must decrease, and *Christ* must increase, as proved by *Johns* being born when the days decrease, and *Christs* being born when the days increase, doth not much invite us to receive his Testimony. We conceive the Ancient opinion of *Jerusalem*, and other Eastern Churches that were neereſt to the place, is a greater Argument for the contrary, then you have here given us for what you thus affirm: We might ſet *Epiphanius* against *Augustine*, and all the *Greek Church*, till in the midſt of *Chyſoſtomes* time, when they changed their opinion: And in our time the judgment of the famous Chronologers, *Sealiger*, *Beroldus*, *Broughton*, *Cappellus*, *Cloppenburgius*, with many others are not contemptible, as ſet against ſuch an unproved Aſſertion as this.

Sect. 8.

[That our ſinful Bodies, &c.) It can no more be ſaid thoſe words do give greater efficacy to the blood, then to the body of *Chriſt*, then when our Lord ſaith, This is my blood which is ſhed for you, and for many for the remiſſion of ſins, &c. And ſaith not ſo explicitly of the Body.]

Reply.

Sure *Chriſt* there intimateth no ſuch diſtinction as is here intimated,
N 2 there

there his body is said to [be broken for us] and not only for [our bodies]

Sett. 9. 20. Com. Kneel.

[It is most requisite that the Minister delivers the Bread and Wine into every particular Communicants hand, and repeat the words in the singular number; for so much as it is the propriety of Sacraments to make particular oblation to each Believer, and it is our visible profession that by the Grace of God, Christ tasted death for every man.]

Reply. 1.

Did not Christ know the propriety of Sacraments better then we, and yet he delivered it in the plural number to all at once with a [take ye, eat ye, drink yee (all of it)] we had rather study to be obedient to our Master, then to be wiser then he. 2. As God maketh the general offer, which giveth to no man a personal interest, till his own acceptance first appropriate it, so it is fit that the Minister that is Gods Agent, imitate him, when his example, and the reason of it so concern to engage us to it; *Clement Alexandr. Stromat. lib. 1. Prope.* In it giveth a reason (as we understand him) for the contrary, that man being a free Agent, must be the chooser or refuser for himself, ἢ καὶ τὴν τῶν δ' ἀνθρώπων αἰσὶν ἐδίδου δὲ τὸν ἑαυτοῦ τὸ λαβεῖν τὴν μίσην ἐπισφραγίσιν. *Quemadmodum eucharistiam cum quidam ut mos est dividerint, permittunt unicuique ex populo ejus partem sumere: and after rendreth this reason, ἀποὶν γὰρ πρὸς τὴν ἀπορίαν αἰσιν: καὶ οὕτως ἢ συνείδησις ad accurat: enim perfecteque eligendum ac fugiendum optima est conscientia.*

And that thing is so agreeable to our own doctrinal principles, that we fear you dis-relish it, because it comes from us.

Sett. 10. Kneel at Sacra.

[Concerning kneeling at the Sacrament we have given account already, only thus much we adde, that we conceive it an errour to say that the Scripture affirms the Apostles to have received not kneeling. The posture of the Paschal Supper we know, but the institution of the holy Sacrament was after Supper, and what posture was then used the Scripture is silent. The Rub. at the end of the 1. Ed. C. that leaves kneeling, crossing, &c. indifferent, is meant only at such times as they are not prescribed, and required. But at the Eucharist kneeling is expressly required in the Rubr. following.]

Reply.

Doubtless when *Matthew* and *Mark* say it was [as they did eat] to which before it is said, that [they sat down] and when Interpreters generally agree upon it, this would easily have satisfied you, if you had been as willing to believe it, as to believe the contrary. *Matth. 26. 20, 21, 26:* the same phrase is used *v. 26.* As

In

In verse 21, where it sheweth they were still sitting: For the sense of the Rubr. if you prove that the makers so interpret it, we shall not deny it; but the reason of both seems the same.

Scil. 11. Com. three times a Year.

[This desire to have the Parishioners at liberty, whether they will ever receive the Communion or not, savours of too much neglect, and coldness of affection towards the holy Sacrament: It is more fitting that order should be taken to bring it into more frequent use, as it was in the first, and best times; Our Rubr. is directly according to the ancient Council of Eliberis C. 81. Gratian de Consecrat. no man is to be accounted a good Catholick Christian that does not receive the Sacrament in the year: The distempers which indispose men to it, must be corrected, not the receiving of the Sacrament therefore omitted: It is a piteous pretence to say they are not fit, and make their sin their excuse; Formerly our Church was quarreled at for not compelling men to the Communion, now for urging men how should shee please?

Hooker. l. 5.
Scil. 6. 8.

Reply.

We confess it is desirable that all our distempers, and unfitnesses should be healed, and we desire with you that Sacraments may be oftner: But that every person in the Parish that is unfit, be forced to receive, is that which we cannot concur with you to be gualter of. Two sorts we think unfit, to be so forced (at least) First, abundance of people, grossely ignorant and scandalous, that will eat and drink judgment to themselves, not discerning the Lords Body. Secondly, many melancholy, and otherwise troubled doubting souls, that if they should receive the Sacrament before they find themselves more fit, would be in danger to go out of their wits with fear, least it would seal them to destruction, and as the Liturgy saith, least the Devil enter into them as into Judas, or at least it would grievously deject them. As formerly, so now, there is great reason at once to desire, that the unprepared be not forced to the Sacrament, and yet that so great a part of the body of the Church may not be let alone in your Communion, without due admonition and discipline, that ordinarily neglect or refuse the Churches Communion in this Sacrament, those that are so prophane should be kept away, but withall they should be proceeded with by discipline till they repent, or are cast out of the Church.

Scil. 12.

[This Rubr. is not in the Liturgy of Queen Elizabeth, nor confirmed by Law, nor is there any great need of restoring it, the World being

now in more danger of profanation, then of Idolatry, besides the sense of it is declared sufficiently in the 28th Article of the Church of England: The time appointed we conceive sufficient.

Reply.

Can there be any hurt or danger in the peoples being taught to understand the Church aright? Hath not Bishop Hall taught you in his life of a Romanist, that would have faced him down, That the Church of England is for Transubstantiation, because of kneeling, p. 23. And the same Bishop (greatly differing from you, saith in the same Book, p. 294. Baste put all scruples out of the minds of any Reader concerning this point, let that serve for the upshot of all, which is expressly set down in the fifth Rubrick in the end of the Communion set forth as the judgement of the Church of England both in K. Edward and Queen Elizabeths times (note that) though lately upon negligence (note upon negligence) omitted in the Impression and so recites the words. Where you say, there is no great need, &c. We reply, 1. Profaneness may be opposed nevertheless, for our instructing the people against Idolatry. 2. The abounding of Papists who in this point seem to us Idolatrous; sheweth that there is danger of it. 3. The commonness of Idolatry through the World, and the case of the Israelites of old, shew that mans nature is prone to it. 4. Profaneness and Idolatry beset each other. As God is jealous against Idolatry, so should all faithful Pastors of the Church be, and not refuse such a caution to the people and say, there is no great need of it.

Publike Baptisme.

UNTill they have made due profession of Repentance, &c. we think this desire to be very hard and uncharitable, punishing the poor Infants for the Parents sakes, and giving also too great and arbitrary a power to judge which of his Parishioners he pleaseth, Atheists, Infidels, Hereticks, &c. and then in that name to reject their children from being baptized: Our Church concludes more charitably, that Christ will favourably accept every Infant to Baptisme, that is presented by the Church according to our present Order: And this she concludes out of Holy Scriptures, (as you may see in the Office of Baptisme) according to the Practice and Doctrine of the Catholick Church, Cypr. Ep. 59. August. Ep. 28. & de verb. Apost. Ser. 14.

Reply.

Reply.

We perceive you will stick with us in more then Ceremonies; to your reasons we Reply, 1. By that reason, all the Children of all Heathens or Infidels in the World should be admitted to Baptism; because they should not be punished for the parents sakes. 2. But we deny that it is (among Christians that beleve original Sin) any absurdity to say, that Children are punished for their Parents sakes. 3. But yet we deny this to be any such punishment at all, unless you will call, their non-deliverance a punishment; they are the Children of wrath by nature, and have original Sin; The Covenant of Grace that giveth the saving benefits of Christ, is made to none but the faithful, and their seed, will you call this a punishing them for their Fathers sakes, that God hath extended his Covenant to no more? Their Parents infidelity doth but leave them in their original Sin, and misery, and is not further it self imputed to them. If you know of any Covenant or promise of Salvation made to all without condition, or to Infants, or any other condition or qualification, but that they be the seed of the faithful dedicated to God, you should do well to shew it us, & not so slightly pass things of so great moment, in which you might much help the World out of darkness, if you can make good what you intimate; If indeed you mean as you seem to speak, that its uncharitable to punish any Infants for the Parents faults, and that a non-liberation is such a punishment, then you must suppose that all the Infants of Heathens, Jews, and Turks are saved (that die in Infancy) or else Christ is uncharitable; And if they are all saved without Baptisme, then Baptisme is of no such use, or necessity; as you seem to think: What then is your privilege of the seed of the faithful, that they are holy, and that the Covenant is made with them, and God will be their God? We fear you will again revive the opinion of the Anabaptists among the people, when they observe that you have no more to say for the Baptizing of the Children of the faithful, then, of Infidels, Heathens, and Atheists. To your second Objection we Answer; You will drive many a faithful labourer from the work of Christ, if he may not be in the Ministry, unless he will baptise the Children of Heathens, Infidels, and excommunicate ones, before their Parents do repent: And the first Question is not who shall be the judge; But whether we must be all thus forced. Is not the Question as great, who shall be the judge of the unfitness of Persons for the Lords Supper? And yet there you think it not

a taking too much upon us to keep away the scandalous, if they have their Appeals to you? And is it indeed (a power too great and arbitrary) to have a *judicium discretionis* about our own Acts; and not to be forced to baptize the children of Heathens against our Consciences? who judged for the Baptizers in the Primitive Church, what persons they should baptize? We act but as Engines under you, not as men, if we must not use our reason; and we are more miserable then brutes or men, if we must be forced to go against our Consciences, unless you will save us harmless before God: O that in a fair debate you would prove to us that such children as are described are to be baptized, and that the Ministers that baptize them, must not have power to discern who to baptize. But who mean you by the Churches that must present every Infant that Christ may accept them? Is every Infant first in the promise of pardon? (It so, shew us that promise) and then sure God will make good that promise, though Heathen Parents present not their children to him, as (your grounds suppose) if not, then will the sign save those that are not in the promise: But is it the Godfathers that are the Church, who ever called them so? And if by the Church you mean the Minister, and by presenting, you meant baptizing them, then any Heathens child that a Minister can catch up and baptize shall be saved, which if it could be proved would persuade us to go hunt for children in *Turkie, Tartary, or America*, and secretly baptize them in a habit that should not make us known; but there is more of fancy then charity in this; and Christ never invited any to him, but the children of the promise to be thus presented and baptized.

Señ. 3. P. 23.

[And then the Godfathers, &c. It is an erroneous doctrine, and the ground of many others, and of many of your Exceptions that children have no other right to Baptisme, then in their Parents right, the Churches Primitive practice forbids it to be left to the pleasure of Parents whether there shall be, other Sureties or no? It is fit we should observe carefully the practice of venerable Antiquitie, as they desire; Prop. 18.]

S. Aug. Ep. 23.

Reply.

We conjecture the words that conclude your former Subject being mis-placed, are intended as your Answer to this, and if all the children of any sort in the world that are brought to us, must by us be baptized without distinction, indeed its no great matter what time we have notice of it.

It seemes we differ in Doctrine though we subscribe the same Articles we earnestly desire you distinctly to tell us, what is the Infants title to Baptisme, if it be not to be found in the Parent: Assign it and prove it when you have done, as well as we prove their right as they are (the seed of Believers dedicated by them to God) and then we promise to consent: Its strange to us to hear so much of the Churches primitive practise, where so little evidence of it is produced, Aug. ep. 23. talketh not (of primitive practise: *ab initio non fuit sic*; was it so in the Apostles days? And afterwards you prove not that it was the judgment of the Catholick Church, that bare Sponsors instead of Parents, Pro-parents or Owners of the Children, might procure to the Children of all Infidels a title to Baptisme, and its benefits. Such Susceptrs as became the Owners or Adopters of the Children, are to be distinguished from those that *pro forma* stand by for an hour during the baptising of the Children, and ever after leave them to their Parents: who as they have the natural interest in them, and power of their disposal, and the Education of them, so are fittest to covenant in their names,

[The Font usually stands as it did in primitive times, at or near the Church door, to signify that Baptisme was the entrance into the Church mystical, we are all baptised into one body, 1 Cor. 12. 13. and the people may hear well enough. If Jordan and all other waters be not so far sanctified by Christ, as to be the matter of Baptisme, what authority have we to baptise? and sure his Baptisme was *dedicatio baptismi*.] S. 4.

Repl. Our less difference of the Font, and flood Jordan is almost drowned in the greater before going: But to the first we say that we conceive the usual situation for the peoples hearing, is to be preferred before your Ceremonious position of it. And to the second we say, that *dedicatio baptismi* is an unsuited phrase, and yet if it were not what's that to the sanctification of Jordan, and all other waters? Did Christ sanctify all Corn, or Bread, or Grapes, or Wine to an holy use? when he administred the Lords Supper? Sanctifying is separating to an holy use; But the flood Jordan and all other water is not separated to this holy use, in any proper sense: No more than all mankind is sanctified to the Priestly Office, because men were made Priests.

[It hath been accounted reasonable, and allowed by the best Laws, that Guardians should Covenant and contract for their Minors to their benefit, by 14. the same right the Church hath appointed sureties to undertake for Children, when they enter into Covenant with God by Baptisme; And this general practise S. 5. c. s. S. A. G. cp. 23.

practise of the Church is enough to satisfy those that doubt.]

Repl. 1. Who made those Sureties Guardians of the Infants that are neither Parents, nor Pro-parents, nor Owners of them? we are not now taking against Sponsors: But you know that the very original of those Sponsors is a great Controversie: And whether they were not at first most properly Sponsors for the Parents that they should perform that part they undertook (because many Parents were Desertors, and many proved negligent) Sponsors then excluded not Parents from their proper undertaking, but joyned with them; Godfathers are not the Infants Guardians with us, and therefore are not power thus to Covenant and Vow in their names: We intreat you to take heed of leaving any Children indeed out of the mutual Covenant that are baptised: How are those in the Covenant that cannot content themselves, and do it not by any that truly represent them; nor have any Authority to act as in their names? The Authority of Parents being most unquestionable (who by nature, and the word of God, have the power of disposing of their Children, and consequently of choosing and covenanting for them) Why should it not be preferred? at least you may give leave to those Parents that desire it to be the Dedicators of and Covenants for their own Children, and not force others on them whether they will or no. *2.* But the question is not of Covenanting, but professing present actual believing, forsaking &c. In which though we believe the Churches sense was sound, yet we desire that all things, that may render it lyable to mis-understanding may be avoyded,

[Receive remission of sins by spiritual Regeneration, most proper for Baptism is our spiritual Regeneration, St. John 3; unlesse a man be born again of water and the spirit, &c. And by this is received remission of sins, Acts 2.3. Repent and be Baptized every one of you, for the remission of sins; So the Creed one Baptism for the remission of sins.]

Repl. Baptism as an outward Administration, is our visible Sacramental Regeneration: Baptism as conteyning with the Sign, the thing signified, is our spiritual real Regeneration. As we are regenerated before Baptism, (as you know adult Believers are) so we cannot pray to receive remission of sins by that same regeneration renewed. As we are regenerated really in Baptism, that Regeneration and Remission are conjunct benefits: But if Baptism at once give Regeneration and Remission, it follows not that it gives remission by regeneration: But as Regeneration comprehendeth the whole change (real or Phisical) and relative, so we acknowledge, that as the part is given by the whole, you

you may say that remission is given by regeneration, but more fitly in it than by it; But we are not willing to make more ado about words than needs.

[We cannot in faith say that every Child that is baptized is regenerate, &c. § 7. seeing that Gods Sacraments have their effects, where the Receiver doth not ^{Pag. 24.} ponere obicem, put any bar against them (which children cannot do) we may say in faith of every child that is baptized that it is regenerated by Gods Holy Spirit, and the denial of it tends to Anabaptism, and the contempt of this holy Sacrament, as nothing worthy, nor material, whether it be administered to children or no: Concerning the Crosse we refer to our Answer, to the same is general.]

Repl. All Gods Sacraments attain their proper ends: But whether the Infants of Infidels be the due Subjects, and whether their end be to seal up Grace and Salvation to them that have no promise of it, or whether it be only to seal the Covenant to Believers and their seed, are Questions yet undecided, wherein we must intreat you not to expect that we should implicitly believe you; and it is as easy for us to tell you, that you are promoting Anabaptisme, and much more easy to prove it: We take thole but for words of courie. Tit. 3. 5.

PRIVATE BAPTISM.

[We desire that Baptism may not be administered in a private place, and so do we, where it may be brought into the pullick Congregation. But since our Lord hath said, St. Joh. 3. Unless one be born of Water, and the holy Ghost, he cannot enter into the Kingdome of Heaven. We think it fit that they should be Baptized in private, rather than not at all; It is appointed now to be done the lawful Minister.] § 8.

Repl. We must needs suppose you are disputing with Protestants, who ordinarily shew the Papists that that Text Joh. 3. asserteth no absolute necessity of Baptisme to salvation. But we believe as well as you, that it is the regular way of solemn Initiation into the Covenant and Church of Christ, which none that indeed are the Children of the promise should neglect. As Coronation solemnizeth his entrance upon the Kingdome, that had before the title; And as Marriage solemnizeth that which before was done by consent; So Baptisme solemnizeth the mutual Covenant which before had a mutual consent; and none is authorized to consent for Infants but those that by nature, and Gods Law have the power of disposing of them; and whose will is *in sensu forensi* the Childrens will: It solemnly intetteth us in what we had an Antecedent right to, and therefore belongs to none but those that have that right; And this we are ready to make good by any fair debate that you will allow us

[Nor is any thing done in private, reiterated in publick, but the solemn reception into the Congregation, with the prayers for him, and the publick declaration before the Congregation of the Infants now made by the Godfathers, that the whole Congregation may testify against him, if he does not perform it, which the Antients made great use of.

Repl. Do you not say in the Rubr. (And let them not doubt, but the Child so baptized is lawfully and sufficiently baptized, and ought not to be baptized again) And as yet (I certify you that in this case all is well done, &c.) And yet you do not renew all the baptismal Covenant, renouncing the flesh, &c. And engaging into the Christian belief; And that you may see that the Church of England taketh not all Infants infallibly to be regenerated by Baptisme (unless you grant that they repent to the substance of Baptisme, the baptismal prayer is here used, for the fore baptized, that God will give his holy spirit to this Infant, that he being born again, and made heir of everlasting Salvation, &c.) which sheweth that he is now supposed to be *Regenerandus, non regeneratus*. Do they pray for his Regeneration whom they account regenerate already. You must either confesse that there they repeat much of the substance of Baptism, and take the Child as not baptized, or else that they take the baptized Child to be not regenerate. And then we may well take them for unregenerate, that shew no signs of it, at years of discretion, but live a carnal and ungodly life, though they can say the Catechisme, and seek Confirmation.

Of the C A T E C H I S M E.

§. 1. p. 2.

26. An. 3.

[Though divers have been of late baptized without Godfathers, yet many have been baptized with them, and those may answer the Questions, as they are, the rest must answer according to truth; But there's no reason to alter the Rule of the Catechisme, for some mens Irregularities.]

Repl. If you will have a Catechisme proper to those that had Godfathers, give leave to others to use one that will teach them as you say to answer according to truth: And let us in the same have that liberty, of leaving out the doubtful Opinion of Godfathers' and Godmothers, and that which we think too childish a beginning, what is your name, and let us use one that speaks more of the necessary Doctrines of Salvation, and nothing but necessities.

§. 2. p. 2.

[We conceive this expression as safe as that which they desire, and more fully expressing the Efficacy of the Sacrament, according to St. Paul the 26, and 27. Gal. 3. where St. Paul proves them all to be Children of God, because they were baptized, and in their Baptisme had put on Christ, If Children then Heirs, or which is all one, Inheritors, Rom 8. 17.

Repl. By Baptisme *Paul* means not the Carkale of Baptisme, but the Baptismal dedication, and covenanting with God; They that do this by themselves, if at age, or by Parents, or Pro-parents authorized (if

In-

Infants) sincerely, are truly members of Christ, and Children of God, and Heirs of Heaven; They that do this but hypocritically, and verbally as *Simon Magus* did, are visibly such as the others are really. But really are still in the gall of bitterness, and bond of iniquity, and have no part or lot in this business, their hearts being not right in the sight of God. This is that truth which we are ready to make good.

[We conceive the present Translation to be agreeable to many ancient Copies: therefore §. 3. p. 26. 10. the change to be needlesse.]

Com.

Repl. What ancient Copy hath (the Seventh day) in the end of the fourth Commandment instead of the Sabbath day? Did King *James* cause the Bible to be new translated to so little purpose, We must bear you witness, that in some Cases you are not given to change.

[My duty towards God &c. It is not true that there is nothing in that Answer which §. 4. pag. refers to the 4th. Commandment, for the last words of the Answer do orderly relate to the 27. last Commandment of the first Table which is the fourth:]

Repl. And think you indeed that the 4th. Commandment obligeth you no more to one day in seven, than equally (to all the days of your life) this Exposition may make us think that some are more serious. than else we could have imagined, in praying after that Commandment *Lord have mercy upon us, and encline our hearts to keep this Law,*

[Two only as generally necessary to salvation, &c. These words are a Reason of §. 4. the Answer that to etc. are two only, and therefore not to be left out.]

Repl. The words seem to imply by distinction, that there may be others not so necessary, and the Lords Supper was not by the Ancients taken to be necessary to the salvation of all.

[We desire that the entering of Infants, &c. The effect of Childrens Baptisme depends neither upon their own present actual Faith, and Repentance, which the Catechisme saith expressly they cannot perform: nor upon the Faith and Repentance of their natural Parents, or Pro-parents, or of their Godfathers or Godmothers, but upon the Ordinance and Institution of Christ: But it is requisite that when they come to age, they should perform these Conditions of Faith and Repentance, for which also their Godfathers and Godmothers charitably undertook on their behalf. And what they do for the Infant in this Case the Infant himself is truly said to do, as in the Courts of this Kingdome daily the Infant does answer by his Guardian, & it is usual for to do homage by proxy, and for Princes to marry by proxy. For the further Justification of this Answer, See St. Aug. Ep. 23. ad Bonifac. Nihil aliud credere quam fidem habere: ac per hoc cum responderetur parvulum credere qui fidei nondum habet effectum, responderetur fidem habere propter fidei Sacramentum & convertere se ad Deum, propter Conversionis Sacramentum quia & ipsa responsio, ad celebrationem pertinet Sacramenti itaque parvulum, & si nondum fides illa, que in credentium voluntate consistit, tamen ipsius fidei Sacramentum fidelem facit.]

Re. l.

Repl. 1. You remove not all the inconvenience of the words that seemeth to import what you your selves disclaim. 2. We know that the effects of Baptisme, do depend on all the necessary causes on Gods mercy, or Christs merits, on the Institution, and on Baptisme it self according to its use, as a delivering investing Sign, and Seal, and they depend upon the promise sealed by Baptisme; and the promise suppoeth the qualified subject, or requisite Condition in him, that shall have the benefit of it, to tell vs therefore of a common Cause, on which the effect depends viz. the Institution or Baptisme it self, when we are inquiring after the special condition that proveth the person to be the due subject, to whom both promise and Baptisme doth belong; This is but to seem to make an Answer; Either all baptized absolutely are justified and saved, or not: If yea, then Christianity is another kind of thing than *Peter*, or *Paul* understood that thought it was not the Washing of water, but the Answer of a good Conscience to God: Then let us catch Heathens and dip them, and save them in despite of them; But if any condition be requisite (as we are sure there is) our question is what it is, and you tell us of Baptisme it self, did ever *August.* *juro, vel injuria* was to be esteemed a believer; we grant with *Austin* that Infants of believers, *propter Sacramentum fidei*, are visibly and professedly to be numbered with believers; but neither *Austin*, nor we will ever grant you that this is true of all that you can catch, and use this form of baptism ever, the Seal will not save them that have no part in the promise.

§. 7. pa. 28. [The Catechisme is not intended as a whole Body of Divinity, but as a Comprehension of the Articles of Faith, and other Doctrines most necessary to salvation, and being short is fittest for Children, and common people, and as it was thought sufficient upon mature deliberation, and so is by us.]

Repl. The Creed, the Decalogue, and the Lords Prayer, contain all that is absolutely necessary to Salvation at least: If you intended no more, what need you make a Catechism? If you intend more, why have you no more. But except in the very words of the Creed, the essentials of Christianity are left out; If no explication be necessary, trouble them with no more than the Text of the Creed, &c. If explication be necessary, let them have it; At least in a larger Catechism, fitter for the riper.

CONFIRMATION.

§. 1. Rub. 1. [It is evident that the meaning of these words is, that Children Baptized and dying before they commit actual Sin, are undoubtedly Saved, though they be not confirmed, where in we see not what danger there can be of mistreading the vulgar, by teaching them truth; But there may be danger in this desire of having these words expunged, as if they were false, for St. Austin saies, he is an Infidel that desires them to be true, Ep. 23 ad Bonif.]

Repl. What all Children Saved, whether they be Children of the promise or no? Or can you shew us a Text that saith (Whoever is bap-

Baptized, shall be Saved.) The Common Prayer Book plainly speaks of the non-necessity of Unction, Confirmation, and other Popish Ceremonies and Sacraments, and meaneth that *ex parte Ecclesie*, they have all things necessary to Salvation, and are undoubtedly Saved, supposing them the due Subjects, and that nothing be wanting *ex parte sui*, which certainly is not the case of such as are not Children of the Promise, and Covenant; the Child of an Heathen doth not *ponere obicem*, actually, *quo minus baptizetur* & yet being baptized is not saved on your own reckoning, (as we understand you,) therefore the Parent can *ponere obicem*, and either hinder the Baptism or effect, to his Infant; *Austin* speaks not there of all Children whatever, but those that are offered *per aliorum spiritualium voluntatem*, by the Parents usually, or by those that own them after the Parents be dead, or they exposed, or become theirs: He speaks also of what may be done, & *de eo qui fieri non posse arbitratur*: But our question is, what is done, and not what God can do: Our great question is, what Children they be that Baptism belongeth to.

[After the Catechism we conceive that it is not a sufficient qualification &c.) *we conceive* §. 2. that this qualification is required rather as necessary, than as sufficient, and there- fore it is the duty of the Minister of the place, Can 61, to prepare Children in the best manner to be presented to the Bishop for confirmation, & to inform the Bishop of their fitness, but submitting the judgement to the Bishop both of this, and other qualifications, and not that the Bishop should be tied to the Minister's consent, compare this Rubr. to the second Rubr. before the Catechism, and there is required what is further necessary and sufficient.

Repl. 1. If we have all necessary ordinarily, we have that which is sufficient *ad esse*, there is more ordinarily necessary, then to say those words. 2. Do you owe the King no more obedience? A ready do you contradict his Declaration, which saith, Confirmation shall be performed (by the information, and with the consent of the Minister of the place,) But if the Ministers consent shall not be necessary, take all the charge upon your own soules, and let your soules be answerable for all.

They see no need of Godf.) Here the Compilers of the Liturgy did, and so doth the Church that there may be a witness of the Confirmation.] §. 3. Ex.

Repl. It is like to be your own work as you will use it, and we cannot hinder you from doing it in your own way. But are Godfathers no more than witnesses? &c.

[This supposeth that all children &c.] It supposeth, and that truly, that all children were, at their Baptism regenerate by water, and the Holy Ghost, and had given unto them the forgiveness of all their Sins, and it is uncharitably presumed, that notwithstanding the frailties and slips of their Child-hood, they have not totally lost what was in Baptism conferred upon them, and therefore add, Strengthen them we beseech thee O Lord, with the Holy Ghost, the Comforter, and daily increase in them their manifold gifts of Grace, &c. none that lives in open sin ought to be confirmed.] §. 4. Ex.

Repl. 1. Children baptized without right cannot be presumed to be really

really regenerate, and pardoned. 2. We speak only of those that by living in open sin, do shew themselves to be unjustified; and these you confesse should not be confirmed. O that you would but practise that; If not, this Confession will witness against you.

§. 5. p. 30. Rub. [Before the imposition of hands &c.] Confirmation is reserved to the Bishop, in honour of his ordinis to blisse, being an Act of Authority, so was it of old St. Hierome, Dialogue Adv. Lucifer saies it was totius orbis conventio, in hac parte, and St. Cyprian in the same purpose, Ep. 73. and our Church doth everywhere professe, as she ought to conform to the Catholick usages of the Primitive times, from which causelessly to depart argues, rather love of contention than of peace. For reserving of Confirmation to the Bishop, doth argue the dignity of the Bishop above Presbyters, who are not allowed to confirm, but does not argue any excellency in confirmation, above the Sacraments: St. Hierome argues the quite contrary, ad Lucif. Cap. 4. That because Baptism was allowed to be performed by a Deacon, but confirmation only by a Bishop; therefore Baptism was most necessary, and of the greatest value; The mercy of God allowing the most necessary means of Salvation, to be administered by inferior orders, and restraining the less necessary, to be higher, for the honour of their order.]

Repl. O that we had the Primitive Episcopacy, and that Bishops had no more Churches to over-see than in the Primitive times they had, and then we would never speak against this reservation of Confirmation to the honour of the Bishop; But when that Bishop of one Church, is turned into that Bishop of many hundred Churches, and when he is now a Bishop of the lowest rank that was an Arch-bishop, when Archbishops first came up, and so we have not really existed, any meer Bishops, (such as the Antients knew) at all, but only Archbishops and their Curates; marvel not if we would not have Confirmation proper to Archbishops, no one man undertake more than an hundred can perform, but if they will do it, there is no remedy, we have acquit our selves.

§. 6. Ex. 1. Prayer after the imposition of hands is grounded upon the practise of the Apostles, Heb. 6. 2. & Acts 8. 17. nor doth 25 Article say, that Confirmation is a corrupt imitation of the Apostles practise, but that the 5 commonly called Sacraments have ground partly of the corrupt following the Apostles, &c. which may be applied to some other of these 5. but cannot be applied to Confirmation, unless we make the Church speak Contradictions.

Repl. But the question is not of imposition of hands in general, but this imposition in particular: And you have never proved, that this sort of imposition called Confirmation is mentioned in those Texts: And the 25 Article cannot more probably be thought to speak of any one of the 5. as proceeding from the corrupt imitation of the Apostles, than of Confirmation as a supposed Sacrament.

§. 7. Ex. 2. we know no harm in speaking the language of holy Scripture, Acts 8. 14. they laid their hands upon them, and they received the Holy Ghost; and though imposition of hands be not a Sacrament, yet it is a very fit sign, to certify the persons what is ben done for them, as the Prayer speaks.

Repl. It is fit to speak the Scriptures language in Scripture sense; But if those that have no such power to give the Holy Ghost will say, receive the Holy Ghost, it were better for them abuse other language, than Scripture language,

After Confirmation.

THere is no inconvenience that Confirmation should be required before Sect. 8.
the Communion, when it may be ordinarily obtained, that which you
here fault, you elsewhere desire.

Repl. We desire that the credible approved profession of Faith, and repen-
tance be made necessities: But not that all the thousands in *England* and that ne-
ver came under the Bish. hands (as not one of many ever did, even when they
were at the highest) may be kept from the Lords Supper: for some cannot
have that imposition, and others will not that yet are fit for Communion with
the Church.

The Ring is a significant sign, only of humane institution, and was always *Marriage*
given as a pledge of fidelity, and constant love, and here is no reason given *the Ring.*
why it should be taken away, nor are the reasons mentioned in the Roman *Sect. 1. pa.*
Rituals given in our common Prayer-book. *31.*

Repl. We crave not your own forbearance of the Ring, but the indifferency
in our use of a thing so mis-used, and unnecessary.

These words in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, if they seem to *Sect. 2. pa.*
make Matrimony a Sacrament, may as well make all sacred, yea civil actions of *32. Ex. 1.*
weight to be Sacraments they being usual at the beginning and ending of all such.
It was never heard before now, that those words make a Sacrament.]

Reply. Is there no force in an argument drawn from the appearance of e-
vil, the offence and the danger of abuses, when other words enow may serve
turn.

They go to the Lords Table because the Communion is to follow.

Reply. They must go to the Table, whether there be a Communion or not. *Sect. 3.*

[Consecrated the estate of Matrimony to such an excellent mystery, &c. *Se. 4. Col.*
though the institution of Marriage was before the fall, yet it may be now, and
is consecrated by God to such an excellent mystery, as the representation of
the spiritual marriage, between Christ and his Church, *Eph. 5. 23.* we are sor-
ry that the words of Scripture, will not please. The Church in the 25 Arti-
cle, hath taken away the fear of making it a Sacrament.]

Reply. When was Marriage thus consecrated? If all things used to set forth
Christs offices, or benefits, by way of similitude be consecrated then a Judge,
a Father, a Friend, a Vine, a Door, a Way, &c. are all consecrated things:
Scripture phrase pleaseth us in Scripture sense.

The new married persons the same day of their marriage must receive the Holy *Se. 5. p. 33*
Communion. This inforces none to forbear Marriage, but presumes (as well it *Rurr.*
may) that all persons marriageable ought to be also fit to receive the Holy Sacra-
ment: And marriage being so solemn a Covenant of God, they that undertake it
in the fear of God, will not st c to seal it by receiving the Holy Communion, and
accordingly prepare themselves for it; it were more Christian to desire that those
licentious festivities might be suppress, and the Communion more generally used, by
those that marry, the happiness would be greater then can easily be exprest, Unde

sufficiunt ad enarrandum felicitatem ejus Matrimonij, quod Ecclesia conciliat, & confirmat oblatio. *Tertul. lib. 2. ad uxorem.*

Reply. Indeed ! will you phrase and modifie your administrations upon such a supposition, that all men are such as they ought to be, and do what they ought to do ? then take all the world for Saints, and use them accordingly and blot out the doctrine of Reproof, excommunication, and damnation from your Bibles ; Is it not most certain that very many married persons are unfit for the Lords Supper, and will be when you and we have done our best ? And is it fit then to compel them to it ; But the more unexpected the more welcome is your motion, of that more Christian course suppressing of licentious festivities, when shall we see such Reforms undertaken ?

Visitation of the Sick.

sect. 1.

FOr as much as the condition, &c.) *All which is here desired, is already presumed, namely, that the Minister shall apply himself to the particular condition of the person ; but this must be done according to the rule of prudence and justice, and not according to his pleasure ; therefore if the sick person show himself truly penitent, it ought not to be left to the Ministers pleasure to deny him Absolution, if he desire it. Our Churches direction is according to the 13. Can. of the venerable Council of Nice, both here, and in the next that follows.*

Reply. But the question is whether he shew himself truly penitent or not, if we have not here neither a judgment of discretion, for the conduct of our own actions, what do we with reason ? Why are we trusted in the Office ? and whose judgment must we follow ? the Bishop cannot have leisure to become the Judge whether this man be penitent. It must then be the Minister, or the man himself ; And must we absolve every man that saith he repenteth : Then we must believe an incredible profession, which is against reason : some are known Infidels, and in their health profess that they believe not the Scripture to be true, and make a mock at Jesus Christ ; and perhaps in a sickness, that they apprehend no danger in, will send for the Minister in scorn to say [I repent] and force him to absolve them, that they may deride him, and the Gospel. Some of us have known too many of those that have for twenty, or thirty years been common drunkards, seldom sober a week together, and still say when they came to themselves, that they were sorry for it, and did unfeignedly repent, and as they said in health, so they said in sickness, dying within a few days or weeks after they were last drunk, must we absolve all these ; some dye with a manifest hatred of an Holy Life, reviling at those that are careful to please God. Yet saying, they hate them not as holy, but because they are all Hypocrites, or the like. And yet will say they repent of their sins, some forbear not their accustomed swearing and cursing while they profess repentance. Some make no restitution for the wrong which they say they repent off : And must we take all those for truly penitent ; If not the Minister must judge. What you mean by your saying, (our Church's direction is according

according to the 13th Canon of the venerable Council of *Nice*, both here and in the next that follows, we know not the second Council of *Nice* you cannot mean (its Car. being uncertain) and the 13th of no such sense. And the 13th Can. of the first Council of *Nice*, is onely that lapsed Catecheumens shall be 3. years *inter* and *ientes* before they pray again with the Catechumens. This shews they then took not up with every word of seeming penitence, as true repentance, but what it is to your purpose we know not, nor is here any other Canon in that Council for you: The 11th Canon is sufficiently against you. The lapsed that truly repented, were to remain among the penitent for 3. years. and 7. years more, if they were *fideles*, &c. *ab omnibus vero illud precipue observetur ut animus eorum, & fructus penitentia attendatur, quicunque enim cum omni timore, & lacrimis perseverantibus, & operibus bonis Conversationem suam, non verbis solis sed opere, & veritate demonstrant, cum tempus statutum etiam ab his fuerit impletum, & orationibus jam coeperint communicare, licebit etiam Episcopo humanius circa res aliquod cogitare*;) we know this rigor as to time was unjust, and that to the dying it was abated: but you see here that bare words, that were not by seriousness and by deeds, made credible, were not to be taken, as sufficient marks of penitence, of which it was not the person himself that was to be the Judge.

The form of absolution in the Lyrurgy, is more agreeable in the Scriptures, *S&A. 1.* then that which they desire, it being said, in *St. John 20.* whose sins you remit they are remitted, not whose sins you pronounce remitted, and the Condition needs not to be expressed, being alway necessarily understood.

Reply. It is a Controversie among the learnedst Expositors, how much of that of *John 20.* was proper to the Apostles, and such others as were then to have the spirit in an extraordinary manner, who did remit sin effectively by remitting the punishment of it by casting out Devils, healing the sick, &c. according to that of *Lam. 5, 14, 15.* is any sick among you? let him call for the Elders of the Church, and let them pray for him, and anoint him with Oyl in the name of the Lord; And the prayer of faith shall save the sick, and the Lord shall raise him up. and if he have committed sins, they shall be forgiven him: if besides this remitting them effectively, the rest be no other then a Ministerial pronouncing them forgiven by God according to his Covenant in the Gospel, then you cannot plead the phrase of a Text, which respecteth another way of Remission then we pretend to. But must phrase it according to the nature of the thing, and the sense of other Scriptures also that shallier open it; There are three wayes of pardoning, 1. By grant or Gift, (whether by a general Act of pardon, or a particular.) 2. By sentence. 3. By execution, that is preventing, or taking off the penalty: The first of these is done already by God in the Gospel, the second, God doth principally, and his Ministers instrumentally as his Messengers: the third (the taking off the penalty) they can do no otherwise in the Case before us, then by praying that

God will take it off, and using his ordinary meanes. So that it is most evident that this Absolution that Ministers are to perform, can be no other then to pronounce the penitent Believer to be absolved by God according to his Covenants : And if there be no other, should we not speak as intelligibly as we can ? Indeed there is more in absolving the excommunicate, for then the Church both judiciously, and executively remitterth the penalty of excommunication (to which also the Text, *Iohn 20.* may have much respect) but the penalty of damnation can be no otherwise remitted by us, then as is expressed. And indeed the thing is of such exceeding weight, that it behoveth us to deal as intelligibly and openly in it, as we can. And therefore we admire that you should say (the Condition needs not be expressed, being always necessarily understood,) necessarily do you mean, *necessitate naturali, & irresistibili* ? So that all the wicked men in the world cannot chuse but understand us, to speak conditionally ? Surely this is none of your meaning, if it were, it were far from truth, or do you mean not, *de necessitate vel aetudine eventus*, but *de debito ex obligatione* ? no doubt but it is necessary as a duty, and also *ad finem* as a meanes : And therefore it is, that we desire it may be expressed. And doubtless you think not that all men do their duties, and understand all that they ought to understand : no not in this particular, If you mean that all sick men may be rationally supposed to understand it, this can never be believed by us that are (acquainted personally (and have been) with so many of whom it is not true. How many think the Ministers absolution, and the Sacrament will serve turn with their unfound hypocritical repentance ? how easily is that understood absolutely, or as bad, while they take you to take it for granted, that they have the Condition) which is absolutely expressed.

Sect. 3.
P. 34.
Exc. I.

It is not fit the Minister should have power to deny this viation, or holy Communion to any that humbly desire it, according to the Rubrick which no man disturbed in his wits can do, and whosoever does, must in charity be presumed to be penitent, and fit to receive.

Reply. There is no condition mentioned in the Rubrick, but that he (be desirous to receive the Communion in his house) humbly is not there : And why may not a man disturbed in his wits desire the Communion ? you deny things that ordinarily fall out, and yet lay the weight of your Cause on that denial. But why must we give the Sacrament to those that have lived in gross ignorance, Infidelity, and prophaneness, and never manifested credibly, that they repent ? you say that (whosoever desireth the Sacrament according to the Rubrick, must in charity be presumed to be penitent,) But where hath God commanded or approved so blind and dangerous an act as this, under the name of Charity ? the ordinary observations of our lives, is not to be confuted by mens Assertions : we know by sad experience, that there's abundance of the worst of men among us, that are desirous to receive this Sacrament when they are sick, that give no credible evidence of true repentance, but some in the ignorance, and deceit of their hearts, and some as conscious of their

their impiety, for which they seek any shifting remedy to quiet their Consciences, for the time, are much more eager for this Sacrament in their sickness, then many better and more penitent persons. And must we judge all these penitent, and give them the Sacrament as such? we must needs profess that we think this Course would not be the least effectual service unto Satan, to deceive poor sinners, and keep them from knowing their misery, and seeking aright after the true remedy in time, pardon us while we lay together the parts of your Doctrine, as we understand it here delivered, and leave it to your Consideration, what a Church, and what a Ministry it would make.

1. All Infants of any Parents in the world that we can baptize, are undoubtedly regenerate, and in a state of life, and shall be saved if they so die. 2. The holy Ghost and forgiveness of sin, being then given them, it is charitably presumed, that they have not totally lost this, notwithstanding the frailties and slips of their Child-hood, and so when they can say the Carechisme, they are to be confirmed. 3. Being confirmed, they are to be admitted to the Lords Supper. 4. All that marry, and others thrice a year must receive the Lords Supper (though unfit.) 5. The Minister must absolve all the sick, that say they repent: (if we understand you, for we suppose you allow not the Minister to be judge. 6. This Absolution must be absolutely expressly (I absolve thee from all thy sins) without the Condition (if thou repent and believe.) 7. Whosoever desireth the Communion in his sickness must in charity be presumed to be penitent, and fit to receive. 8. The Minister must not have power to forbear such baptizing, absolving, or delivering the Communion as aforesaid (we now omit what's said of the dead at burial.) And if this be not the ready way to hinder thousands, from the necessary knowledge of their unrenewed hearts, and lives, and from true repentance, and from valuing Christ as the Remedy, and from making a necessary preparation for death, and also the way to lay by abundance of faithful conscionable Ministers that dare not take such a deceiving dangerous Course, we must confess our selves much mistaken in the nature of mans corruption, and misery, and the use of Gods Ordinances for his recovery.

The Buriall of the Dead.

It is not fit so much should be left to the discretion of every Minister, & the desire that all may be said in the Church, le'ing not pretended to be for the ease of tender Consciences, but of tender heads, may be helped by a Cap better then a Rubr. Sect. 2.
Rubr. 1.

Reply. We marvel that you say nothing at all to our desire, (that it be expressed in a Rubrick, that prayers and exhortations there used, are not for the benefit of the dead, but onely for the instruction, and comfort of the living.) You intend to have a very indiscreet Ministry, if such a needless Circumstance may not be left to their discretion, The contrivance of a Cap instead of a Rubr. shews that you are all unacquainted with the subject of which you speak, and if you speak for want of experience of the Case of Souls, as you now do about the Case of mens bodies, we could wish you some of our experience

rience of one sort (by more Converse with all the Members of the Flock) though not of the other. But we would here put these three or four questions to you.

1. Whether such of our selves as cannot stand still in the cold winter at the Grave, half so long as the Office of Burial requireth, without the certain hazard of our lives, (though while we are in motion we can stay out longer) are bound to believe your Lordships, that a Cap will cure this better then a Rub, though we have proved the contrary to our Cost? and know it as well as we know that cold is cold, do you think no place but that which a Cap, or Clothes do cover, is capable of letting in the excessively refrigerating Air?

2. Whether a man that hath the most rational probability, if not a moral certainty that it would be his death, or dangerous sickness (though he wore 20. Caps, is bound to obey you in this Case?

3. Whether usually the most studious laborious Ministers, be not the most invaletudinary and infirm? And 4thly, whether the health of such should be made a Jest of, by the more healthful, and be made so light of as to be cast away, rather then a Ceremony sometime be left to their discretion: And whether it be a sign of the right and ingenuine spirit of Religion, to subject to such a Ceremony, both the life of godliness, and the lives of Ministers, and the peoples souls? much of this concerneth the people also as well as the Ministers.

Sc: A. 3.
P. 35.

We see not why these words may not be said of any person, whom we dare not say is damned, and it were a breach of Charity to say so, even of those whose repentance we do not see: For whether they do not inwardly, and heartily repent, even at the last act, who knowes? and that God will not even then pardon them upon such repentance, who dares say? It is better to be Charitable, and hope the best then rashly to condemn.

Reply. We spoke of persons living and dying in notorious sins, suppose they were whordom, perjury, oppression, yea Infidelity, or Atheisme, &c. But suppose we cannot be infallibly certain, that the man is damned, because it is possible that he may repent, though he never did express it: will you therefore take him for a brother, whose soul is taken to God in mercy? you are not sure that an excommunicate person, or an Heathen, doth not truly repent after he is speechless: But will you therefore say, that all such die thus happily. This is a most delusory principle. The Church judgeth not of things undiscovered: *non esse & non apparere*, are all one as to our Judgement, we conclude not peremptorily, because we pretend not here to infallibility. As we are not sure that any man is truly penitent, that we give the Sacrament to, so we are not sure that any man dyeth impenitently. But yet we must use those as penitent, that seem so to reason. judging by ordinary meanes, and so must we judge those as impenitent, that have declared their sin, and never declared their repentance. It seems by you, that you will form your Lyurgy, so as to say,

say, that every man is saved, that you are not sure is damned, though he shew you no repentance; and so the Church shall say that all things are, that are but possible, if they conceit that Charity requireth it. But if the living by this be kept, from Conversion, and flattered into Hell, will they there call it Charity, that brought them thither, O lamentable Charity that smoothers mens way to Hell, and keepeth them ignorant of their danger, till they are past remedy, millions are now suffering for such a sort of Charity. Lay this to the forementioned propositions and the World will see that indeed we differ in greater things then Ceremonies, and forms of prayer.

Churching Women.

It is fit that the woman performing especial service of thanksgiving, should have a special place for it, where she may be perspicuous to the whole Congregation, and neer the Holy Table, in regard of the Offering she is there to make: They need not fear Popery in this, since in the Church of Rome she is to kneel at the Church door.]

Sect. 1.
P. 36.
Exc. 1.

Reply. Those that are delivered from impenitency, from sickness, &c. perform a special service of thanksgiving &c. yet need not stand in a special place, but if you will have all your ceremonies, why must all others be forced to imitate you? we mentioned not the Church of Rome.

[The Psalm 121. is more fit and pertinent, then those others named as 113. 128. and therefore not to be changed.]

Sect. 2.
Exc. 2.

Reply. We have proposed to you what we think meetest in our last pages, if you like your own better, we pray you give us leave to think otherwise, and to use what we propounded.

If the woman be such as is here mentioned she is to do her penance before she be Churched.]

Sect. 3.
Exc. 3.

Reply. That is if she be accused, prosecuted, and judged by the Bishops Court to do penance first, which happeneth not to one of a multitude, and what shall the Minister do with all the rest? all tends to take away the difference between the pretious and the vile, between those that fear God, and that fear him not.

[Offerings are required as well under the Gospel as the Law, and amongst other times most fit it is, that oblations should be when we come to give thanks, for some special blessing, Psal. 76. 10. 11. such is the deliverance in child-bearing.]

Sect. 4.
Exc. 4.

Reply. Oblations should be free, and not forced: to some special use, and not to ostentation.

[This is needless since the Rubr. and common require that no notorious person be admitted.]

Sect. 4.
Exc. 5.

Reply. We gladly accept so fair an interpretation, as freeth the book from self contradiction, and us from trouble; but we think it would do no hurt but good, to be more expresse.

The confessions.

We are willing that all the Epistles and Gospels be used according to the last translation.

Reply.

Reply. VVe still beseech you that all the Psalmes, and other Scriptures in the Lyturgie recited may (for the same reason) be used according to the last Translation.

Self. 2. That when any thing is read for an Epistle, which is not in the Epistles the superscription be [for the Epistle.]

Reply. VVe beseech you, speak as the vulger may understand you [for the Epistle] signifyeth not plain enough to such, that it is indeed none of the Epistles.

Self. 3. That the Psalmes be collated with the former Translation, mentioned in Rubr. and printed according to it.

Reply. VVe understand not what translation or Rubr. you mean.

Self. 4. That the words (this day) both in the Collects, and Prefaces be used only upon the day it self, and for the following days it be said (as about this time.)

Reply. And yet there is no certainty which was the day it self.

Self. 5. That a longer time be required for signification of the names of the Communicants, and the words of the Rubr. be changed into these (at least sometime the day before.

Reply. (Sometime the day before) may be neerer, or at night, which will allow any leisure at all, to take notice of the proofs of peoples scandals, or to help them in preparation.

Self. 6. That the power of keeping scandalous Sinners from the Communion may be expressed in the Rubr. according to the 26. and 27. Cannons, so the Minister be obliged to give an account of the same immediately after to the Ordinary.

Reply. We were about returning you our very great thanks, for granting us the benefit of the 26. Canon, as that which exceedeth all the rest of your Concessions; But we see you will not make us too much beholden to you: and poor (Christians that will not receive the Sacrament contrary to the example of Christ and his Apostles, and the custome of the Catholick primitive Church, and the Canons of general Councils, must be also used as the notorious impenitent sinners. But the Canon requireth us not to signify the cause, but upon complaint or being required by the ordinary.

Self. 7. That the whole Preface be prefixed to the Commandements.

Reply. And why not the word (Sabbath day) be put for the (seventh day) in the end. Must not such a falsification be amended?

Self. 8. That the second Exhortation be read some Sunday, or Holy-day, before the Celebration of the Communion at the discretion of the Minister.

Self. 9. That the general Confession at the Communion be pronounced by one of the Ministers, the people saying after him, all kneeling humbly upon their knees.

Self. 10. That the manner of consecrating the Elements may be made more explicate, and express, and to that purpose those words be put into the Rubr. [then shall he put his hand upon the Bread, and break it] then shall he put his hand unto the Cup.

Self. 11. That if the Fast be so placed as the Congregation cannot hear, it may be referred to the Ordinary to place it more conveniently.

Self. 12. That those words [yes they do perform those, &c.] may be altered thus: [because they professe them both by their sweeties, &c.]

Self. 13. That the words of the last Rubr. before the Catechisme may be thus altered, (that Children being baptized have all things necessary for their salvation, and dying before they commit any actual sin, be undoubtedly saved, though they be not confirmed.

Self. 14. That to the Rubr. after confirmation these words may be added, (or be ready and desirous to be confirmed.

Self. 15. That those words (with my body I thee worship) may be altered thus (with my body I thee honour?)

Self. 16. That these words (till death us depart) be thus altered (till death us do part.)

Self. 17. That the words (sure and certain) may be left out.

Reply. For all the rest we thank you, but have given our reasons against your sense expressed in Self. 13. before, and for satisfactoriness of the last: And we must stay in the conclusion, that if those be all the amendments and amendments you will admit, you sell your decency and the Church's peace for nothing.

F I N I S.

ie
be
g-
ac
if,
the
lei-
on.
br.
me
efic
see
re-
me
sed
use;
d.
the
pie
d to
cat
ary
pro_
be-
nal
to be
bee
ex-
on-
our